



Globaltrans Investment PLC

(a company organised and existing under the laws of Cyprus)

Listing of up to 79,127,507 Global Depositary Receipts

This document (the *Prospectus*) comprises a prospectus relating to Globaltrans Investment PLC, a company organised and existing under the laws of Cyprus (the *Company*), and has been prepared in accordance with the Prospectus Rules made under section 73A of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (*FSMA*). This prospectus relates to the listing (the *Listing*) of up to 79,127,507 global depositary receipts to be issued from time to time (together with the 99,613,409 global depositary receipts previously admitted to the listing described below, (the *GDRs*), of the Company. The GDRs represent interests in ordinary shares of the Company, each with a nominal value of USD 0.10 (the *Ordinary Shares*), and each GDR represents an interest in one Ordinary Share.

The GDRs have not been and will not be registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold in the United States except pursuant to an exemption from, or in a transaction not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act.

The Financial Services Authority (the *FSA*) in its capacity as competent authority under FSMA has granted admission to the official list maintained by the FSA and to the regulated main market of London Stock Exchange plc (*London Stock Exchange*) of up to 178,740,916 GDRs to be issued from time to time against the deposit of Ordinary Shares with The Bank of New York Mellon (the *Depository*), of which 99,613,409 have been issued. Application will be made on or about 28 December 2012 to the FSA in such capacity to grant admission of up to an additional 79,127,507 GDRs to be issued against the deposit of Ordinary Shares, from time to time. The GDRs trade under the symbol "GLTR". **The Ordinary Shares are not, and are not expected to be, listed on any stock exchange.** The main market of the London Stock Exchange is a regulated market for the purposes of Directive 2004/39/EC (the *Markets in Financial Instruments Directive*).

The credit ratings included in this Prospectus have been issued, for the purposes of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 as amended by Regulation (EU) No 513/2011 (the *CRA Regulation*) by Standard & Poor's Credit Market Services Europe Limited (*Standard & Poor's*), Moody's Investors Service Ltd (*Moody's*) and Fitch Ratings Limited (*Fitch*). Standard and Poor's and Moody's are established in the European Union and registered under the CRA Regulation. As such, Standard & Poor's and Moody's are included in the list of credit rating agencies published by the European Securities and Markets Authority on its website in accordance with the CRA Regulation.

Rule 144A GDRs are evidenced by the master Rule 144A GDR (the *Master Rule 144A GDR*), which is registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for The Depository Trust Company (*DTC*). Regulation S GDRs are evidenced by the master Regulation S GDR (the *Master Regulation S GDR*, which together with the Master Rule 144A GDR, are referred to as the *Master GDRs*), which is registered in the name of The Bank of New York Depository (Nominees) Limited, as nominee for The Bank of New York Mellon, London Branch, as common depository for Euroclear Bank S.A./N.V. as operator of the Euroclear System (*Euroclear*) and Clearstream Banking, société anonyme (*Clearstream, Luxembourg*). The Ordinary Shares represented by the GDRs are held by BNY (Nominees) Limited, as custodian (the *Custodian*), for the Depository. Except as described herein, beneficial interests in the Master GDRs are held, and transfers thereof are elected only through, DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg and their direct and indirect participants. Transfers within DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg are in accordance with the usual rules and operating procedures of the relevant system.

The date of this Prospectus is 21 December 2012.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

The Company accepts responsibility for the information contained in this Prospectus. Having taken all reasonable care to ensure that such is the case, the information contained in this Prospectus is, to the best of the knowledge of the Company, in accordance with the facts and contains no omissions likely to affect its import.

In this Prospectus, unless the context requires otherwise, references to the *Company* refer to Globaltrans Investment PLC, a company organised and existing under the laws of Cyprus, and references to the *Group* refer collectively to the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries.

In making an investment decision, prospective investors should rely on their own investigation and analysis of the Group, and their own determination of the suitability of any such investment, with particular reference to their own investment objectives and experience and any other factors that may be relevant to such prospective investors in connection with an investment in the GDRs. No person has been authorised to give any information or to make any representations in connection with the Listing other than those contained in this Prospectus. If any such information is given or any such representations are made, such information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorised by the Group or any of its respective affiliates, advisers or any other person. At any time following the date of this Prospectus, the information contained in this Prospectus may no longer be correct and the Group's business, financial condition or results of operations may have changed.

No representation is made by the Group or any of its representatives to prospective investors as to the legality of an investment in the GDRs. Prospective investors should not construe anything in this Prospectus as legal, business, financial, investment, tax or related advice. Prospective investors should consult their own advisers as to the legal, business, financial, investment, tax and related aspects of an investment in the GDRs.

This Prospectus does not constitute or form part of an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any security. The distribution of this Prospectus may, in certain jurisdictions, be restricted by law and this Prospectus may not be used for the purpose of, or in connection with, any offer or solicitation by anyone in any jurisdiction in which such offer or solicitation is not authorised, or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such an offer or solicitation. Persons into whose possession this Prospectus comes are required to inform themselves of and observe all such restrictions and obtain any consent, approval or permission required. The Company accepts no legal responsibility for any violation by any person, whether or not a prospective investor, of any such restrictions.

No action has been or will be taken in any jurisdiction that would permit a public offering of the GDRs or the possession, circulation or distribution of this Prospectus or any other material relating to the Group or the GDRs in any jurisdiction where action for that purpose is required. Accordingly, the GDRs may not be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, and neither this Prospectus nor any other offering material or advertisements in connection with the GDRs may be distributed or published in or from any country or jurisdiction except under circumstances that would result in compliance with any applicable rules and regulations of any such country or jurisdiction.

The contents of the Group's websites do not form any part of this Prospectus.

NEITHER THE US SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THE GDRs OR ORDINARY SHARES OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS IS TRUTHFUL OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE IN THE UNITED STATES.

NOTICE TO NEW HAMPSHIRE RESIDENTS

NEITHER THE FACT THAT A REGISTRATION STATEMENT OR AN APPLICATION FOR A LICENCE HAS BEEN FILED UNDER CHAPTER 421-B OF THE NEW HAMPSHIRE REVISED STATUTES (*RSA*) WITH THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE NOR THE FACT THAT A SECURITY IS EFFECTIVELY REGISTERED OR A PERSON IS LICENSED IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE CONSTITUTES A FINDING BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE THAT ANY DOCUMENT FILED UNDER RSA 421-B IS TRUE, COMPLETE AND NOT MISLEADING. NEITHER ANY SUCH FACT NOR THE FACT THAT AN EXEMPTION OR EXCEPTION IS AVAILABLE FOR A SECURITY OR A TRANSACTION MEANS THAT THE SECRETARY OF STATE HAS PASSED IN ANY WAY UPON THE MERITS OR QUALIFICATIONS OF, OR RECOMMENDED OR GIVEN APPROVAL TO, ANY PERSON, SECURITY OR TRANSACTION. IT IS UNLAWFUL TO MAKE, OR CAUSE TO BE MADE, TO ANY PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER, CUSTOMER OR CLIENT ANY REPRESENTATION INCONSISTENT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS PARAGRAPH.

ENFORCEMENT OF CIVIL LIABILITIES

The Company is organised in Cyprus, and all of its assets and the Group's assets are located outside the United States and the United Kingdom, and all members of the Company's board of directors (the **Board of Directors**) are resident outside of the United States or the United Kingdom. As a result, it may not be possible to effect service of process within the United States or the United Kingdom upon the Company or any of its subsidiaries or such persons or to enforce US or UK court judgements obtained against them in jurisdictions outside the United States and the United Kingdom, including actions under the civil liability provisions of US securities laws. In addition, it may be difficult to enforce, in original actions brought in courts in jurisdictions outside the United States and the United Kingdom, liabilities predicated upon US or UK securities laws.

Further, most of the Group's assets are located in Russia. Judgements rendered by a court in any jurisdiction outside Russia will generally be recognised by courts in Russia only if (i) an international treaty exists between Russia and the country where the judgement was rendered providing for the recognition of judgements in civil cases and/or (ii) a federal law of Russia providing for the recognition and enforcement of foreign court judgements is adopted. No such federal law has been passed, and no such treaty exists, between Russia, on the one hand, and the United States or the United Kingdom, on the other hand. The Group is aware of at least one instance in which Russian courts have recognised and enforced an English court judgement on the basis of a combination of the principle of reciprocity and the existence of a number of bilateral and multilateral treaties to which both the United Kingdom and the Russian Federation are parties. However, in the absence of established court practice, it is difficult to predict whether a Russian court will be inclined in any particular instance to recognise and enforce an English court judgement on these grounds. Furthermore, Russian courts have limited experience in the enforcement of foreign court judgements.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Prospectus contains certain forward-looking statements. A forward-looking statement is any statement that does not relate to historical facts and events, and can be identified by the use of such words and phrases as "according to estimates", "anticipates", "assumes", "believes", "could", "estimates", "expects", "intends", "is of the opinion", "may", "plans", "potential", "predicts", "projects", "should", "to the knowledge of", "will", "would" and similar expressions, which are intended to identify a statement as forward-looking. This applies, in particular, to statements containing information on future financial results, strategy, plans, or expectations regarding the Group's business and management, the Group's future growth or profitability and general economic and regulatory conditions and other matters affecting the Group.

Forward-looking statements reflect the Group's current views of future events, are based on the Group's assumptions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the Group's actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. The occurrence or non-occurrence of an assumption could cause the Group's actual financial condition and results to differ materially from, or fail to meet expectations expressed or implied by, such forward-looking statements. The Group's business is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could also cause a forward-looking statement, estimate or prediction to become inaccurate. These risks include, but are not limited to, the following:

- fluctuations in the Group's financial results and financial conditions;
- changes in political, social, legal or economic conditions in Russia or elsewhere;
- the Group's ability to service the Group's existing indebtedness;
- the Group's ability to fund future operations and capital needs through borrowing or otherwise;
- the Group's ability to successfully implement any of the Group's business strategies;
- trends and expectations as to growth in the markets in which the Group operates;
- the Group's expectations about growth in demand for the Group's services;
- the Group's future investments and acquisitions;
- competition in the marketplace;
- inflation, interest rates and fluctuation in exchange rates;

- a changing regulatory environment; and
- the Group's success in identifying other risks to the Group's business and managing the risks of the aforementioned factors.

Additional factors that could cause the Group's actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially include, but are not limited to, those discussed under "Summary", "Risk Factors", "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", "Russian Rail Transportation Market", and "Business". Any forward-looking statements speak only as at the date of this Prospectus. After the date of this Prospectus, neither the Group does not assume and expressly disclaims any obligation, except as required by law, the listing rules of the FSA under FSMA, to update any forward-looking statements or to conform these forward-looking statements to the Group's actual results.

All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to the Group, and those acting on behalf of the Group are expressly qualified in their entirety by this paragraph. Before making an investment decision prospective investors should specifically consider the factors identified in this Prospectus that could cause actual results to differ.

These forward-looking statements reflect the Group's judgment at the date of this document and are not intended to give any assurances as to future results. The Group undertakes no obligation to update these forward-looking statements, and will not publicly release any revisions it may make to these forward-looking statements that may result from events or circumstances arising after the date of this document. The Group will comply with its obligations to publish updated information as required by law or by any regulatory authority but assumes no further obligation to publish additional information. None of the Group, its management or the Joint Bookrunners can give any assurance regarding the future accuracy of the opinions set forth herein or as to the actual occurrence of any predicted developments.

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL AND OTHER INFORMATION

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Group's audited consolidated financial statements as at and for the years ended 31 December 2009, 2010 and 2011 (the **Consolidated Financial Statements**) and the Group's unaudited condensed consolidated financial information as at and for the six months ended 30 June 2012 (with 2011 comparatives) (the **Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information**, and together with the Consolidated Financial Statements, the **Consolidated Financial Information**) incorporated by reference in this Prospectus have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union (**EU IFRS**). The Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information was prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard 34 "Interim Financial Reporting". The financial statements of LLC Metalloinvesttrans (since renamed LLC Ferrotrans in July 2012), a limited liability company incorporated in the Russian Federation, (**MIT**) as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011 (with 2010 comparatives) included in this Prospectus (the **MIT Financial Statements**), have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (**IFRS**).

IFRS represents International Financial Reporting Standards issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and effective for the periods presented. Standards are adopted by the European Commission through an endorsement procedure, with in some cases, revised effective dates. EU IFRS represents IFRS as endorsed by the European Commission. The differences in the effective standards between IFRS and EU IFRS are disclosed in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011.

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses that were directly related to the Company and all its subsidiaries during the relevant financial period. Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date on which control is transferred to the Group. Business combinations involving entities under common control (ultimately controlled by the same party, before and after the business combination, where such control is not transitory) are accounted for using the predecessor basis of accounting. Under this method financial statements of the acquirees are included in the Consolidated Financial Statements as though the Group (in such a composition) was in existence for all periods presented. On this basis, the assets and results of BaltTransServis LLC (**BTS**), which was acquired by the Group in December 2009, have been included in each period covered by the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2009.

The Consolidated Financial Information is presented in US Dollars, which the Group's management believes to be better understood by the principal users of the financial statements. The functional currency of the Company, its Cypriot subsidiaries and its Russian subsidiaries is the Rouble. The Company's Estonian and Finnish subsidiaries have the Euro as their functional currency and the Company's Ukrainian subsidiary has the Ukrainian

Hryvna as its functional currency. The balance sheets of the Group's companies which have currencies other than the US Dollar as their functional currency are translated into US Dollars, at the exchange rate prevailing at the date of the relevant balance sheet, whereas income and expense items are translated into US Dollars at the average monthly exchange rates using the official exchange rates of the central bank of the country of registration of each entity, which approximate the exchange rate existing at the date of the transactions, in accordance with IAS 21 "The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates". All resulting foreign currency exchange rate differences are recognised in other comprehensive income. The MIT Financial Statements are presented in Roubles, which is the functional currency of MIT.

This Prospectus also includes unaudited pro forma financial information which has been adjusted to reflect the impact of the acquisition by OJSC New Forwarding Company (*New Forwarding Company*), a member of the Group, of MIT in May 2012 (the *MIT Acquisition*) on the Group's results of operations for the year ended 31 December 2011. This unaudited pro forma financial information is provided for illustrative purposes only and does not purport to represent what the actual results of operations of the Group would have been had the MIT Acquisition occurred on 1 January 2011, nor is it necessarily indicative of the operating results or financial position of the Group for any future periods. All pro forma adjustments are attributable to the MIT Acquisition, factually supportable and are expected to have a continuing impact on the Group. Because of its nature, the unaudited pro forma financial information addresses a hypothetical situation and, therefore, does not represent the actual financial position or results of operations of the Group. The actual consolidated financial position and results of operations of the Group may differ significantly from the pro forma amounts reflected herein because of various factors. For more information on the unaudited pro forma financial information, see "*Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information*". For purposes of the unaudited pro forma financial information as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011 included in this Prospectus, MIT's balance sheet items are translated into US Dollars at the exchange rate prevailing at the date of the relevant balance sheet, and MIT's income statement items incurred in currencies other than the US Dollar are translated into US Dollars at average exchange rates for the respective periods, which approximate the exchange rates existing at the dates of the transactions.

Rounding adjustments have been made in calculating some of the financial information included in this Prospectus. As a result, numerical figures shown as totals in some tables may not be exact arithmetic aggregations of the figures that precede them.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In this Prospectus certain measures not recognised by EU IFRS or IFRS (referred to as non-GAAP measures) are reported. The Group's management believes that these non-GAAP measures provide valuable information to readers because they enable the reader to focus more directly on the underlying day-to-day performance of the Group's or MIT's business and are frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in the freight rail transportation sector. This Prospectus contains the following non-GAAP measures as supplemental measures of the Group's and, in some cases, MIT's operating performance:

- Adjusted Revenue;
- Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock;
- EBITDA;
- Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA Margin;
- Empty Run Costs;
- Net Debt (in respect of the Group only);
- Adjusted Profit for the Period (in respect of MIT only); and
- Return on capital employed (*ROCE*).

All of these supplemental measures have limitations as analytical tools, and investors should not consider any one of them in isolation, or any combination of them together, for analysis of operating results, liquidity or discretionary cash or as alternatives to revenues, profit, operating profit, cash flow from operating activities or any other measures of performance as reported under EU IFRS or IFRS.

Some of these limitations are as follows:

- EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the impact of financing costs, which can be significant and could further increase if more borrowings are incurred, on operating performance;
- EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and ROCE do not reflect the impact of income taxes on operating performance; and
- EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect the impact of depreciation and amortisation on operating performance. The assets which are being depreciated, depleted and/or amortised will need to be replaced in the future and such depreciation and amortisation expense may approximate the cost of replacing these assets in the future. By excluding this expense from EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not reflect future cash requirements for these replacements.

Other companies in the freight rail transportation sector may calculate Adjusted Revenue, Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA Margin, Empty Run Costs, Net Debt, Adjusted Profit for the Period or ROCE differently or may use each of them for different purposes than the Group, limiting their usefulness as comparative measures. Further, in some cases, the component items of some of these measures differ between the Group and MIT.

For definitions of these non-GAAP measures for the Group and a reconciliation of Adjusted Revenue to revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock to railway transportation—operators services, and a reconciliation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to profit for the period, see the footnotes under “*Selected Consolidated Financial and Operating Information—Selected Group Financial and Operating Information*”. Certain adjustments in these reconciliations have been derived from the management accounts of MIT.

For definitions of these non-GAAP measures for MIT and a reconciliation of Adjusted Revenue to revenue, and a reconciliation of EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted Profit to change in net assets attributable to participants, see the footnotes under “*Business and Financial Information of MIT—Selected Financial and Operating Information*”.

For definitions of selected non-GAAP measures for the Group on a **Pro Forma** basis for the MIT Acquisition, see the footnotes under “*Summary—Additional Pro Forma Financial and Operating Information*”.

All non-GAAP financial information is calculated on the basis of EU IFRS (or IFRS in the case of MIT) financial statements and/or management accounts.

STATISTICAL AND MARKET DATA

The Group has obtained certain statistical and market information that is presented in this Prospectus on such topics as Russian transportation and logistics, the Russian economy in general and related subjects from the following third-party sources:

- The Central Bank of the Russian Federation (**CBR**);
- the Federal State Statistics Service of the Russian Federation (**Rosstat**);
- the Economist Intelligence Unit (**EIU**);
- OAO “Russian Railways” (**Russian Railways**); and
- Bloomberg.

Where information in this document has been sourced from third parties, this information has been accurately reproduced and, as far as the Group is aware and is able to ascertain from information published by the aforementioned sources, no facts have been omitted that would render the reproduced information, data and statistics inaccurate or misleading. Nevertheless, prospective investors are advised to consider this data with caution. Neither the Group nor the Joint Bookrunners have independently verified the figures, market data or other information on which third parties have based their studies. Where information in this document is based on the Group’s own information or estimates, the information has been identified as such.

The official data published by Russian federal, regional and local government agencies is substantially less complete or researched than that of more developed countries. Official statistics, including data published by the CBR and Rosstat, may also be produced on different bases than those used in more developed countries. Any

discussion of matters relating to Russia in this Prospectus must, therefore, be subject to uncertainty due to concerns about the completeness or reliability of available official and public information.

OTHER DATA AND INFORMATION

Operating measures

This Prospectus contains certain additional operating measures of the Group's and MIT's performance. The Group's management believes that these operating measures provide valuable information to readers because they enable the reader to focus more directly on the operating performance of the Group's or MIT's business.

This Prospectus contains the following operating measures for the Group and MIT:

- Freight Rail Turnover;
- Transportation Volume;
- Average Price Per Trip;
- Empty Run Ratio for gondola (open top) cars;
- Empty Run Ratio for rail tank and hopper cars;
- Total Empty Run Ratio;
- Share of Empty Run Kilometres Paid;
- Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar;
- Average Distance of Loaded Trips; and
- Average Rolling Stock Operated.

Other companies in the freight rail transportation sector may calculate the foregoing measures differently or may use each of them for different purposes than the Group, limiting their usefulness as comparative measures. Further, in some cases, the component items of some of these measures differ between the Group and MIT.

For definitions of these operating measures for the Group, see the footnotes under “*Selected Consolidated Financial and Operating Information—Selected Group Financial and Operating Information*”.

For definitions of these operating measures for MIT, see the footnotes under “*Business and Financial Information of MIT—Selected Consolidated Financial and Operating Information*”.

This Prospectus also contains certain additional measures relating to cargoes transported by the Group, MIT and for the Russian freight rail transportation market, as defined below.

- ***Freight Rail Turnover*** is a measure of freight carriage activity over a particular period and is calculated as the sum of tonnage of each loaded trip multiplied by the distance of each loaded trip, expressed in tonnes-km.
- ***Transportation Volume*** is a measure of freight rail carriage activity over a particular period measuring weight of cargo carried in million tonnes or thousand tonnes.

Fleet terminology

In this Prospectus, in relation to the Group or MIT, unless otherwise stated, references to:

- ***Owned Fleet*** means the rolling stock fleet owned and leased-in under finance leases, including both railcars and locomotives.
- ***Leased-in Fleet*** means the rolling stock fleet leased-in under operating leases, including both railcars and locomotives.

- **Engaged Fleet** means the railcar fleet subcontracted or otherwise attracted from a third-party operator for a loaded trip from the point of origination to the cargo's destination, at which point the railcar is then released to such third-party.
- **Leased-out Fleet** means the rolling stock fleet leased to third parties under operating leases.
- **Total Fleet** means the Owned Fleet and the Leased-in Fleet, including both railcars and locomotives, but excludes the Engaged Fleet.
- **Average Rolling Stock Operated** is calculated as the average weighted (by days) number of railcars available for operator services (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out).

Market share data

Market share data has been calculating using the Group's own information as the numerator and information published by Rosstat as the denominator, unless otherwise stated.

MIT information

This Prospectus also contains information obtained from entities in the Metalloinvest group of companies (**Metalloinvest**) relating to MIT and its operations, including the MIT Financial Statements. Such information was obtained in connection with the MIT Acquisition and, although diligenced by the Group, such diligence was subject to limitations.

EXCHANGE RATE INFORMATION

The official currency of Russia, where the majority of the Group's assets and operations are located, is the Rouble (**RUB**), which is the functional currency of the Company, its Cypriot subsidiaries and its Russian subsidiaries. However, the presentation currency of the Consolidated Financial Information is the US Dollar (**USD**). The table below sets forth, for the periods and dates indicated, certain information regarding the exchange rate between the Rouble and the US Dollar. This information is based on the official exchange rate quoted by the CBR (the **CBR Rate**), which is set by the CBR, as defined below, without the CBR assuming any obligations to buy or sell the foreign currency at the exchange rate. Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Rouble and the US Dollar in the past are not necessarily indicative of fluctuations that may occur in the future. These rates may also differ from the rates used in the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Information and other information presented in this Prospectus.

	RUB per USD 1.00			
	High	Low	Period average ⁽¹⁾	Period end
Year ended 31 December				
2007	26.58	24.26	25.55	24.55
2008	29.38	23.13	24.87	29.38
2009	36.43	28.67	31.77	30.24
2010	31.78	28.93	30.38	30.48
2011	32.68	27.26	29.39	32.20
Month ended				
31 January 2012	31.93	30.36	31.24	30.36
29 February 2012	30.41	28.95	29.89	28.95
31 March 2012	29.67	28.95	29.33	29.33
30 April 2012	29.80	29.28	29.49	29.36
31 May 2012	32.45	29.37	30.80	32.45
30 June 2012	34.04	32.13	32.88	32.82
31 July 2012	32.99	31.95	32.53	32.19
31 August 2012	32.54	31.48	31.99	32.29
30 September 2012	32.57	30.59	31.53	30.92
31 October 2012	31.53	30.72	31.12	31.53
30 November 2012	31.73	30.94	31.40	31.06

Source: Based on CBR data

- (1) The period average in respect of a year or a period is calculated as the average of the exchange rates on the last day of each month for the relevant annual period on which the CBR published an exchange rate.

The CBR Rate per USD 1.00 published by the CBR on 18 December 2012 was RUB 30.77.

No representation is made that the Rouble or US Dollar amounts referred to herein could have been or could be converted into Roubles or US Dollars, as the case may be, at any particular rate or at all.


CONTENTS

Clause	Page
SUMMARY	1
RISK FACTORS	17
THE LISTING	47
DIVIDEND POLICY	49
GDR TRADING HISTORY	50
CAPITALISATION	51
SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION	52
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION	56
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	63
RUSSIAN RAIL TRANSPORTATION MARKET	91
BUSINESS	98
BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MIT	112
DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT	121
DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY	127
PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS	128
MATERIAL CONTRACTS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	129
REGULATION OF RAILWAY TRANSPORTATION IN RUSSIA	135
DESCRIPTION OF SHARE CAPITAL AND APPLICABLE CYPRIOT LAW	142
TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE GLOBAL DEPOSITARY RECEIPTS	151
SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE GLOBAL DEPOSITARY RECEIPTS WHILE IN MASTER FORM	168
TAXATION	170
SELLING AND TRANSFER RESTRICTIONS	178
SETTLEMENT AND TRANSFER	179
INFORMATION RELATING TO THE DEPOSITARY	182
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS	183
INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE	184
TECHNICAL GLOSSARY	185
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	186
INDEX TO FINANCIAL INFORMATION	1

SUMMARY

Section A – Introduction and Warnings		
A.1	Warning	<i>This summary should be read as an introduction to the Prospectus; any decision to invest in the securities should be based on consideration of the Prospectus as a whole by the investor; where a claim relating to the information contained in the Prospectus is brought before a court, the plaintiff investor might, under the national legislation of the Member States, have to bear the costs of translating the Prospectus before the legal proceedings are initiated; and civil liability attaches only to those persons who have tabled the summary including any translation thereof, but only if the summary is misleading, inaccurate or inconsistent when read together with the other parts of the Prospectus or it does not provide, when read together with the other parts of the Prospectus, key information in order to aid investors when considering whether to invest in such securities.</i>
A.2		<i>Not applicable; Globaltrans Investment PLC has not consented to the use of the Prospectus for subsequent resale or final placement of securities by financial intermediaries.</i>
Section B – Issuer		
B.31/B.1	The legal and commercial name of the issuer	Globaltrans Investment PLC (the Company) was incorporated on 20 May 2004 in Cyprus under the name Globaltrans Investment Limited. On 19 March 2008, the shareholders resolved that it be converted into a public company, and its name was changed to Globaltrans Investment PLC on 15 April 2008.
B.31/B.2	The domicile and legal form of the issuer, the legislation under which the issuer operates and its country of incorporation	<p>The Company was registered as a private limited liability company limited by shares pursuant to the certificate of incorporation issued by the Office of the Register of the Companies in Cyprus. The principal legislation under which the Company operates is the Companies Law, Cap. 113 of Cyprus (as amended).</p> <p>The Company's registered office is at Omirou 20, Agios Nicolaos, CY-3095, Limassol, Cyprus. The Company's principal place of business is located at City House, 3rd floor, Office 308, 6 Karaiskakis Street Limassol CY-3032, Cyprus and telephone number +357 255 03 153.</p>
B.31/B.3	A description of, and key factors relating to, the nature of the issuer's current operations and its principal activities, stating the main categories of products sold and/or services performed and identification of the principal markets in which the issuer competes	The Group is a leading private freight rail transportation group with operations in Russia, the CIS and the Baltic countries. Based on Rosstat data and the Group's management accounts, the market share of the Group on a Pro Forma basis amounted to 7.0 per cent. of the overall Russian freight rail Transportation Volume, which by freight type included 15.3 per cent. of the total volume of metallurgical cargoes (ferrous metal, scrap metals, and ores), 9.9 per cent. of the total volume of oil products and oil, and 4.8 per cent. of the total volume of coal (thermal and coking) transported in Russia in 2011. The Group's main business is the provision of freight rail transportation services, which accounted for 94.2 per cent. of the Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue in 2011, and is complemented by a railcar leasing business, which accounted for 5.4 per cent of the Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue in 2011. In 2011, the Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover amounted to 144.9 billion tonnes kilometres and Pro Forma Transportation Volume amounted to 86.4 million tonnes.

		The Group's financial results have been affected, and may be affected in the future, by a variety of factors, including, among others: its acquisition of MIT (the <i>MIT Acquisition</i>), macroeconomic factors, the expansion and composition of the fleet, average rolling stock operated, pricing conditions in the rail transportation market, Empty Run Costs, mix of cargo, railcar leasing and foreign currency fluctuations.
B.31/B.4a	<i>A description of the most significant recent trends affecting the issuer and the industries in which it operates</i>	The most significant trends affecting the Company and the Russian freight rail transportation industry include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the rebound of Russia's economy since the economic downturn in 2008-2009, which has been primarily driven by a recovery in its key commodities industries but also supported by a recovery in domestic demand and manufacturing production; growth in demand for freight rail services in the Russian Federation; and the ongoing programme of structural reform of rail transportation in Russia.
B.31/B.4b	<i>A description of any known trends affecting the issuer and the industries in which it operates</i>	The recent trends affecting the Company and the Russian freight rail transportation industry include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> demand trends for major cargoes, which have all improved on a yearly basis since 2009; and increasing competition and consolidation of the Russian freight rail transportation market.
B.31/B.5	<i>If the issuer is part of a group, a description of the group and the issuer's position within the group</i>	The Company is the parent company of the Group which operates through its subsidiaries as set out in the below chart:



<p>B.31/B.6</p>	<p><i>In so far as is known to the issuer, the name of any person who, directly or indirectly, has an interest in the issuer's capital or voting rights which is notifiable under the issuer's national law, together with the amount of each such person's interest. Whether the issuer's major shareholders have different voting rights if any. To the extent known to the issuer, state whether the issuer is directly or indirectly owned or controlled and by whom and describe the nature of such control</i></p>	<p>Transportation Investments Holding Limited (TIHL) and Envesta Investments Ltd. (EIL) hold 34.5 per cent. and 8.8 per cent. of the Company's share capital, respectively.</p> <p>TIHL has a significant influence in the Company, and there is not a relationship agreement or any other measure in place to ensure such significant influence is not abused. Also, EIL has a significant influence in the Company as a result of its ultimate beneficial owners being members of the Company's senior management. The Company does not anticipate any relationship agreement or similar measure being put in place with respect to either TIHL or EIL, nor is it aware of any arrangements between the significant shareholders which may at a subsequent date result in a change of control of the Company.</p> <p>None of the Company's principal shareholders has any voting rights different from any other holders of the Company's shares.</p>
<p>B.31/B.7</p>	<p><i>Selected historical key financial information regarding the issuer, presented for each financial year of the period covered by the historical financial information, and any subsequent interim financial period accompanied by comparative data from the same period in the prior financial year except that the requirement for comparative balance sheet information is satisfied by presenting the year end balance sheet information. This should be accompanied by a narrative description of significant change to the issuer's financial condition and operating results during or subsequent to the period covered by the historical key financial information.</i></p>	<p>The Group's revenue increased by USD 61,693 thousand, or 6.8 per cent., from USD 905,844 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 967,537 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012, driven primarily by an increase in the Group's Adjusted Revenue as a result of an increase in Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, the largest component of the Adjusted Revenue. The Group recorded revenue of USD 1,733,056 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011, an increase of USD 350,386 thousand, or 25.3 per cent., from USD 1,382,670 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, which increased by USD 219,263 thousand, or 18.8 per cent., from USD 1,163,407 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009, which was driven primarily by the increase in the Group's Adjusted Revenue as a result of an increase in Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock.</p> <p>Total costs of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses decreased by USD 126 thousand, or 0.02 per cent., from USD 687,899 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 687,773 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 due primarily to decreases in infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips and operating lease rentals—rolling stock. Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses increased by USD 247,887 thousand, or 23.5 per cent., to USD 1,304,232 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 1,056,345 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 which increased by USD 121,219 thousand, or 13.0 per cent., from USD 935,126 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 primarily as a result of increases in infrastructure and locomotive tariffs, operating lease rentals—rolling stock and depreciation of property, plant and equipment and employee benefits.</p> <p>The summary financial information set forth below as at 30 June 2012 and for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, and as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009, has been extracted from the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information as at and for the six months ended 30 June 2012, and the Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010, respectively, incorporated by reference in this Prospectus. The other information set forth below shows certain unaudited (non-GAAP) financial information and operating information as at 30 June 2012 and for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, and as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009.</p>

Summary consolidated income statement data	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Revenue.....	967,537	905,844	1,733,056	1,382,670	1,163,407
Cost of sales.....	(626,556)	(645,721)	(1,210,827)	(981,428)	(874,152)
Gross profit.....	340,981	260,123	522,229	401,242	289,255
Selling and marketing costs.....	(1,868)	(1,542)	(3,088)	(2,692)	(2,181)
Administrative expenses.....	(59,349)	(40,636)	(90,317)	(72,225)	(58,793)
Other gains/(losses)-net.....	1,372	(555)	3,140	3,048	785
Operating profit.....	281,136	217,390	431,964	329,373	229,066
Finance income.....	4,948	2,843	7,362	7,203	5,886
Finance costs.....	(81,028)	(20,702)	(39,935)	(53,109)	(84,559)
Finance costs-net.....	(76,080)	(17,859)	(32,573)	(45,906)	(78,673)
Share of profit of associates.....	213	300	428	206	461
Profit before income tax.....	205,269	199,831	399,819	283,673	150,854
Income tax expense.....	(45,720)	(40,544)	(82,593)	(57,733)	(29,681)
Profit for the period.....	159,549	159,287	317,226	225,940	121,173
Attributable to:					
Owners of the Company.....	132,635	134,618	266,423	177,322	88,057
Non-controlling interest.....	26,914	24,669	50,803	48,618	33,116
	159,549	159,287	317,226	225,940	121,173
Basic and diluted earnings per share for profit attributed to equity holders of the Company during the year (in USD per share).....	0.86	0.85	1.68	1.12	0.74

Summary consolidated balance sheet data	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Assets					
Non-current assets.....	2,283,048	1,281,682	1,183,943	1,178,402	961,902
Non-current assets held for sale.....	-	-	-	-	2,827
Current assets.....	408,083	298,031	283,905	336,939	300,239
Total assets.....	2,691,131	1,579,713	1,467,848	1,515,341	1,264,968
Equity and liabilities					
Total equity attributable to owners of the Company.....	834,640	899,447	858,061	753,859	610,261
Non-controlling interest.....	132,842	142,660	130,994	130,106	101,307
Total equity.....	967,482	1,042,107	989,055	883,965	711,568
Total non-current liabilities.....	1,318,901	315,503	243,628	370,787	334,917
Total current liabilities.....	404,748	222,103	235,165	260,589	218,483
Total liabilities.....	1,723,649	537,606	478,793	631,376	553,400
Total equity and liabilities.....	2,691,131	1,579,713	1,467,848	1,515,341	1,264,968

Summary consolidated cash flow statement	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Net cash from operating activities.....	176,320	236,164	441,758	288,949	272,198
Net cash used in investing activities.....	(1,182,468)	(42,978)	(126,173)	(292,967)	(167,459)
Net cash from/(used in) financing activities.....	974,720	(233,019)	(328,682)	(17,625)	(80,745)
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash					

equivalents.....	(31,428)	(39,833)	(13,097)	(21,643)	(23,994)
Exchange gains/(losses) on cash and cash equivalents.....	1,333	(722)	(4,141)	(492)	(1,815)
Cash and cash equivalents and bank overdrafts at end of period.....	89,625	96,403	119,720	136,958	159,093

Additional (non-GAAP) financial information

	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Adjusted Revenue ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	664,714	603,573	1,177,026	902,999	685,255
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	585,023	566,020	1,095,765	830,042	618,478
EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	291,849	258,001	505,577	385,319	261,630
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	328,565	256,541	505,127	390,906	284,458
Adjusted EBITDA Margin (%) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	49.4%	42.5%	42.9%	43.3%	41.5%
Empty Run Costs ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	123,024	122,597	233,790	203,386	167,877
ROCE (%) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁷⁾	29%	30%	31%	26%	21%
Net Debt ⁽¹⁾⁽⁸⁾	1,399,965	321,795	258,355	381,336	288,878

Operating information

	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Freight Rail Turnover (billion tonnes-km) ⁽⁹⁾	53.6	57.5	110.6	97.4	80.9
Transportation Volume (million tonnes) ⁽¹⁰⁾	34.2	36.4	69.6	63.8	52.8
Average Price Per Trip (USD) ⁽¹¹⁾	1,071	953	970.8	770.4	716.9
Average Price Per Trip (RUB) ⁽¹¹⁾	32,722	27,232	28,536	23,403	22,773
Empty Run Ratio for gondola (open top) cars ⁽¹²⁾	43%	42%	41%	42%	46%
Empty Run Ratio for rail tank and hopper cars ⁽¹²⁾	104%	111%	111%	108%	114%
Total Empty Run Ratio ⁽¹³⁾	62%	62%	62%	62%	72%
Share of Empty Run Kilometres Paid by the Group ⁽¹⁴⁾	79%	77%	78%	82%	83%
Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar ⁽¹⁵⁾	13.1	13.4	26.6	29.3	30.4
Average Distance of Loaded Trips (km) ⁽¹⁶⁾	1,557.1	1,588.1	1,596.6	1,504.0	1,537.8
Average Rolling Stock Operated ⁽¹⁷⁾	41,873	44,395	42,363	36,793	28,406
Owned Fleet (at period end).....	57,553	39,236	39,910	38,173	32,384
Leased-in Fleet (at period end).....	5,157	10,293	7,670	12,541	4,833
Total Fleet (at period end).....	62,710	49,529	47,580	50,714	37,217

- (1) Adjusted Revenue, Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA Margin, Empty Run Costs, Net Debt and ROCE are non-GAAP measures presented as supplemental measures of the Group's operating performance. These supplemental measures have limitations as analytical tools, and investors should not consider any one of them in isolation, or any combination of them together, as a substitute for analysis of the Group's results as reported under EU IFRS.
- (2) Adjusted Revenue is calculated as total revenue—operator's services plus total revenue—operating lease and other revenue less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.
- (3) Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock is defined as the sum of revenue from railway transportation—operator's services (tariff borne by the Group) and revenue from railway transportation—operator's services (tariff borne by the client) less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.
- (4) EBITDA is calculated as profit for the period, before income tax expense, finance costs—net, excluding net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on financing activities, amortisation of intangible assets and depreciation of property, plant and equipment. For the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on financing activities is calculated as the sum of foreign exchange gains on borrowings (contained in finance costs) and foreign exchange losses on cash and cash equivalents and finance lease receivables (contained in finance income).
- (5) Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA less net foreign transaction exchange gains/(losses) on financing activities, share of profit/(loss) of associates and other gains/(losses)—net, loss on sale of property, plant and equipment and reversal of/(impairment charge) for property, plant and equipment. For the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, net foreign exchange transaction

	gains/(losses) on financing activities is calculated as the sum of foreign exchange gains on borrowings (contained in finance costs) and foreign exchange losses on cash and cash equivalents and finance lease receivables (contained in finance income). Adjusted EBITDA Margin is calculated as Adjusted EBITDA divided by Adjusted Revenue, expressed as a percentage.
(6)	Empty Run Costs (which show the costs payable to Russian Railways for forwarding empty railcars) is derived from management accounts and presented as part of the empty run trips and services provided by other transportation organisations component of cost of sales reported under EU IFRS.
(7)	ROCE is defined as Adjusted EBITDA (last twelve months basis) less amortisation of intangible assets and depreciation of property, plant and equipment, divided by the sum of average balances between balance sheet dates of total equity (including non-controlling interest) and total borrowings.
(8)	Net Debt is calculated as the sum of current and non-current borrowings less cash and cash equivalents.
(9)	Freight Rail Turnover is a measure of freight carriage activity over a particular period and is calculated as the sum of tonnage of each loaded trip multiplied by the distance of each loaded trip, expressed in tonnes-kilometres.
(10)	Transportation Volume is a measure of freight rail carriage activity over a particular period measuring weight of cargo carried in million tonnes or thousand tonnes.
(11)	Average Price Per Trip is calculated as Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock divided by total number of loaded trips during the relevant period in the applicable currency.
(12)	Empty Run Ratio is calculated as the total of empty trips in kilometres by the relevant rolling stock type divided by total loaded trips in kilometres of such rolling stock type. Empty trips are only applicable to rolling stock operated (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out).
(13)	Total Empty Run Ratio is calculated as total kilometres travelled empty divided by total kilometres travelled loaded by the fleet operated by the Group (not including relocation of rolling stock in and from maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) in the relevant period.
(14)	Share of Empty Run Kilometres Paid by the Group is defined as the percentage of Empty Run kilometres paid by the Group divided by the total amount of Empty Run kilometres incurred by the fleet operated by the Group (not including relocation of rolling stock in and from maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) in the relevant period.
(15)	Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar is calculated as total number of loaded trips in the relevant period divided by Average Rolling Stock Operated.
(16)	Average Distance of Loaded Trip is calculated as the sum of distances of all loaded trips for a period divided by the number of loaded trips for the same period.
(17)	Average Rolling Stock Operated is calculated as the average weighted (by days) number of railcars available for operator services (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out).
	The following table sets forth the principal components of MIT's income statement extracted from the MIT Financial Statements included in this Prospectus in Roubles for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010. The information contained in such income statement below the operating profit line is not set out below as the MIT Acquisition has been done on a debt-free basis and accordingly, an analysis of that additional information is not considered relevant to its business in future periods. Because MIT was acquired by the Group on a debt-free basis and subject to certain adjustments that materially affect its balance sheet as at 31 December 2011, extracts from that balance sheet have not been included in this section.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue	17,163,512	19,769,436
Cost of sales	(13,003,196)	(16,330,992)
Gross profit	4,160,316	3,438,444
General and administrative expenses	(327,064)	(199,513)
Other operating expenses	(238,422)	(5,220)
Operating profit	3,594,830	3,233,711

B.31/B.8	<p><i>Selected key pro forma financial information, identified as such.</i></p> <p><i>The selected key pro forma financial information must clearly state the fact that because of its nature, the pro forma financial information addresses a hypothetical situation and therefore, does not represent the company's actual financial position or results.</i></p>	<p>The unaudited pro forma financial information (<i>Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information</i>) has been prepared to illustrate the effect of the MIT Acquisition on the Group's condensed consolidated income statement for year ended 31 December 2011 and condensed consolidated balance sheet as at 31 December 2011, as if the MIT Acquisition had occurred on 1 January 2011 for the income statement and on 31 December 2011 for the balance sheet.</p> <p>The Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information has been prepared based on the Group's historical financial information which has been extracted from, and should be read in conjunction with: (i) the Consolidated Financial Statements, prepared in accordance with EU IFRS, as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011, and (ii) the MIT Financial Statements, prepared in accordance with IFRS, as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011.</p> <p>Because of its nature, the Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information addresses a hypothetical situation and, therefore, does not represent the actual financial position or results of operations of the Group.</p>
-----------------	---	---

Condensed Consolidated Income Statement					
as at 31 December 2011	<u>Group⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>MIT as adjusted⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Adjustments for the MIT Acquisition⁽³⁾</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<u>Pro Forma for the MIT Acquisition</u>
			(unaudited)		
			(USD in thousands)		
Revenue ⁽⁴⁾	1,733,056	583,896	(33,367)	A	2,283,585
			34,295	A	
			(23,624)	B	
Cost of sales ⁽⁴⁾	(1,210,827)	(449,487)	10,671		(1,649,643)
Gross profit	522,229	134,409	(22,696)		633,942
Selling and marketing costs	(3,088)	—	—		(3,088)
Administrative expenses	(90,317)	(12,078)	—		(102,395)
Other gains/(losses)—net	3,140	(37)	—		3,103
Operating profit	431,964	122,294	(22,696)		531,562
Finance income	7,362	7,523	(7,253)	C	7,632
Finance costs	(39,935)	(19,861)	(5,579)	D	(65,375)
Finance costs—net	(32,573)	(12,338)	(12,832)		(57,743)
Share of profit of associates	428	—	—		428
Profit before tax	399,819	109,956	(35,528)		474,247
Income tax expense	(82,593)	(22,190)	7,291	E	(97,492)
Profit for the year	317,226	87,766	(28,237)		376,755
Attributable to:					
Owners of the Company	266,423	87,766	(28,237)		325,952
Non-controlling interest	50,803	—	—		50,803
	317,226	87,766	(28,237)		376,755
Basic and diluted earnings per share for profit attributable to the equity holders of the Company					
Weighted average number of ordinary shares in issue (in thousands)	158,136				158,136

Basic and diluted earnings per share for profit attributable to the equity holders of the Company during the year (in USD per share).....	1.68	2.06
---	------	------

- (1) The condensed consolidated income statement of the Group for the year ended 31 December 2011 has been extracted from the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011.
- (2) See “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Adjustments to MIT historical financial information”.
- (3) For details of the adjustments for the MIT Acquisition, see “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Adjustments to MIT historical information” and “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Pro forma adjustments to the condensed consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 December 2011”.
- (4) See “—Supplemental Schedule of Revenue and Cost of Sales for the year ended 31 December 2011”.

Unaudited Additional Pro Forma Financial and Operating Information

	<u>Year ended 31 December 2011</u>
	(USD in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)
Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	1,488,956
Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	645,764
Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA Margin ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	43.4%
Pro Forma basic and diluted earnings per Ordinary Share ⁽⁴⁾	2.06
	<u>Year ended 31 December 2011</u>
Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover (billion tonnes-km) ⁽⁵⁾	144.9
Pro Forma Transportation Volume (million tonnes) ⁽⁶⁾	86.4
Pro Forma Average Rolling Stock Operated ⁽⁷⁾	51,762

- (1) Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue, Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA and Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA Margin are non-GAAP measures presented as supplemental measures of the Group’s operating performance on a Pro Forma basis. These supplemental measures have limitations as analytical tools, and investors should not consider any one of them in isolation, or any combination of them together, as a substitute for analysis of the Group’s and MIT’s results as reported under EU IFRS and IFRS, respectively. See “Presentation of Financial and Other Information” and “Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information”.
- (2) Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue is calculated as revenue less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips for the relevant period as shown in the supplemental schedule of revenue and cost of sales for the year ended 31 December 2011. Certain information included in the calculation of Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue is derived from management accounts. See “Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Supplemental Schedule of Revenue and Cost of Sales for the year ended 31 December 2011”.
- (3) Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as profit for the year less income tax expense, finance costs—net, amortisation of intangible assets, depreciation of property, plant and equipment (consisting of USD 91,442 thousand from cost of sales and USD 1,150 thousand from administrative expenses), share of profit of associates, other gains/(losses)—net, loss/(gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment and reversal of impairment charge for property, plant and equipment for the relevant period as shown in the unaudited Pro Forma condensed consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 December 2011 and the supplemental schedule of revenue and cost of sales for the year ended 31 December 2011. See “Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Condensed Consolidated Income Statement for the year ended 31 December 2011” and “Pro Forma Financial Information—Supplemental Schedule of Revenue and Cost of Sales for the year ended 31 December 2011”. Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA Margin is calculated as Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA divided by Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue, expressed as a percentage.
- (4) See “Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Condensed Consolidated Income Statement for the year ended 31 December 2011”.
- (5) Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover is calculated as the sum of the Freight Rail Turnover for MIT’s Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet and the Freight Rail Turnover for the Group for the relevant period.
- (6) Pro Forma Transportation Volume is calculated as the sum of the Transportation Volume for MIT’s Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet and the Transportation Volume for the Group for the relevant period.
- (7) Pro Forma Average Rolling Stock Operated is calculated as the sum of the average weighted (by days) number of railcars available for operator services (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) for each of the Group and MIT for the relevant period.

B.31/B.9	<i>Where a profit forecast or estimate is made, state the figure</i>	Not applicable. This Prospectus does not contain profit forecasts by the Company.
B.31/B.10	<i>A description of the nature of any qualifications in the audit report on the historical financial information</i>	Not applicable. The Company's audited consolidated financial statements as at and for the three years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009 prepared in accordance with EU IFRS have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited, independent auditors, as stated in their audit report appearing herein (the <i>Independent Auditor's Report</i>). The Independent Auditor's Report was not qualified by PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited.
B.31/D.4	<i>Key information on the key risks that are specific to the issuer</i>	<p>There are a number of key risks that are specific to the issuer as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Group's business depends on the demand in the Russian rail transportation market, which in turn depends on certain key commodities sectors, and accordingly, on economic growth in Russia, European countries and elsewhere. A decrease in demand for key commodities in Russia or in adjacent countries where the commodities of the Group's key customers are shipped by rail, as a result of an economic downturn or otherwise in Russia or such countries, could negatively impact the Group's business and its growth prospects. ● Freight rail transportation operators in Russia such as the Group have purchased large numbers of new railcars in recent years in response to the increase in demand for freight rail transportation. However, the availability of alternative means of cargo transportation, the attractiveness of existing forms of non-rail transportation, and changes in Russian tax, duty or excise rules, may negatively affect the demand for freight rail transportation services for those cargoes. If operators continue to purchase new railcars at a rate disproportionate to increases in demand for freight rail transportation services, there may be an oversupply of railcars in the Russian rail transportation market. The oversupply of railcars could negatively affect the market prices for the Group's services, which in turn, could negatively impact the Group's business and its growth prospects. ● The Group's business is heavily dependent on services provided by Russian Railways, such as the operation of railway infrastructure and the repair and maintenance for locomotive traction services. Furthermore, the physical infrastructure and other assets owned and operated by Russian Railways, particularly its rail network, have not been adequately maintained. There can be no assurance that the ageing railway infrastructure operated by Russian Railways will not in the future lead to material disruptions of the Group's business, and these disruptions could negatively impact the Group. ● The Group's customer base is heavily dependent on a few large industrial groups and their suppliers, which during the year ended 31 December 2011, accounted for over two-thirds of the Group's Net Revenue from the Operation of Rolling Stock. Other than the up to three-year MIT Freight Service Contracts with the Metalloinvest group, the Group does not have long-term contracts with any of its customers. As a result, the Group's customers have the option to take their custom to the Group's competitors and there can be no assurance that the Group will retain their custom in the future. A loss of these customers would negatively impact the Group's business and its growth prospects.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Group is subject to risks relating to the reform of the Russian rail transportation market, which, over recent years, has been a significant factor in the recent growth of the Group and the Russian freight rail industry in general. Due to the recent reform, the regulatory framework now in effect provides third-party operators with a legal right to access rail infrastructure on a non-discriminatory basis alongside Russian Railways and its subsidiaries and to determine their own pricing to customers. The Group has benefitted from this reform and hopes to benefit further if proposals are implemented to liberalise regulation. However, there is no certainty as to whether such proposals will be implemented, and furthermore, there have been suggestions by Russian Railways as to whether private operators should have public carrier-like obligations, which the Group believes would have the effect of unwinding the reform. Any cancellation of, delays in or unexpected changes to the reform of the Russian rail transportation market, could negatively impact the Group. • The Group's profitability is dependent upon the infrastructure use and locomotive tariffs charged by Russian Railways, which constitute the largest cost item for the Group. Although the Group seeks to manage its Empty Run Costs through its route optimisation systems, its ability to manage Empty Run Costs is limited and, as a result, it remains exposed to increases to the tariffs charged by Russian Railways. These increases could make rail transportation less competitive than other forms of freight transportation, which could negatively impact the Group's business and growth prospects. Furthermore, with effect from November 2012, the Empty Run tariffs payable to Russian Railways are expected to be unified to a single tariff, so that there will no longer be the same cost benefit to the Group's route optimisation system. It remains to be determined what the impact of the unified tariff on the market and the Group will be. • The Company relies on senior management and its ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. Competition in Russia for such personnel with relevant expertise is intense due to the small number of qualified individuals with suitable practical experience in the rail industry. If the Group is unable to attract or retain such personnel for its requirements, this could negatively impact the Group's business and its growth prospects. • The Company does not have an agreement with its three significant shareholders, who together own approximately 45.5 per cent. of the its issued share capital, to ensure they will not abuse control of the Company. Furthermore, the two significant beneficial shareholders of the Company have investments in other business, including some which compete or may compete with the Group. Therefore, the significant beneficial shareholders may exercise their control in a manner inconsistent with, and may even be adverse to, those of other shareholders. Any of the above could have a negative impact on the Group.
<p>B.32</p>	<p><i>Information about the issuer depositary receipts. Name and registered office of the issuer of the depositary receipts. Legislation under which the issuer of the depositary receipts operates and legal form which it has adopted under the</i></p>	<p>The Company and the Depositary entered into a deposit agreement for the issuance of GDRs (the <i>Deposit Agreement</i>). The Depositary is The Bank of New York Mellon, a state-chartered New York banking corporation and a member of the United States Federal Reserve System, subject to regulation and supervision principally by the United States Federal Reserve Board and the New York State Banking Department. The Depositary was constituted in 1784 in the State of New York. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of The Bank of New York</p>

	<i>legislation</i>	Mellon Corporation, a New York bank holding company. Its principal administrative offices are located at 101 Barclay Street, 22 floor West, New York, NY 10286. A copy of the Depository's Articles of Association, as amended, together with copies of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation's most recent financial statements and annual report are available for inspection at the principal office of the Depository located at One Wall Street, New York, NY 10286 and at The Bank of New York Mellon, One Canada Square, London E14 5AL.
Section C – Securities		
<i>Information about the underlying shares</i>		
<i>C.13/C.1</i>	<i>A description of the type and the class of the securities being offered and/or admitted to trading, including any security identification number</i>	Not applicable. The Ordinary Shares are not, and are not expected to be, listed on any stock exchange.
<i>C.13/C.2</i>	<i>Currency of the securities issue</i>	The Ordinary Shares are issued in US Dollars.
<i>C.13/C.3</i>	<i>The number of shares issued and fully paid and issued but not fully paid. The par value per share, or that the shares have not par value</i>	The Company's issued share capital consists of 178,740,916 Ordinary Shares, each with a nominal value of USD 0.10 and which are fully paid. The Company's authorised share capital consists of 233,918,128 Ordinary Shares.
<i>C.13/C.4</i>	<i>A description of the rights attached to the securities</i>	<p>All Ordinary Shares have the same rights attaching to them, a summary of which is set forth below. In this section Law means the Companies Law, Cap. 113 of Cyprus and any successor statute or as the same may from time to time be amended.</p> <p>Issue of shares</p> <p>As a matter of Law the Ordinary Shares shall be at the disposal of the directors who, upon complying with the provisions of the articles of association and Sections 60A and 60B of the Law, may allot or otherwise dispose of any unissued shares in the appropriate manner as regards the persons, the time and, in general, the terms and conditions as the directors may decide, provided that no share shall be issued at a discount.</p> <p>Pre-emption rights</p> <p>Unless otherwise determined by resolution approved at a general meeting of the Company in accordance with the provisions of Section 60B of the Law, all new shares and/or other securities which are convertible into shares in the Company that are to be issued for cash, shall be offered to the existing shareholders of the Company on a pro-rata basis to the participation of each shareholder in the capital of the Company. Any such offer shall be made upon written notice to all the shareholders specifying the number of the shares and/or other securities convertible into shares in the Company, which the shareholder is entitled to acquire and the time periods (which must not be less than fourteen days from the dispatch of the written notice) within which the offer, if not accepted, shall be deemed to have been rejected. If, until the expiry of the said time period, no notification is received from the person to whom the offer is addressed that such person accepts the offered shares or other securities which are convertible into shares of the Company, the directors may dispose of them in any manner as they deem more advantageous for the Company.</p>

		<p><i>Voting rights</i></p> <p>Subject to any special rights or restrictions as to voting attached to shares (of which there are none at present), every holder of shares who is present in person or by proxy or (if a corporation) by a representative not himself being a member, shall have one vote and on a poll every holder who is present (if a natural person) in person or by proxy shall have one vote for each share held by him or her. A corporate member may, by resolution of its directors or other governing body, authorise a person to act as its representative at general meetings and that person may exercise the same powers as the corporate shareholder could exercise if it were an individual member.</p> <p>No shareholder shall be entitled to vote at any general meeting unless all calls or other sums presently owed by him in respect of his shares in the Company have been paid.</p> <p><i>Dividend and distribution rights</i></p> <p>The Company may in a general meeting of shareholders declare dividends, but no dividend shall exceed the amount recommended by the directors. The directors may from time to time and subject to the provisions of Section 169C of the Law pay to shareholders such interim dividends as appear to the directors to be justified by the Company's profits but no dividend will be paid otherwise than out of profits.</p> <p>The directors may set aside out of the Company's profits such sums as they think proper as a reserve or reserves which shall, at the discretion of the directors, be applicable for any purpose to which the Company's profits may properly be applied, and pending such application may, at the directors' discretion, either be employed in the Company's business or be invested in such investments (other than the Company's shares) as the directors may from time to time think fit. The directors may also, without placing the same in the reserve, carry forward to the next year any profits which they may think prudent not to distribute.</p> <p><i>Variation of rights</i></p> <p>If at any time the share capital is divided into different classes of shares, the rights attached to any class may, subject to the provisions of Sections 59A and 70 of the Law, whether or not the Company is being wound up, be amended or abolished with the sanction of a resolution approved in accordance with the provisions of Section 59A of the Law at a separate general meeting of the holders of the shares of the class. The decision shall be taken by a two-thirds majority of the votes, unless at least half of the issued capital is represented, in which case a simple majority shall be sufficient.</p> <p><i>Alteration of capital</i></p> <p>The Company may by resolution taken in accordance with the provisions of Section 59A of the Law:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) increase its share capital by such sum, to be divided into shares of such amount, as the resolution shall prescribe; (b) consolidate and divide all or any of its share capital into shares of larger amounts than its existing shares; (c) subdivide its existing shares, or any of them, into shares of a
--	--	---

		<p>smaller amount than is fixed by the memorandum of association subject, nevertheless, to the provisions of Section 60(1)(d) of the Law; and</p> <p>(d) cancel any shares which, at the date of the passing of the resolution, have not been taken nor agreed to be taken by any person.</p> <p>The Company may also, by special resolution, reduce its share capital, any capital redemption reserve fund or any share premium account in any manner and subject to any terms required by the Law (including court approval).</p> <p>Redemption of shares</p> <p>Subject to the provisions of Section 57 of the Law, any preference shares may, with the sanction of an ordinary resolution, be issued on the condition that they are, or at the option of the Company are liable to be, redeemed on such terms and in such manner as the Company, prior to the issue of such shares, may by special resolution determine.</p>																
<i>C.13/C.5</i>	<i>A description of any restrictions on the free transferability of the securities</i>	The Ordinary Shares which the GDRs represent are freely transferable subject to selling restrictions dictated by applicable laws, contractual lock-ups for certain shareholders and provisions contained in the Deposit Agreement.																
<i>C.13/C.6</i>	<i>An indication as to whether the securities offered are or will be the object of an application for admission to trading on a regulated market and the identity of all the regulated markets where the securities are or are to be traded</i>	Not applicable. The Ordinary Shares are not, and are not expected to be, listed on any stock exchange.																
<i>C.13/C.7</i>	<i>A description of the dividend policy.</i>	The Company's current dividend policy is to recommend to shareholders a dividend per annum of not less than 30 per cent. of the imputed consolidated net profit (if any) of the Group based on the consolidated financial statements for the relevant financial year prepared in accordance with EU IFRS. Imputed consolidated net profit of the Group is calculated as the consolidated net profit for the period of the Group attributable to the owners of the Group as shown in the consolidated financial statements for the relevant financial year prepared in accordance with EU IFRS, less certain non-cash adjustments determined by the Board of Directors.																
Information about the global depositary receipts																		
<i>C.14.C.1</i>	<i>A description of the type and the class of the securities being offered and/or admitted to trading, including any security identification number</i>	<p>This Prospectus relates to the Listing of up to 79,127,507 GDRs of the Company to be issued from time to time. Each GDR represents an interest in one Ordinary Share.</p> <p>The security identification numbers of the GDRs offered hereby, are as follows:</p> <table> <tr> <td>Rule 144A GDR ISIN:</td> <td>US37949E1055</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rule 144A GDR Common Code:</td> <td>035751033</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rule 144A GDR CUSIP:</td> <td>37949E105</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rule 144A SEDOL:</td> <td>B2QTJ18</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Regulation S GDR ISIN:</td> <td>US37949E2046</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Regulation S GDR Common Code:</td> <td>035751017</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Regulation S GDR CUSIP:</td> <td>37949E204</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Regulation S SEDOL:</td> <td>B2QTGT5</td> </tr> </table>	Rule 144A GDR ISIN:	US37949E1055	Rule 144A GDR Common Code:	035751033	Rule 144A GDR CUSIP:	37949E105	Rule 144A SEDOL:	B2QTJ18	Regulation S GDR ISIN:	US37949E2046	Regulation S GDR Common Code:	035751017	Regulation S GDR CUSIP:	37949E204	Regulation S SEDOL:	B2QTGT5
Rule 144A GDR ISIN:	US37949E1055																	
Rule 144A GDR Common Code:	035751033																	
Rule 144A GDR CUSIP:	37949E105																	
Rule 144A SEDOL:	B2QTJ18																	
Regulation S GDR ISIN:	US37949E2046																	
Regulation S GDR Common Code:	035751017																	
Regulation S GDR CUSIP:	37949E204																	
Regulation S SEDOL:	B2QTGT5																	

C.14/C.2	<i>Currency of the securities issue</i>	The GDRs are issued in US Dollars.
C.14/C.4	<i>A description of the rights attached to the securities</i>	Pursuant to the Deposit Agreement holders of GDRs will be entitled to payments of cash dividends and other amounts (including cash distributions) in relation to the GDRs which will be made by the Depositary through DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream Luxembourg, as the case may be, on behalf of persons entitled thereto, upon receipt of the relevant funds from the Company, net of the Depositary's fees, taxes, duties, charges, costs and expenses. Any free distribution or rights issue of Ordinary Shares to the Depositary on behalf of the Holders will result in the records maintained by the Depositary being adjusted to reflect the enlarged number of GDRs represented by the relevant Master GDR. Holders of GDRs will have certain voting rights.
C.14/C.5	<i>A description of any restrictions on the free transferability of the securities</i>	The GDRs are freely transferable, subject to the clearing and settlement rules of DTC (in the case of the Rule 144A GDRs) and Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg (in the case of the Regulation S GDRs), as applicable, and subject to selling restrictions dictated by applicable laws, contractual lock-ups for certain shareholders and provisions contained in the Deposit Agreement.
C.14	<i>Describe the exercise of and benefit from the rights attaching to the underlying shares, in particular voting rights, the conditions on which the issuer of the depositary receipts may exercise such rights, and measures envisaged to obtain the instructions of the depositary receipt holders – and the right to share in profits and any liquidations surplus which are not passed on to the holder of the depositary receipt. Description of the bank or other guarantee attached to the depositary receipt and intended to underwrite the issuer's obligations</i>	<p>Payments of cash dividends and other amounts (including cash distributions) in relation to the GDRs will be made by the Depositary through DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream Luxembourg, as the case may be, on behalf of persons entitled thereto, upon receipt of the relevant funds from the Company, net of the Depositary's fees, taxes, duties, charges, costs and expenses.</p> <p>Holders will have voting rights with respect to the Deposited Shares. The Company has agreed to notify the Depositary of any resolution to be proposed at a General Meeting of the Company and the Depositary will vote or cause to be voted the Deposited Shares in the manner set out in the Deposit Agreement.</p> <p>The Company has agreed with the Depositary that it will promptly provide to the Depositary sufficient copies, as the Depositary may reasonably request, of notices of meetings of the shareholders of the Company and the agenda therefor as well as written requests containing voting instructions by which each Holder may give instructions to the Depositary to vote for or against each and any resolution specified in the agenda for the meeting, which the Depositary shall send to any person who is a Holder on the record date established by the Depositary for that purpose (which shall be the same as the corresponding record date set by the Company or as near as practicable thereto) as soon as practicable after receipt of the same by the Depositary. The Company has also agreed to provide to the Depositary appropriate proxy forms to enable the Depositary to appoint a representative to attend the relevant meeting and vote on behalf of the Depositary.</p> <p>Whenever the Depositary shall receive from the Company any cash dividend or other cash distribution on or in respect of the Deposited Shares (including any amounts received in the liquidation of the Company) or otherwise in connection with the Deposited Property, the Depositary shall, as soon as practicable, convert the same into United States dollars. The Depositary shall, if practicable in the opinion of the Depositary, give notice to the Holders of its receipt of such payment, specifying the amount per Deposited Share payable in respect of such dividend or distribution and the earliest date, determined by the</p>

		<p>Depository, for transmission of such payment to Holders and shall as soon as practicable distribute any such amounts to the Holders in proportion to the number of Deposited Shares corresponding to the GDRs so held by them respectively, subject to provisions of the Deposit Agreement.</p> <p>If any distribution made by the Company with respect to the Deposited Property and received by the Depository shall remain unclaimed at the end of three years from the first date upon which such distribution is made available to Holders in accordance with the Deposit Agreement, all rights of the Holders to such distribution or the proceeds of the sale thereof shall be extinguished and the Depository shall (except for any distribution upon the liquidation of the Company when the Depository shall retain the same) return the same to the Company for its own use and benefit subject, in all cases, to the provisions of applicable law or regulation.</p> <p>No bank or other guarantee is attached to the GDRs.</p>
Section D – Risks		
<p>D.5</p>	<p><i>Key information on the key risks that are specific to the securities</i></p>	<p>The key risks relating to the GDRs are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The voting rights with respect to the Ordinary Shares represented by the GDRs are limited by the terms of the Deposit Agreement for the GDRs and relevant requirements of Cypriot law. Due to the additional procedural steps involved in communicating with GDR holders, who receive notice indirectly from the Depository (unlike holders of Ordinary Shares who receive notice directly from the Company), there can be no assurance that GDR holders will receive voting materials in time to enable them to return voting instructions to the Depository in a timely manner. ● Sales of additional GDRs or Ordinary Shares may have an adverse effect on the trading prices of the GDRs as well as the Company’s ability to obtain further capital through an offering of equity securities. As a result, investors who purchase GDRs could lose all or part of their investment in such GDRs. ● The Company is not subject to the same takeover protection under Cypriot law as a company incorporated in the United Kingdom, since any offer for GDRs will be subject to the provisions of the United Kingdom City Code on Takeovers and Mergers in respect only of consideration, disclosure requirements and procedural matters applicable to the offer.. ● Holders of GDRs in certain jurisdictions (including the United States) may not be able to exercise their pre-emptive rights in relation to future issues of Ordinary Shares unless the applicable securities law requirements in such jurisdictions are adhered to, such as the filing of a registration statement under the Securities Act in the United States. Because the Company may not adhere to such requirements, such holders’ percentage ownership interest in the Company could be reduced. ● The liquidity and price of the GDRs depend on the development of a more active trading market for the GDRs. Without such a market, investors may not be able to sell the GDRs they purchased at or above the price at which they

		<p>acquired them or at all.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Ordinary Shares underlying the GDRs are not listed and are illiquid and will remain illiquid.
Section E – Offer		
E.1	<i>The total net proceeds and an estimate of the total expenses of the issue/offer, including estimated expenses charged to the investor by the issuer or the offeror</i>	The Company will not receive any proceeds, as there is no offer associated with the listing of the GDRs under this Prospectus. The Company expects to incur estimated expenses of USD 378 thousand associated with the Listing.
E.2a	<i>Reasons for the offer, use of proceeds, estimated net amount of the proceeds</i>	Not applicable. There is no offer associated with the listing of the GDRs under this Prospectus.
E.3	<i>A description of the terms and conditions of the offer</i>	Not applicable. There is no offer associated with the listing of the GDRs under this Prospectus.
E.4	<i>A description of any interest that is material to the issue/offer including conflicting interests</i>	Not applicable. There are no issue specific interests, including any conflicting interests.
E.5	<i>Name of the person or entity offering to sell the security. Lock-up agreements: the parties involved; and indication of the period of the lock up</i>	Not applicable. There is no offer associated with the listing of the GDRs under this Prospectus.
E.6	<i>The amount and percentage of immediate dilution resulting from the offer. In the case of a subscription offer to existing equity holders, the amount and percentage of immediate dilution if they do not subscribe to the new offer</i>	Not applicable. There is no offer associated with the listing of the GDRs under this Prospectus.
E.7	<i>Estimated expenses charged to the investor by the issuer or the offeror</i>	Not applicable. No expenses will be charged to the investor.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in the GDRs involves a high degree of risk. Prospective investors should consider carefully, among other matters, the risks set forth below, which represent the principal risks relating to the Company, its industry and the GDRs, and the other information contained elsewhere in this Prospectus prior to making any investment decision with respect to the GDRs. Any of the risks described below, individually or together, could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, financial condition, results of operations or future prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

In addition, the description of the risks set forth below does not purport to be an exhaustive description of all risks that the Group faces. Additional risks that are not known to the Group at this time, or that it currently believes are immaterial, could also have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, financial condition, results of operations or future prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

RISKS RELATING TO THE GROUP'S BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY

The Group is dependent on the demand in the Russian rail transportation market, which in turn depends on certain key commodities sectors, and accordingly, on economic growth in Russia, European countries and elsewhere.

The Group is dependent on the demand for freight rail transportation services in Russia. Freight rail volumes in Russia are largely comprised of commodities, such as coal, oil products and oil and construction materials, ferrous metals, scrap metals and iron ore. The Russian markets for such commodities contribute significantly to the gross domestic product (**GDP**) in Russia which experienced strong growth over the period from 2003 to 2011, increasing from USD 432 billion in 2003 to USD 1,857 billion in 2011. Similarly, according to Rosstat, Freight Rail Turnover, which is driven by growth in the Russian economy and commodities markets, increased by a compound annual growth rate of 4.1 per cent. during the period from 2000 to 2011.

Due to the severe contraction in the Russian economy in the second half of 2008 and 2009, Freight Rail Turnover in 2009 was 12 per cent. lower than in 2008. In 2010 and 2011, however, Russian Freight Rail Turnover returned to positive growth, increasing by 8 per cent. and 6 per cent., respectively, over the applicable previous year, according to Rosstat, as economic conditions and the demand for key commodities in Russia improved. Given the Group's dependence on the shipment of key commodities and the strength of the Russian economy for its rail transportation volumes, decreased demand for key commodities in Russia, or in adjacent countries, including in European countries, where the commodities of the Group's key customers are shipped by rail, as a result of an economic downturn or otherwise in Russia, European countries or elsewhere, could materially impair the Group's growth prospects and could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The freight rail transportation market in Russia may suffer from an oversupply of railcars.

In recent years, freight rail transportation operators in Russia such as the Group have purchased large numbers of new railcars in response to increases in demand for freight rail transportation and the relatively high age of the Russian railcar fleet. While the resulting increase in the supply of railcars into the freight rail transportation market has not had an adverse effect on market prices in recent years, if operators continue to purchase new railcars at a rate disproportionate to increases in demand for freight rail transportation services, the Russian freight rail transportation market may suffer an oversupply of railcars.

Further, alternative means of cargo transportation may become available, such as with the commissioning of new pipelines for the transportation of oil or oil products. Existing forms of non-rail transportation may also become more attractive. Changes in Russian tax, duty or excise rules may negatively affect the demand or supply of some cargoes, such as taxes on exports of heavy oil products with the aim of encouraging a lower share of heavy fuel output from the domestic refining process. Any of these may, in turn, negatively affect the demand for freight rail transportation services for those cargoes and therefore cause an oversupply of railcars in the market.

With effect from November 2012, the Empty Run tariffs payable to Russian Railways are expected to be unified to a single tariff (subject to certain exceptions), irrespective of the type of cargo last transported. This may change the way operators manage their logistics and may cause the Russian railcar fleet to be operated more efficiently as operators will not need to transport cargoes with a lower tariff part way along a return journey to minimise the Empty Run cost. If the efficiency of the Russian railcar fleet is improved, an oversupply of railcars may potentially arise.

If any of the foregoing were to occur, the oversupply of railcars could negatively affect the market prices for the Group's services, which in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's business is heavily dependent on services provided by Russian Railways and the ageing railway infrastructure in Russia, Kazakhstan and Ukraine.

Russian Railways has a monopolistic role as the sole railway infrastructure operator, while also enjoying a near monopoly in the provision of locomotive traction services. As a freight rail operator, the Group depends on the railway infrastructure operated, and for locomotive, repair and maintenance and other services provided, as well as operating information generated, by Russian Railways.

The physical infrastructure and other assets owned and operated by Russian Railways, particularly its rail network, as well as the rail network and other physical infrastructure in Kazakhstan and Ukraine, largely date back to Soviet times and have, in many cases, not been adequately maintained. There can be no assurance that the age and insufficient funding and maintenance of a substantial part of the Russian rail network and other infrastructure operated by Russian Railways, as well as rail network and other physical infrastructure in Kazakhstan and Ukraine, will not in the future lead to material disruptions of the Group's business or increase the Group's costs of doing business. Such interruptions or cost increases could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's customer base is heavily dependent on a few large industrial groups and their suppliers.

The Group's customer base is characterised by significant concentration, with cargo flows associated with nine key industrial groups (including cargo flows associated with their suppliers and off-takers) accounting for 67.0 per cent. of the Group's Net Revenue from the Operation of Rolling Stock during the year ended 31 December 2011. Further, cargo flows associated with the largest four industrial groups contributed to approximately 47 per cent. of the Group's Net Revenue from the Operation of Rolling Stock in the same period. In addition, as a result of the MIT Acquisition and the MIT Freight Service Contracts, the Metalloinvest group (already one of the Group's top four customers) will become an even more significant customer of the Group. Most of the Group's key customers utilise the Group's services for transportation of cargoes that have historically generated high revenues and the geographically diverse locations of those customers' production facilities, and of their customers and suppliers, are important drivers of profitability for the Group. Other than the up to three-year MIT Freight Service Contracts, the Group does not have long-term contracts with any of its key customers. As a result its customers have the option to take their custom to the Group's competitors. Although the Group has enjoyed good working relations with all of its key customers to date, there can be no assurance that the Group will retain their custom in the future, or that their custom, if lost, could be easily replaced by that of other customers on comparable terms and/or volume or at all. If the Group loses any of these key customer groups, and is not able to replace their business, this could materially and adversely affect the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's freight service contracts do not guarantee firm volumes of cargo or destinations.

Like other freight rail transportation operators, the Group generally enters into one year contracts with its key customers that have automatic renewal provisions and are terminable upon the request of either party before the end of the contract term. Under these contracts, the Group generally agrees amounts of cargo to be transported on a monthly basis but the Group remains at risk that these are not provided until the cargo is actually loaded into the railcars as Russian law does not recognise the concept of "take or pay" or guaranteed volumes of cargo. The MIT Freight Service Contracts include arrangements under which Metalloinvest is required to use MIT to manage the transportation of all of its freight rail cargo volumes in the first year and 60 per cent. of those volumes in the second and third years (subject in the second and third years to agreement on pricing and conditions). There can be no assurance, however, that a Russian court or arbitral tribunal applying Russian law would enforce these requirements or that Metalloinvest will provide the expected volumes of cargo. Any failure by the Group's key customers, including Metalloinvest, to provide expected volumes of cargoes for shipment by the Group could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Company does not have an agreement with its significant shareholders to ensure they will not abuse their control of the Company and the Group's significant beneficial shareholders may have interests that conflict with those of the holders of the GDRs.

TIHL, EIL, and Litten Investments Ltd, a company organised and existing under the laws of Cyprus (**Litten**) own approximately 34.5 per cent., 8.8 per cent. and 2.0 per cent. of the Company's issued share capital. See

“*Principal Shareholders*”. Although the Company has internal policies for the protection of minority shareholders, there are no agreements in place between the Company and TIHL and EIL to ensure that they will not abuse their significant influence over the Company. As there have been no instances of either TIHL or EIL abusing their influence in the past, the Company does not intend to enter into any such agreement with either significant shareholder.

In addition, the significant beneficial shareholders of TIHL and EIL have investments in other businesses, including some which currently compete, or may in the future compete, with the Group. The significant beneficial shareholders of TIHL and EIL may therefore have interests and exercise their control of the Company in a manner inconsistent with, and may even be adverse to, those of other shareholders, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs. For information regarding the controlling shareholders, see “*Principal Shareholders*”.

The Group may be subject to increasing competition from other transportation and logistics companies.

The Russian rail transportation market is becoming increasingly competitive. While the Group has one of the largest fleets in Russia, there are several other private operators (although fewer than ten) that have sufficient scale to service the large cargo of Group’s key customer groups. In particular, Nezavisimaya Transportnaya Kompania (*NTK*), which is owned by UCL Rail and owns OJSC Freight One (*Freight One*), formerly a subsidiary of Russian Railways, has a significant fleet, and Russian Railways with its subsidiaries, including OJSC Freight Two (*Freight Two*), continues to operate a significant percentage of the overall Russian railcar fleet. Further, in recent years, Neftetransservice is believed to have grown its fleet significantly, with the acquisition of a significant number of gondola (open top) cars in addition to its sizeable fleet of rail tank cars.

The Group believes that there is a trend toward consolidation in the industry and that this consolidation may increase the competitive pressures in the market. This may result in competition from competitors with more resources and better access to clients as well as the emergence of more competitors with sufficient scale to compete with the Group. Increased competition may lead to adverse changes in the prevailing pricing conditions, which could adversely affect the Group’s profitability. Although the Group believes that, to date, it has been able to compete successfully, there can be no assurance that the Group will be able to do so in the future, and any failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Expansion of the Group’s business may place a strain on its resources and subject it to additional risks.

The success of the Group’s business strategy is dependent, among other things, on the successful expansion of the Group’s operations. Expansion also may put a strain on the Group’s management resources, distracting the Group’s managers from their current tasks and/or require additional management resources to be deployed by the Group. Although the Group believes that its current managerial, administrative, technical and financial resources are capable of supporting its recent and proposed future expansion, there can be no assurance that the Group’s existing resources will be sufficient for this purpose, or that the Group will be able to acquire necessary additional resources on commercially acceptable terms or at all. In particular, there can be no assurance that the Group’s existing sales, logistics and dispatching capabilities, which are key for the efficiency and reliability of the Group’s business, will continue to support the Group’s business at the requisite levels of efficiency if and when the Group’s fleet, operational reach and clientele are expanded as contemplated by the Group’s strategy. Any failure by the Group to acquire, maintain and deploy adequate management, sales, logistics, administrative, technical and financial resources to support its expansion, could undermine the Group’s business strategy, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Expansion through acquisition, including the MIT Acquisition, entails additional risks, including the failure to conduct appropriate due diligence on a target’s operations and/or financial condition, the overvaluation of the target and thus the payment of consideration greater than the target’s market value, the incurrence of significantly higher than anticipated financing-related risks and operating expenses, and the discovery of larger than anticipated or previously undisclosed liabilities. Acquiring additional businesses can also place increased pressures on the Group’s cash flows, especially if the acquisition is paid for in cash on hand or obtained from borrowings. Additionally, if an acquisition is not completed, this may adversely impact the Group’s strategic objectives. If any such risks materialise in conjunction with an acquisition, including the MIT Acquisition, this could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

In addition, the Group may experience problems in integrating acquired businesses, including MIT, into its business and managing them optimally. These risks include failing to effectively assimilate and integrate the

operations and personnel of an acquired company into the Group's business, failing to install and integrate business systems, including logistics and distribution facilities and arrangements, conflicts between majority and minority shareholders, hostility and/or lack of cooperation from the acquisition's management and the potential loss of the acquired company's customers. Moreover, the broader disruptions in operations and the strain on management resources, including the diversion of attention from management's normal day-to-day business, that often occur in conjunction with an acquisition may impose significant costs on the Group. If the Group experiences problems arising from the integration of acquired businesses, including MIT, this could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs. See also "*—Risks Relating to MIT*".

The Group's relationship with Russian Railways and government authorities may deteriorate.

Although the Group does not generally have regular direct dealings with governmental authorities, such authorities, both local and federal, are critical to the success of the Group's current and future plans. Government authorities have significant influence over the functioning of the Russian freight rail market. A deterioration in the Group's direct or indirect relationship with government authorities at either the local or federal level, for example following changes in the political leadership or staffing of such authorities or any change in their political or administrative agendas, could result in higher government scrutiny of, or even government action against, the Group's business. Any or all of these factors could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

In addition, the Group is dependent on the services (including maintenance and repairs) and information provided by, and its relationship with, Russian Railways, an entity controlled by the state. See "*—The Group's business is heavily dependent on services provided by Russian Railways and the ageing railway infrastructure in Russia, Kazakhstan and Ukraine*" above. Although the Group has enjoyed a good relationship with Russian Railways, there is no assurance that it will always continue to do so in the future. There can be no assurance that, because of the Group's dependence on the rail infrastructure, locomotive and other services provided by Russian Railways, the Group will not become subject to competitive pressures exerted by Russian Railways or that the Group's competitors will not receive better treatment in terms of service levels and delivery times than those received by the Group. On some routes, the Group currently utilises its own locomotives and may expand its use of owned locomotives in the future, if applicable regulations permit. Current Russian regulation on the use of locomotives is incomplete and ambiguous in relation to procedures through which private companies may operate locomotives, and access for private locomotives to infrastructure is dependent on the cooperation of Russian Railways. Moreover, Russian Railways, as a monopoly carrier, does not fully support the private operation of locomotives in competition with Russian Railways locomotive services. There is a risk that Russian Railways may delay applications for, or refuse to grant permission necessary for the Group to provide services. If the Group's relationship with Russian Railways deteriorates, if such competitive pressures manifest themselves or increase, or if government authorities introduce changes to the legislative regime governing locomotive usage that negatively affect the ability of the Group to operate locomotives, any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's profitability is dependent upon Russian Railways' infrastructure use and locomotive tariffs, which constitute the largest cost item for the Group.

Empty Run Costs are the largest contributor to the Group's operating costs after infrastructure and locomotive tariffs for loaded trips (which is a "pass through" cost for the Group), and are comprised largely of the infrastructure use and locomotive tariffs charged by Russian Railways. At the end of each trip, after the customers' cargo has been transported to its destination, the empty railcar must be routed to the next loading destination, and on such empty journeys the infrastructure use and locomotive tariffs are currently based on the cargo carried on the immediately preceding loaded run and become a direct cost for the Group. The Group seeks to manage its Empty Run Costs through its route optimisation systems, which reduce the number of Empty Runs by matching routes and destinations to increase utilisation of its railcars on both outbound and return journeys, and through the use of block trains for which customers generally pay a portion of the tariffs applicable to the Empty Runs directly to Russian Railways. However, the Group's ability to effectively manage Empty Run Costs is limited and, as a result, it remains exposed to Russian Railways infrastructure and locomotive tariffs and increases in those tariffs. Further, if these tariffs were to increase, rail transportation may become less competitive than other forms of freight transportation, negatively affecting the demand for rail transportation. If any of the foregoing were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

With effect from November 2012, the Empty Run tariffs payable to Russian Railways are expected to be unified to a single tariff (subject to certain exceptions), irrespective of the type of cargo last transported so that there will no longer be the same cost benefit to managing the fleet in this manner. The Empty Run tariff unification may

result in the Group and other freight rail carriers adopting different strategies to optimise their logistics but it remains to be determined what the impact on the market and the Group will be.

The Group is subject to risks relating to the potential postponement or cancellation of certain steps towards the reform of the Russian rail transportation market.

A significant factor in both the recent growth and the future prospects of the Group and the Russian freight rail industry in general is the structural reform of rail transportation in Russia that has taken place over recent years. While Russian Railways retains a monopoly in the provision of rail infrastructure and is a near monopoly in the provision of locomotive traction services, the regulatory framework now in effect provides third-party operators with a legal right to access such infrastructure on a non-discriminatory basis alongside Russian Railways and its subsidiaries and to determine their own pricing to customers. The Group and other private freight rail operators have benefitted from this reform, particularly as it relates to the provision of railcar services. They also hope to benefit further if proposals are implemented to liberalise the regulation of locomotive traction services so that private operators can provide such services. There is no certainty as to whether these proposals will be implemented or as to their timing. In addition, there have been suggestions by Russian Railways in the debate over further rail freight regulatory reform as to whether private operators should have public carrier-like obligations, such as obligations to publish a price list and to provide services at those prices. The Group believes that the implementation of any such suggestions or other measures would have the effect of unwinding or contradicting the reform. There has also been at least one situation where Russian Railways has sought to introduce changes to the regulatory environment which appear to directly contradict the intentions of the reform programme. Any cancellation of, delays in or unexpected changes to the reform of the Russian rail transportation market, including arising from the actions of Russian Railways, applicable regulators or law makers, could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Insufficient supply of, or increases in the price of, quality rolling stock may limit the Group's operations.

There is a relatively limited number of quality rolling stock manufacturers in Russia and the CIS, and their output is limited by existing production capacities. In addition, the adaptability of these manufacturers' production facilities to change from one type of railcar to another is limited. Furthermore, given the advanced age of some of the rolling stock in Russia, demand and competition for new rolling stock are likely to increase. The prices of railcars are subject to price variations, as recently seen in 2011, where prices rose significantly before decreasing towards the end of the year. There can be no assurance that in the future the Group will be able to source sufficient supplies of quality new rolling stock for its fleet on commercially acceptable terms, or at all. If the Group is unable to acquire the requisite quantity of new rolling stock on commercially acceptable terms, experiences delays or failures in delivery of rolling stock ordered, if the new rolling stock delivered is of inferior quality or if its use is not permitted or suspended on the Russian Railways network because it comes from a manufacturer whose railcars have been suspended from use due to defects in design or construction, this could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition, prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's competitive position and prospects depend on the expertise and experience of its key managers.

The Group's business is dependent on retaining the services of, or in due course promptly obtaining equally qualified replacements for, certain key members of its management team, including among others, Mr. Sergey Maltsev, the Chief Executive Officer of the Group, and the Group's senior management team. Although the Group has employment agreements with these key managers, the retention of their services cannot be guaranteed. Should they decide to leave the Group, it may find it difficult to replace them promptly with other managers of sufficient expertise and experience or at all. The Group does not have key-man insurance in place in respect of its senior managers. Should the Group lose any of its key senior managers without prompt and equivalent replacement, this could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's success depends on its ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel.

The Group's future success will depend, in part, on its ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel, in particular experienced management personnel. Competition in Russia for such personnel with relevant expertise is intense due to the small number of qualified individuals with suitable practical experience in the rail industry. If the Group is unable to attract or retain sufficient such personnel for its requirements, this could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Failure to meet customers' expectations and timely delivery requirements could damage the Group's customer relationships and business reputation.

The Group's customers rely on the Group for high-quality and timely provision of its freight rail transportation and affiliated services and expect the Group to be commercially responsive to their needs which include timely pick up and delivery of cargo and availability of rolling stock. To meet quality of service expectations from customers, in particular, the Group must deliver railcars to customers upon request in a timely manner and in accordance with a customer's production cycle and that any railcars provided are of sufficient quality and possess the requisite certifications necessary to avoid delays when dispatching. The ability to meet those expectations is often outside the direct control of the Group. Since the Group relies on Russian Railways for locomotive traction and infrastructure usage, timely delivery of cargo is highly dependent on a third party whose differing incentives may result in its performing in a manner that would be unsatisfactory to the Group's customers. A significant delay in the delivery of customer cargo through its redirection to a destination other than the one intended by the customer, could lead to significant business interruption for, and/or material losses sustained by, such customer. Likewise, damage to the customer's cargo due to derailment or mishandling could also result in customer dissatisfaction with the delivery of the Group's services. The Group also may fail to meet customer expectations due to an insufficient supply of rolling stock available and mistakes in logistics planning (including delays in real-time information exchanges). Failure to meet customer expectations could lead to a significant loss of business by the Group and/or impairment of the Group's business reputation which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs. See also "*—The Group's customer base is heavily dependent on a few large industrial groups and their suppliers*".

Lack of a reliable register for recording title to, and encumbrances on, railcars in Russia.

There is not a legally established reliable register in Russia for recording title to, and encumbrances on, railcars. As a result, disputes as to ownership of railcars and whether they have been pledged to a third party can arise if a railcar is not purchased from its original manufacturer. For example, the Group is currently in litigation with respect to railcars purchased by it from an unrelated third party on the basis that such railcars were unencumbered. A purported lender to the seller has claimed that the railcars were pledged to it, see "Legal proceedings" in Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011 and Note 20 to the Interim Consolidated Financial Information for the six months ended 30 June 2012, incorporated by reference in this Prospectus. If this dispute is ultimately decided against the Group and similar disputes were to arise with respect to other railcars acquired by it, including railcars purchased in the MIT Acquisition, this could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group may be adversely affected by wage increases in Russia.

Wage costs in Russia have historically been significantly lower than wage costs in some of the more developed market economies of North America and Western Europe for similarly skilled employees, giving Russian businesses a significant labour cost advantage. Wage costs are currently increasing in Russia, however, and if this trend continues the Group may need to increase the levels of its employee compensation more rapidly than in the past to remain competitive. There can be no assurance that the Group will be able to effect commensurate increases in the efficiency and productivity of its employees, or to pass on the extra costs to customers through increases in its prices, and if it is unable to do so, any such wage increases could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

A major accident or derailment could result in substantial property loss, business disruption or reputational damage to the Group.

Rail operators are subject to accidents and derailments, both as a result of operational failure and due to the nature of the cargoes being transported. Although under Russian law, liability for such accidents or derailments is likely to be attributed to the owner of the cargo being transported and/or Russian Railways as the operator of the railway infrastructure, there can be no assurance that the Group would always be able to attribute responsibility to, and recover from, a third party in connection with such accidents or derailments. A major rail accident or derailment could also result in damage or loss of the Group's rolling stock and may also disrupt the Group's services. In such circumstances, the Group may not be able to rebuild or repair its railcar fleet or restore operations in a timely fashion or at all. In addition, the Group may not have sufficient insurance in place to cover the costs of such loss or disruption (see "*—The Group's insurance policies may be insufficient to cover certain losses*" below). Any negative publicity concerning any accident or derailment could also have a material adverse effect on the perception of the Group and the attractiveness of its services in the future. Accordingly, a major accident or

derailment could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's insurance policies may be insufficient to cover certain losses.

The Group carries insurance for all of its rolling stock in line with market practice in the Russian Federation, but does not carry insurance policies to a similar extent as may be common in some of the more developed market economies of North America and Europe. For example, the Group generally does not carry third-party liability insurance, as the Group believes that under Russian law it is not liable for any third-party damages and that Russian Railways as carrier is liable with respect to such damages or losses rather than the Group. The Group also does not carry business interruption insurance including coverage for major accidents. Although the Group believes it has sufficient resources to cover its liability as a result of any such events, the Group could experience significant disruption in its operations as a result, which could in turn have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's business information technology systems may fail or be perceived to be insecure.

The Group's business is dependent on the successful and uninterrupted functioning of its information technology systems and their integration with the information technology systems of Russian Railways. See "*Business—Information Technology*". The Group relies on these systems for complex logistical, dispatching and tracking tasks critical for its customers' transportation needs and central to the Group's business. Although the Group has not experienced any significant failures or interruptions with respect to its information systems in the past, there can be no assurance that such a failure or interruption will not occur in the future. Actual or perceived failures or interruptions in the Group's information technology systems may compromise the Group's ability to provide its value-added transportation, logistics and tracking services, as well as result in costly delays in the shipment of customer cargo, or otherwise lead to a significant loss of customer business, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The information technology software systems used by the Group could cease to be available.

The Group uses specialised rail transport and logistics software in order to ensure the efficiency and effectiveness of the Group's logistics, dispatching and rolling stock tracking services. These systems are either licensed to the Group and then customised to the Group's needs or delivered to the Group and maintained for its needs by third parties under service agreements. If these systems fail, the licence for their use is withdrawn or invalidated or service agreements for their use are terminated or their use becomes commercially unattractive, due to the lack of technical support by the relevant developer or otherwise, and the Group is not able to obtain the use of equivalent information technology systems and software, this could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group is exposed to risks arising from rail depot ownership.

The Group operates a railcar repair and maintenance depot in Ivanovo and a locomotive repair and maintenance depot in Rybinsk which service some of the Group's rolling stock. Following repairs, the railcars and locomotives are inspected by a Russian Railways inspector and given permission to operate on Russian railways rail tracks. If following repairs Russian Railways finds a fault, it may uncouple the defective car and impose an uncoupling charge on the Group, as owner of the railcar. Moreover, if an accident or derailment occurs as a result of improper service or repair of a railcar at the depot, the Group could be held liable for damage done to the railway infrastructure, third parties or the environment. In the case of a derailment on Russian Railways' infrastructure, Russian Railways will act as an intermediary between the Group and any damaged party. The Group may suffer losses arising from damage to its own railcars or be found liable for third party losses caused by such damage and may be obliged to compensate Russian Railways. The Group does not carry insurance policies covering losses related to defects in railcars repaired at the Ivanovo depot or locomotives repaired at the Rybinsk depot. If any of these risks materialise, it could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group is dependent on locomotive crews and permits provided by Russian Railways.

The Group is dependent upon Russian Railways to provide it with locomotive crews to operate its locomotives. The unavailability of such crews or their failure to perform their jobs properly would have an adverse effect of the ability of the Group to offer locomotive services to its customers. The Group is also dependent upon Russian Railways to issue permits for its operation of locomotives and to approve its use of locomotives for particular routes. If the procedures for obtaining such permits and approvals are changed or such permits and

approvals became more difficult to obtain or for any reason became unavailable to the Group or to private operators generally (as a result of a change in the regulatory regime or otherwise), the Group may be unable to offer locomotive services to its customers. If any of these risks materialise, it could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Risks related to VAT recovery issues.

Under the Russian Tax Code, the Group is entitled to recover value added tax (*VAT*) paid to vendors, but such recovery may be difficult to claim. In the past, the Group has been involved in disputes and litigation relating to the recovery of such VAT. While no significant disputes or litigation currently exist, there can be no assurance that in the future, the Group will not need to commence, or otherwise be involved in, further disputes or litigation related to the recovery of VAT, or that any such disputes or litigation will ultimately be successful in recovering the applicable VAT. If any of these risks materialise, it could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

RISKS RELATING TO MIT

MIT has had only one main customer, Metalloinvest.

Prior to the MIT Acquisition, MIT, as wholly-owned by Metalloinvest, provided freight rail transportation services predominantly to that group, with in excess of 80 per cent. of its revenue from freight rail transportation being derived from that customer in the year ended 31 December 2011, which is approximately 20 per cent. of the Group's total revenue on a pro forma basis for the year ended 31 December 2011. After the MIT Acquisition, MIT is currently expected to continue to provide a significant portion of its services to Metalloinvest pursuant to the MIT Freight Service Contracts with Metalloinvest's main production entities. The MIT Freight Service Contracts require the relevant Metalloinvest entity to use MIT to manage the transportation of all of their rail freight cargo in the first year after the completion of the acquisition, and, subject to certain conditions, 60 per cent. of the aggregate Metalloinvest group volumes of rail freight cargo in each of the second and third years after the completion of the acquisition. See also "*—MIT's main customer, Metalloinvest, is only obliged to use MIT's services for one year after the MIT Acquisition*" and "*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Freight Service Contracts*". Accordingly, MIT's revenues have historically been, and will continue to be, closely related to Metalloinvest's freight rail cargo volumes, which in turn is dependent on Metalloinvest's levels of production (primarily of iron ore and metal products) and demand from its customers. Although the Group believes that it can, in time, acquire additional customers to offset any loss of business from Metalloinvest, any decrease in Metalloinvest's levels of production or demand from its customers could have a corresponding negative impact on MIT's cargo transportation volumes and revenues, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs. See also "*—Risks Relating to the Group's Business and Industry—The Group is dependent on the demand in the Russian rail transportation market, which in turn depends on certain key economic sectors, and accordingly, on economic growth in Russia, European countries and elsewhere*".

MIT's main customer, Metalloinvest, is only obliged to use MIT's services for one year after the MIT Acquisition.

After the MIT Acquisition, pursuant to the MIT Freight Service Contracts, Metalloinvest will be obliged to use MIT to transport all of its freight rail cargo in the first year after the completion of the acquisition at prices specified in those contracts. The MIT Freight Service Contracts also provide that Metalloinvest will use MIT to manage the transportation of at least 60 per cent. of the aggregate Metalloinvest group volumes of freight rail cargo in each of the second and third years after the completion of the acquisition, subject to further agreement between Metalloinvest and MIT concerning the price of the relevant services, which is to be determined by reference to market prices determined by Metalloinvest through a tender among large operators. See also "*—Risks Relating to the Group's Business and Industry—The Group may be subject to increasing competition from other transportation and logistics companies*" and "*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Freight Service Contracts*". There can be no assurance that the prices determined by Metalloinvest in the tender processes for the second and third year will be acceptable to MIT, and MIT may choose not to exercise its right to require Metalloinvest to use its services for those years. In such a case, MIT may not transport the relevant percentage of Metalloinvest's freight rail cargo in the second and/or third years after the completion of the acquisition, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The MIT Freight Service Contracts may not be profitable.

Pursuant to the MIT Freight Service Contracts, Metalloinvest is obliged to use MIT to transport certain percentages of its freight rail cargo volumes, as described in “—MIT’s main customer, Metalloinvest, is only obliged to use MIT’s services for one year after the MIT Acquisition”. MIT is also obliged to provide railcars to transport those volumes. Such volumes are expected to be significant and will require a large number of railcars, significantly beyond the size of MIT’s own fleet. In the past, MIT has dealt with this by leasing additional railcars and subcontracting the transportation of some of these volumes to third parties. For example, in 2011, approximately 62 per cent. of MIT’s aggregate Transportation Volume was transported using Engaged Fleet (including for some part, the Group). After the MIT Acquisition, the Group plans to continue to follow a similar approach, with MIT continuing to subcontract out a significant portion of the volumes required. The Group believes that, in doing so, the overall margins realised will be significantly lower than if MIT was able to provide sufficient railcars from its Owned Fleet, due to the higher anticipated cost of leasing-in additional railcars and subcontracting services to third-parties. In particular, margins may be negatively affected and losses may be incurred where the terms of the leased-in railcars do not match the periods required to service the Metalloinvest volumes, or the leased-in rates or subcontractors’ rates are higher than the tariff specified in the MIT Freight Service Contracts due to changes in market demand or other factors. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that MIT or the Group will be able to lease-in additional railcars or subcontract out the volumes in excess of the capacity of its own fleet, or to do so at rates which make the MIT Freight Service Contracts profitable. If the services provided under the MIT Freight Service Contracts are not profitable or are loss making, the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs could be materially adversely affected.

MIT has had high rates of employee turnover.

In recent years, MIT has had relatively high rates of employee turnover. MIT’s business is substantial and requires a significant number of trained employees to operate efficiently. While MIT has sought to hire sufficiently skilled employees and/or to train employees to sufficient standards to maintain its operations, there can be no assurance that this will always be possible in the future if the high rate of employee turnover continues. Further, MIT may face difficulties replacing departing personnel, particularly as the Russian economy continues to grow after the recent economic downturn in 2008-2009 and competition for skilled labour increases. See also “—Risks Relating to the Group’s Business and Industry—The Group may be adversely affected by wage increases in Russia”. A continuation of MIT’s high employee turnover and any inability to hire and retain additional qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Metalloinvest may develop a competing freight rail transportation business using former key employees of MIT.

As described under “—Certain of MIT’s key employees may return to the vendor’s group within three months of the completion of the MIT Acquisition”, certain key employees of MIT may be hired by Metalloinvest in the three months after the completion of the MIT Acquisition. These employees have an in-depth knowledge of the freight rail transportation business, developed from years of running MIT. They also have valuable industry knowledge and relationships with Metalloinvest and potential suppliers. The terms of the MIT Acquisition do not prevent the vendor (or others in the Metalloinvest corporate group) from starting another freight rail transportation business using those personnel or otherwise. If this were to occur, the resulting business would likely be a direct competitor to MIT and the Group, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs. See also “—Risks Relating to the Group’s Business and Industry—The Group may be subject to increasing competition from other transportation and logistics companies”.

RISKS RELATING TO THE GROUP’S FINANCIAL CONDITION

The Group’s indebtedness could adversely affect the Group’s operational and financial condition.

The Group has significant indebtedness, including borrowings from banks and other third parties and non-convertible bond issues, and the terms of such indebtedness contain certain restrictive covenants and require the Group to maintain certain financial ratios. In connection with the MIT Acquisition and its recent purchases of railcars, the Group recently incurred a significant amount of additional indebtedness and entered into new borrowing arrangements, which was partially offset by the proceeds from the 2012 GDR Offering. See “Summary—MIT Acquisition” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital resources”. Among other things, the Group’s indebtedness could potentially: (a) limit its ability to obtain additional financing and refinance existing indebtedness; (b) limit its flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in the markets in which it competes; (c) place it at a competitive disadvantage relative to its competitors with lower levels of indebtedness; (d) render it more vulnerable to general

adverse economic and industry conditions; (e) require it to dedicate a substantial part of its cash flow to service its borrowings; or (f) in the event of enforcement of any security relating to the indebtedness, it could result in a loss of certain of its assets.

The Group's ability to comply with its financial covenants and make payments on its indebtedness depends upon its ability to maintain its operating performance at a certain level, which is subject to general economic and market conditions and to financial, business and many other factors, some of which the Group cannot control. Due to the significant Rouble devaluation between September 2008 and February 2009, the Group was in technical breach of certain restrictive covenants in its loan agreements and needed to obtain waivers of such breaches from the relevant lenders. While the Group was successful in obtaining such waivers in that instance, there can be no assurance that it will always be able to successfully obtain waivers in the future. Although, the Group expects to be able to service its debt, refinance its existing debt as it comes due and remain in compliance with its covenants, failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group's growth strategy requires significant funding.

The business of freight rail transportation and logistics is capital-intensive. The Group plans to finance its future growth plans through cash generated from its operations and will augment such cash with the proceeds of external borrowings and the issuance of equity. If the Group is not able to obtain adequate funding for its growth or if such funding is only available on commercially unfavourable terms due to the Company's credit rating being downgraded or otherwise, this could limit future growth and have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group is subject to foreign exchange risk.

Currently, a significant portion of the Group's borrowings is denominated in US Dollars, whereas most of the Group's expenses and revenue are and will be denominated and settled in Roubles. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Capital resources". The Group does not hedge these foreign exchange risks. In addition, although the functional currency of the Company, its Cypriot subsidiaries and its Russian subsidiaries is the Rouble and the functional currency of the Company's Estonian subsidiaries is the Euro, it reports in US Dollars. Accordingly, fluctuations in the Rouble/US Dollar exchange rate and the Euro/US Dollar exchange rate can have a significant effect on the Group's reported results of operations and financial position from period to period. The Group is therefore exposed to the effects of currency fluctuations between the US Dollar and the Rouble and the US Dollar and the Euro. Such fluctuations have been significant in recent years. The Group's exposure to such fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group may be subject to interest rate risk.

Because the Group enters into floating rate lease and long-term borrowing contracts from time to time in order to finance the purchase of rolling stock as well as the MIT Acquisition, the Group's income and operating cash flows are exposed to changes in market interest rates arising from such lease liabilities and borrowings. See also "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources". In addition, the Group is exposed to increases in interest rates when its fixed rate liabilities are refinanced. As a result, an increase in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group may be subject to credit risk.

The Group's business is heavily dependent upon a small number of key customers and their suppliers. In addition, although the Group in certain circumstances receives prepayments for its services, in other circumstances, it invoices after the service is rendered, including for reimbursement for amounts paid to Russian Railways for infrastructure and locomotive services. To the extent the Group's customers experience financial difficulties which lead to failures or delays in the payment or reimbursement of obligations, this could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Company is a holding company and its ability to pay dividends or meet costs depends on the receipt of funds from its subsidiaries.

The Company is a holding company and operates entirely through its subsidiaries. As a result, the Company's financial condition depends almost entirely on the financial condition of its subsidiaries. The Company

is dependent upon dividends and other payments, including by way of loans, from its subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to meet its financial obligations, including the payment of dividends, if any, on its shares and the payment of principal and interest on any of its borrowings incurred in the future. The Company's subsidiaries may from time to time be subject to restrictions on their ability to make such payments to the Company as a result of regulatory, fiscal and other restrictions. In addition, dividend payments by the Company's Russian subsidiaries, if made, are subject to withholding tax in Russia. The retained earnings of the Group's subsidiaries, AS Spacecom and AS Spacecom Trans (together, the ***Estonian Subsidiaries***) are not taxed. Under the Estonian tax system, only distributed profits, including implicit profit distributions such as fringe benefits, non-business expenses, transfer pricing adjustments, gifts and donations, are taxable at a flat rate of 21 per cent. on the gross amount of profit distribution. There can be no assurance that such restrictions and taxes will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to pay dividends or to service its borrowings or meet any other costs it may incur, or that the Company will receive sufficient funds from its subsidiaries to meet its financial obligations. In addition, due to the holding structure of the Group, any claim against the Company (including a claim by its shareholders upon liquidation) will be subordinated to the claims against its subsidiaries. The Company could also be liable for the debts of its effective subsidiaries in certain cases (see "*Risks Relating to Russia and Other Emerging Markets—Legislative and Legal Risks—Shareholder liability under Russian corporate law could cause the Company to become liable for the obligations of its subsidiaries*"). If any of the above occurs, it could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The preparation of the Group's EU IFRS financial statements may require additional resources.

Each of the Company's Russian subsidiaries prepares financial statements under Russian accounting standards. The preparation of consolidated EU IFRS financial statements for the Group involves, first, the transformation of the statutory financial statements of these companies into EU IFRS financial statements through accounting adjustments and, second, the consolidation of all such financial statements. This process is complicated and time-consuming, and requires significant attention from the Group's senior accounting personnel. Particularly in light of the Group's growth, the preparation of annual or interim EU IFRS financial statements may require more time than it does for other companies. In addition, this process may be more difficult following the MIT Acquisition as MIT may not be familiar with the Group's standards, procedures and timetables, or may not have the accounting personnel or other resources or skills sufficient to enable it to meet those standards, procedures or timetables. Historically, MIT has used accounting policies which differ in some respects to those used by the Group, and which will need to be conformed to EU IFRS accordingly for future reporting periods. Accordingly, the Group may be required to recruit additional qualified personnel with EU IFRS accounting expertise. Because there is a limited pool of such personnel in Russia, it may be difficult for the Group to hire and retain such personnel. In addition, the Group is dependent upon Russian Railways for the provision of certain information required for the preparation of its financial statements. Notwithstanding the above, the Group believes its financial systems are sufficient to ensure compliance with the requirements of the UKLA's Disclosure and Transparency Rules as a listed entity.

RISKS RELATING TO RUSSIA AND OTHER EMERGING MARKETS

The Group's business, and substantially all of its assets, are located in Russia and certain other emerging markets. There are certain risks associated with an investment in Russia and other emerging markets.

General

Emerging markets, such as the Russian Federation and other countries of the former Soviet Union, are subject to greater risks than more developed markets, including significant economic, political and social, and legal and legislative risks, and the global financial and economic crisis could have a particularly significant adverse effect on emerging markets such as Russia and other countries of the former Soviet Union.

Investors in emerging markets, such as the Russian Federation and other countries of the former Soviet Union (***FSU***), should be aware that these markets are subject to greater risk than more developed markets, including in some cases significant economic, political and social, and legal and legislative risks. Investors should also note that emerging economies are subject to rapid change and that the information set forth herein may become outdated relatively quickly. Moreover, during times of financial crisis companies operating in emerging markets can face particularly severe liquidity constraints as foreign funding sources are withdrawn. The risk factors below focus on risks in Russia but many of them are also applicable to other countries of the FSU.

The European sovereign debt crisis of 2011 and 2012 so far has had relatively limited impact on the Russian economy since it has not led to significant declines in the prices of Russia's key exports (which are mainly natural resource commodities, including oil and gas) as well as due to Russia's relatively healthy public finances

including a low debt to GDP ratio, relatively small budget deficit, and high levels of foreign currency reserves. Should the ongoing crisis lead to a significant worsening of the global macroeconomic situation and/or impact commodity prices and global trade flows, Russia's overall economic and financial position in the short and medium term could also be negatively affected.

Accordingly, prospective investors should exercise particular care in evaluating the risks involved and must decide for themselves whether, in light of those risks, their investment is appropriate. Generally, investment in emerging markets is only suitable for sophisticated investors who fully appreciate the significance of the risks involved and prospective investors are urged to consult with their own legal and financial advisors before making an investment in the GDRs.

Political risks

Changes in government policy or other government actions in Russia could adversely affect the value of the Group's investments in Russia.

Since 1991, Russia has undergone a transformation from a one-party state with a centrally planned economy to a democracy with a market-oriented economy. As a result of the sweeping nature of the reforms, and the ineffectiveness or failure of some of them, the Russian political system remains vulnerable to popular dissatisfaction, including dissatisfaction with the results of privatisations in the 1990s, as well as to demands for autonomy from particular regional and ethnic groups.

Political conditions in Russia were highly volatile in the 1990s, as evidenced by frequent conflicts among executive, legislative and judicial authorities, which had a negative effect on Russia's business and investment climate. Over the past two decades the course of political, economic, regulatory and other reforms has, in some respects, been uneven and the composition of the Russian government has, at times, been unstable.

On 4 December 2011, the State Duma elections were held and, on 4 March 2012, presidential elections were held in the Russian Federation. The structure of political forces in the State Duma did not change substantially and Vladimir Putin was elected as president. While the Russian political system and the relationship between the Russian President, the Russian government and the State Duma currently appears to be stable, future political instability could result either from an economic downturn, a decline in standards of living, political disagreements or unrest, change in government policy or otherwise.

In addition, shifts in governmental policy and regulation in Russia may be less predictable than in many Western democracies and could disrupt or reverse political, economic and regulatory reforms. Any significant change in, or suspension of, the Russian government's programme of reform in Russia, major policy shifts or lack of consensus between the Russian President, the Russian government, the State Duma and powerful economic groups could lead to a deterioration in Russia's investment climate. This could have a material adverse effect on the value of the Group's investments in Russia and as such on the Group's business, its ability to obtain financing in the international markets and hence its financial condition or prospects, and the trading price of the GDRs.

The implementation of government policies targeted at specific individuals or companies could have an adverse effect on investments in Russia and the Group's business.

While the political and economic situation in Russia has generally become more stable and conducive to investment, in recent years, Russian authorities have prosecuted some Russian companies, their executive officers and their shareholders on tax evasion and related charges. In some cases, the result of such prosecutions has been the imposition of prison sentences for individuals and significant claims for unpaid taxes from, according to the Russian press, companies such as Mechel, Yukos, TNK-BP and Vimpelcom. Some analysts contend that such prosecutions and claims for unpaid taxes demonstrate a willingness to reverse key political and economic reforms of the 1990s and have resulted in significant fluctuations in the market price of the securities of Russian companies as well as negatively impacted foreign direct and portfolio investment in Russia. Other analysts, however, believe that these prosecutions are isolated events that relate to the specific individuals and companies involved and do not signal any deviation from broader political and economic reforms or a wider programme of asset redistribution. The occurrence of similar events in the future could result in the deterioration of Russia's investment climate, and such a result could adversely affect the Group's ability to obtain equity and debt financing in the international capital markets and the trading price of the GDRs. See also "*—Legislative and Legal Risks—The Group could be subject to arbitrary government action*".

Political and social conflicts or instability could create an uncertain operating and investment environment.

The Russian Federation is a federation of sub-federal political units, consisting of republics, regions (*oblasts*), territories (*krais*), cities of federal importance, an autonomous region and autonomous districts (*okrugs*), some of which exercise considerable autonomy in their internal affairs pursuant to arrangements with the Russian government. The delineation of authority and jurisdiction between federal, regional and local authorities is, in many instances, unclear and contested, particularly with respect to the division of authority over regulatory matters. Lack of consensus between the federal, regional and local authorities often results in the enactment of conflicting legislation at various levels and may lead to further political instability. In particular, conflicting laws have been enacted in the areas of privatisation, securities, corporate legislation and licensing. Some of these laws and governmental and administrative decisions implementing them, as well as certain transactions consummated pursuant to them, have in the past been challenged in the courts, and such challenges may occur in the future. The Russian political system is vulnerable to tension and conflict between federal, regional and local authorities. This tension creates uncertainties in the operating environment in Russia, which could hinder the Group's long term planning efforts and may prevent the Group from carrying out its business strategy effectively and efficiently.

In addition, ethnic, religious, historical and other divisions have, on occasion, given rise to tensions and, in certain cases, military conflicts and terrorist attacks. If such tensions escalate, significant political consequences could arise, including the imposition of a state of emergency in some or all regions of Russia. Moreover, military conflicts and/or terrorist attacks and the resulting heightened security measures could cause disruptions to domestic commerce of Russia and other involved countries as well as lead to stock market volatility. For example, a military conflict in August 2008 between Russia and Georgia involving South Ossetia and Abkhazia resulted in significant overall price declines on the Russian stock exchanges. More recently, suicide bombings were carried out in two Moscow metro stations on 29 March 2010 and at the Moscow Domodedovo airport on 24 January 2011 and resulted in 76 fatalities in the aggregate.

Historically, natural disasters have adversely affected the global and Russian economy and financial market. For example, in July and August 2010, a series of fires broke out across Western Russia and around Moscow, covering at one stage over 193,000 hectares. The fires, combined with a summer drought and record high temperatures, resulted in a decline in the Russian harvest, and accordingly, an increase in demand for imported grain, reported to be Russia's largest import demand for over ten years. The costs associated with controlling and reducing the fires, addressing environmental concerns and repairing the damage caused by the fires may have had an adverse effect on the Russian economy.

Further such conflicts, tensions, terrorist attacks or natural disasters could have a material adverse effect on economic confidence and the investment environment in Russia generally, which in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Group's ability to obtain equity and debt financing in the international capital markets and the trading price of the GDRs.

Economic risks

Economic instability in Russia and other markets in which the Group operates could harm the Group's business and investment plans.

From 2000 until the first half of 2008, Russia experienced rapid growth in its GDP, higher tax collections and increased stability of the rouble, providing some degree of economic soundness. However, the Russian economy was adversely affected by the global financial and economic crisis that began in the second half of 2008, which manifested itself through extreme volatility in debt and equity markets, reductions in foreign investment and sharp decreases in GDP around the world. While the Russian economy has strengthened in 2010 and 2011, any economic reversal is likely to have a negative impact the Group's profitability. Any of the following risks, which the Russian economy has experienced at various times in the past and some of which occurred during the financial and economic crisis, may have a significant adverse effect on the investment climate in Russia and, in turn, may be a significant burden on the Group's operations:

- significant declines in its GDP;
- high levels of inflation;
- increases in, or high, interest rates;
- sudden price declines in the natural resource sector;
- instability in the local currency market;

- high levels of government debt relative to GDP;
- lack of reform in the banking sector and a weak banking system providing limited liquidity to Russian enterprises;
- the continued operation of loss-making enterprises due to the lack of effective bankruptcy proceedings;
- the use of fraudulent bankruptcy actions in order to take unlawful possession of property;
- widespread tax evasion;
- growth of a black and grey-market economy;
- widespread use of barter and non-liquid bills in settlements for commercial transactions;
- pervasive capital flight;
- high levels of corruption and the penetration of organised crime into the economy;
- political and social instability;
- dependence of the economy on exports of commodities;
- significant declines and volatility in the stock market;
- significant increases in unemployment and underemployment;
- the impoverishment of a large portion of the Russian population; and
- outdated and deteriorating physical infrastructure.

The Russian economy has been subject to other abrupt downturns in the past. For example, on 17 August 1998, in the face of a rapidly deteriorating economic situation, the Russian government defaulted on its Rouble-denominated securities, the CBR stopped its support of the Rouble and a temporary moratorium was imposed on certain hard currency payments. These actions resulted in an immediate and severe devaluation of the Rouble and a sharp increase in the rate of inflation, a dramatic decline in the prices of Russian debt and equity securities and an inability of Russian issuers to raise funds in the international capital markets. These problems were aggravated by the near collapse of the Russian banking sector in connection with the same events. This further impaired the ability of the banking sector to act as a reliable source of liquidity to Russian companies and resulted in the widespread loss of bank deposits. In addition, as Russia produces and exports large quantities of crude oil, natural gas and other commodities, the Russian economy is particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in the prices of crude oil, natural gas and other commodities on the world market, which reached record high levels in the first half of 2008 and have since experienced high levels of volatility, including significant decreases.

In late 2008, at the outset of the global economic downturn, the Russian government announced plans to institute more than USD 200 billion in emergency financial assistance in order to ease taxes, refinance foreign debt and encourage lending. However, these measures had a limited effect, although there has been some improvement, and the Russian economy has not yet fully recovered from the economic downturn. In the 2008-2009 period, the impact of the global financial and economic crisis on the Russian economy led to, among other things, several suspensions of trading on MICEX and RTS by market regulators, a reduction in Russian GDP and the disposable income of the general population, a severe impact on bank liquidity, a significant devaluation of the Rouble against the US Dollar and Euro, a sharp decrease in industrial production and a rise of unemployment. In December 2008, Standard & Poor's downgraded Russia's foreign currency sovereign credit rating, which reflects an assessment by such agency that there is an increased credit risk that the Russian government may default on its obligations, from BBB+/A-2 to BBB/A-3, in large part due to the impact of the financial and economic crisis that began in the second half of 2008. Moody's changed its outlook to stable from positive on Russia's key ratings in December 2008. In February 2009, Fitch downgraded its long-term sovereign rating for the Russian Federation from BBB+/A-2 to BBB/A-3, stating that the lowering of the ratings on Russia reflects risks associated with the sharp reversal in external portfolio and other investment flows, which has increased the cost and difficulty of meeting the country's external financing needs. In January 2010 Fitch, however, changed the outlook from negative to stable. In October 2011, Moody's adjusted its ratings outlook for the Russian banking system from "stable" to "negative". The change reflected concerns that market volatility was weakening Russia's operating environment. In January 2012, Fitch

revised its outlook for the Russian Federation from positive to stable based on perceived increased political uncertainty and global economic outlook. In March 2012, Fitch announced it may further lower the Russian sovereign credit rating if the Russian government does not restrict its budget policy and fails to limit expenditure.

There can be no assurance that a future economic crisis will not have a negative effect on investors' confidence in the Russian markets or economy or the ability of Russian-based groups to raise capital in the international capital markets, any of which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Russian economy. Any deterioration in the general economic conditions in Russia could adversely affect the flow of goods and, in turn, demand for the Group's services, and therefore could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Instability of global financial markets could affect the Russian economy and the Group.

The financial markets, both globally and in Russia, have faced significant volatility, dislocation and liquidity constraints since the summer of 2008. As a result of these developments, there is an increased concern about the stability of the financial markets generally and the strength of counterparties, and many lenders and institutional investors have reduced, and in some cases, ceased to provide, funding to borrowers, including other financial institutions, which has significantly reduced the liquidity in the global financial system.

Since March 2009, international private credit markets have started to improve. However, significant government borrowing to finance recapitalisation of financial and other institutions, as well as substantial fiscal stimulus packages, have led to a deterioration of sovereign credit. As a result, global credit and capital markets continue to be fragile and suffer from occasional crises of confidence, and there can be no assurance that the disruptions in the global capital and credit markets such as, in particular, the Dubai real estate crisis that commenced in November 2009 or the Greek fiscal crisis that started in April 2010 and then flared again in the summer of 2011 and questions regarding the financial stability of other EU nations (particularly Italy, Spain, Ireland and Portugal), could not be amplified or replicated elsewhere on a more significant scale in the near future.

Russia's economy was adversely affected by the global financial and economic crisis in 2008-2009 and could be adversely affected by market downturns and economic crises or slowdowns elsewhere in the world in the future. In particular, the disruptions in the global financial markets have had a severe impact on the liquidity of Russian entities, the availability of credit and the terms and cost of domestic and external funding for Russian entities. This could adversely influence the level of customer demand for various services, including those provided by the Group.

These developments, as well as adverse changes arising from systemic risks in global financial systems, including any tightening of the credit environment, or a decline in oil, gas or other commodities prices (such as, for example, steel or precious metals) could slow or disrupt the Russian economy which could adversely affect the flow of goods and, in turn, demand for the Group's services, and therefore adversely affect the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Fluctuations in the global economy may adversely affect Russia's economy and thus the Group's business.

Russia produces and exports large quantities of crude oil, natural gas and other mineral resources, which makes the Russian economy particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in the world markets in the prices of commodities. Russian freight rail volumes are vulnerable to fluctuations in demand for such resources, particularly those related to the metallurgical industry. A sustained decline in the price of, or demand for, certain Russian commodities, or the imposition of restrictions on Russian products by principal export markets, could slow or disrupt the Russian economy and cause freight rail volumes to decline. In addition, instability in other markets (whether developed or emerging), including the instability resulting from a global economic and financial crisis similar to that experienced in 2008-2009, may affect investor sentiment towards Russia. Such developments could affect economic conditions in Russia and could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Military conflicts, international terrorist activity and natural disasters have had a significant effect on international finance and commodity prices. Any future military conflicts, acts of terrorism or natural disasters of sizeable magnitude could have an adverse effect on the international financial and commodities markets and the global economy and consequently on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Inflation could increase the Group's cost base.

The Russian economy has recently been characterised by high rates of inflation. The inflation rate for the first three months of 2012 was 1.5 per cent., and the annual inflation rate was 6.1 per cent. in 2011, 8.8 per cent. in 2010 and 8.8 per cent. in 2009, according to Rosstat. Certain of the Group's costs, such as maintenance costs, operating lease costs and, in particular, wages, are sensitive to rises in general price levels in Russia. However, due to competitive pressures, if the Group's costs continue to increase the Group may not be able to pass along the costs to its customers. Accordingly if high rates of inflation continue, there can be no assurance that the Group will be able to maintain or increase its margins to cover such cost increases, which could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The physical infrastructure in Russia, including the rail network, is in poor condition.

The physical infrastructure in Russia largely dates back to Soviet times and has not been adequately funded and maintained since then. Russia's poor infrastructure disrupts the transportation of goods and supplies as well as communications and adds costs to doing business in Russia. Particularly affected are the rail and road networks, power generation and transmission, communication systems, and building stock. Electricity and heating shortages in some of Russia's regions have seriously disrupted local economies. For example, in May 2005, an electricity blackout affected much of Moscow and some other regions in the central part of Russia for a full day, disrupting normal business activity. Other parts of the country face similar problems. Furthermore, in August 2009, an accident occurred at the Sayano-Shushenskaya Hydroelectric Power Plant in southern Siberia, the largest such plant in Russia in terms of installed capacity, when water from the Yenisei River flooded the turbine and transformer rooms at the power plant's dam, killing more than 70 people and causing billions of Roubles in damage. As a result of the accident, the plant halted power production, leading to temporary power shortages for both residential and industrial consumers.

Road conditions throughout Russia are also poor, with many roads not meeting modern quality requirements. Some areas within Russia, particularly those surrounding ageing nuclear power plants, are potentially hazardous. As one of the alternatives to roads, additional stress has been put on the Russian rail infrastructure for the transportation of freight. The Russian government is actively pursuing the reorganisation of the nation's rail, electricity and telephone systems. Any such reorganisation may result in increased charges and tariffs while failing to generate the anticipated capital investment needed to repair, maintain and improve these systems.

The poor condition or further deterioration of Russia's physical infrastructure may harm the national economy, disrupt access to communications and add costs to doing business in Russia and interrupt business operations affecting the flow of goods, which could adversely affect the demand for the Group's services and would have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs. See also "*—Risks Relating to the Group's Business and Industry—The Group's business is heavily dependent on services provided by Russian Railways and the ageing railway infrastructure in Russia, Kazakhstan and Ukraine*".

The Russian banking system remains under-developed.

Russia's banking and other financial systems are not well developed, and Russian legislation relating to banks and bank accounts may be subject to varying interpretations and inconsistent application. The 1998 financial crisis resulted in the bankruptcy and liquidation of many Russian banks and almost entirely eliminated the developing market for commercial bank loans at that time. From April to July 2004, the Russian banking sector experienced its first serious turmoil since the financial crisis of August 1998. As a result of various market rumours and, in some cases, certain regulatory and liquidity problems, several privately-owned Russian banks experienced liquidity problems and were unable to attract funds on the inter-bank market or from their client base. Simultaneously, they faced large withdrawals of deposits by both retail and corporate customers. Several of these privately-owned Russian banks collapsed or ceased or severely limited their operations. Russian banks owned or controlled by the Russian government or the CBR and foreign-owned banks generally were not adversely affected by the turmoil.

Many Russian banks also do not meet international banking standards, and the transparency of the Russian banking sector still lags behind internationally accepted norms in certain respects. Banking supervision is also often inadequate, and, as a result, many Russian banks do not follow existing CBR regulations with respect to lending criteria, credit quality, loan loss reserves, diversification of exposure or other requirements. The imposition of more stringent regulations or interpretations could lead to determinations of inadequate capital and the insolvency of some banks.

Prior to the global financial and economic crisis in 2008-2009, there had been a rapid increase in lending by Russian banks, which many believe was accompanied by deterioration in the credit quality of the borrowers. In addition, a robust domestic corporate debt market was leading to Russian banks increasingly holding large amounts of Russian corporate Rouble-denominated bonds in their portfolios, which further deteriorated the risk profile of Russian bank assets.

The serious deficiencies in the Russian banking sector, combined with the deterioration in the credit portfolios of Russian banks, may result in the banking sector being more susceptible to market downturns or economic slowdowns, including due to Russian corporate defaults that may occur during any such market downturn or economic slowdown. There are currently only a limited number of creditworthy Russian banks, most of which are located in Moscow. Another banking crisis, or the bankruptcy or insolvency of the banks which hold the Group's funds, could result in the loss of its income for several days or affect its ability to complete banking transactions in Russia, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects. Furthermore, any shortages of funds or other disruptions to banking experienced by the Group's banks from time to time could also have a material adverse effect on the Group's ability to complete its planned developments or obtain finance required for its planned growth and thus have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Social risks

Social instability, including that caused by worsening economic conditions, turmoil in the Russian financial markets or differing political views, could lead to labour and social unrest.

The past failures of the Russian government and many private enterprises to pay full salaries on a regular basis and the failure of salaries and benefits generally to keep pace with the rapidly increasing cost of living have led in the past, and could lead in the future, to labour and social unrest. Moreover, deteriorating economic conditions and turmoil in the financial markets in Russia, such as occurred as a result of the global financial and economic crisis in 2008-2009, may result in high unemployment, the failure of state and private enterprises to pay full salaries on time and the failure of salaries and benefits generally to keep pace with the increasing cost of living. These conditions have already led to a certain amount of labour and social unrest that may continue or escalate in the future. Labour and social unrest could have political, social and economic consequences, such as increased support for a renewal of centralised authority; increased nationalism, with support for re-nationalisation of privatised property, or expropriation of or restrictions on foreign involvement in the economy of Russia; and increased violence.

In addition, following the State Duma elections held on 4 December 2011 and the presidential elections held on the 4 March 2012, Moscow, St. Petersburg and some other major urban centres in Russia experienced protests from supporters of opposition parties alleging irregularities in those elections, and supporters of the incumbent political party, on a scale not seen in Russia for many years. Although the structure of political forces in the State Duma did not change substantially and the election of Mr. Putin as president again is a sign of continuity in Russian politics, it is still unclear if these protests, or politically related social unrest more generally, will continue or escalate in the future.

Any of these could have an adverse effect on confidence in Russia's social environment and the value of investments in Russia, could restrict the Group's operations and lead to a loss of revenue, and therefore could have a material adverse effect on the Group's ability to obtain equity and debt financing in the international capital markets and the trading price of the GDRs.

Crime and corruption could disrupt the Group's ability to conduct its business.

The economic changes in Russia in recent years have resulted in significant dislocations of authority. The local and international press have reported that significant organised criminal activity has risen. In addition, there are reportedly high levels of corruption, including the bribing of officials for the purpose of obtaining licences or other permissions, for the purpose of obtaining a right to supply goods or services to the state or major purchasers; or for the purpose of initiating investigations by government agencies. Press reports have also described instances in which government officials have engaged in selective investigations and prosecutions to further their own interests or the interests of certain individuals. Additionally, published reports indicate that a significant number of Russian media regularly publish slanted articles in return for payment. Recent reports in the media have suggested that such practices continue to exist in the country, including as tactics in connection with the acquisition of companies or their assets by so-called "raiders". Any allegations of the Group's involvement in such practices would pose a risk of prosecution and of possible criminal or administrative liability or reputational damage. The proliferation of organised or other crime, corruption and other illegal activities that disrupt the Group's ability to conduct its business effectively, or any claims that it has been involved in corruption, or illegal activities (even if false) that

generate negative publicity, could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Legislative and legal risks

Weaknesses relating to the Russian legal system and Russian legislation create an uncertain environment for investment and business activity in Russia.

Russia's legal framework has evolved rapidly in recent years. Since 1991, Russian law has been largely, but not entirely, replaced by the new legal regime established by the 1993 Federal Constitution. The Group's business is subject to the rules of the Russian Civil Code, other federal laws and decrees, and orders and regulations issued by the President, the government, the federal ministries and the CBR, which are, in turn, complemented by regional and local rules and regulations. The following risks relating to the Russian legal system create uncertainties with respect to the legal and business decisions that the Group makes, many of which do not exist to the same extent in countries with more developed market economies:

- the existence of inconsistencies between: (a) federal laws; (b) decrees, orders and regulations issued by the President, the government and federal ministers; and (c) regional and local laws, rules and regulations;
- a lack of judicial and administrative guidance on interpreting legislation as well as a lack of sufficient commentaries on judicial rulings and legislation;
- the relative unavailability of Russian legislation and court decisions in an organised manner that facilitates the understanding of such legislation and court decisions;
- the relative inexperience of judges and courts in interpreting legislation in accordance with new principles established under reformed statutes;
- the existence of substantial gaps in the legal framework due to the delay or absence of implementing regulations for certain legislation;
- a lack of judicial independence from political, social and commercial forces;
- alleged corruption within the judiciary and the governmental authorities;
- problematic and time-consuming enforcement of both Russian and non-Russian judicial orders and international arbitration awards;
- a high degree of discretion on the part of governmental authorities, leaving significant opportunities for arbitrary and capricious government action; and
- bankruptcy procedures that are not well developed and subject to abuse.

Legislation relating to disclosure and reporting requirements and anti-money laundering legislation have only recently been enacted in Russia. The concept of fiduciary duties being owed by management or directors to their companies or shareholders is relatively new to Russian law. Violations of disclosure and reporting requirements or breaches of fiduciary duties could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

In addition, several fundamental Russian laws have only relatively recently become effective. The enactment of new legislation in the context of a rapid evolution to a market economy and the lack of consensus about the aims, scope, content and pace of economic and political reforms have resulted in ambiguities, inconsistencies and anomalies in the Russian legal system. The enforceability of some of the more recently enacted laws may be subject to doubt and many new laws remain untested. Russian legislation also often contemplates implementing regulations that have not yet been promulgated, leaving substantial gaps in the regulatory infrastructure. All of these weaknesses could affect the Group's ability to enforce its legal rights in Russia, including rights under contracts, or to defend against claims by others in Russia, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Shareholder liability under Russian corporate law could cause the Company to become liable for the obligations of its subsidiaries.

The Civil Code, Federal Law No. 208-FZ “On Joint Stock Companies” dated 26 December 1995, as amended (the ***Joint Stock Companies Law***), and Federal Law No. 14-FZ “On Limited Liability Companies” dated 8 February 1998, as amended (the ***LLC Law***), provide that shareholders in a Russian joint stock company or participants in a Russian limited liability company generally are not liable for that company’s obligations and bear only the risk of loss of their investment. Additional shareholder liability may arise, however, if one person (the ***Effective Parent***) can give binding instructions to another person (the ***Effective Subsidiary***). The Effective Parent bears joint and several liability for transactions concluded by the Effective Subsidiary in carrying out business decisions if:

- the Effective Parent’s decision-making capability is provided for in the charter of the Effective Subsidiary or in a contract between the Effective Parent and the Effective Subsidiary; and
- the Effective Parent gives binding directions to the Effective Subsidiary.

Moreover, an Effective Parent is secondarily liable for an Effective Subsidiary’s debts if the Effective Subsidiary becomes insolvent or bankrupt as a result of the action or inaction of the Effective Parent. The Effective Parent’s liability will be triggered if it has exercised a possibility to give binding instructions to the Effective Subsidiary knowing that this could result in Effective Subsidiary’s insolvency. Similarly, other shareholders of the Effective Subsidiary may claim compensation for the Effective Subsidiary’s losses from the Effective Parent that causes the Effective Subsidiary to take action or fail to take action knowing that such action or failure to take action would result in losses.

The Company could be found to be the Effective Parent of its subsidiaries, in which case it could become liable for their debts, which could have a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group could be subject to arbitrary government action.

Government authorities have a high degree of discretion in Russia and at times appear to act selectively or arbitrarily, without hearing or prior notice, and sometimes in a manner that may not be in full accordance with the law or that may be influenced by political or commercial considerations. Moreover, government authorities also have the power in certain circumstances, by regulation or government act, to interfere with the performance of, nullify or terminate contracts. Unlawful, selective or arbitrary governmental actions have reportedly included denial or withdrawal of licences, sudden and unexpected tax audits, criminal prosecutions and civil actions. Federal and local government entities also appear to have used common defects in matters surrounding share issuances and registration as pretexts for court claims and other demands to invalidate such issuances or registrations or to void transactions, seemingly for political purposes. Standard & Poor’s, a division of McGraw Hill Companies, Inc., has expressed concerns that “Russian companies and their investors can be subjected to government pressure through selective implementation of regulations and legislation that is either politically motivated or triggered by competing business groups”. For example, certain provisions of Russian law may allow a court to order the liquidation of a Russian legal entity on the basis of its formal non-compliance with certain requirements during the formation of such entity or during its operation; for example, if it has or has had net assets lower than its share capital. In certain cases the registering state authority even without a court decision may liquidate a Russian legal entity, and there is precedent for such politically motivated actions in Russia. In this environment, the Group’s competitors may receive preferential treatment from the government, potentially giving them a competitive advantage. Although arbitrary, selective or unlawful government action may be challenged in court, such action, if directed at the Group or its shareholders, lead to termination of contracts, civil litigation, criminal proceedings and imprisonment of key personnel, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

In addition, since 2003, the Ministry for Taxes and Levies (now succeeded by the Federal Tax Service) has begun to challenge certain Russian companies’ use of tax optimisation schemes, and press reports have speculated that these enforcement actions have been selective. Although the Group believes that it is currently in compliance with all of its tax obligations with respect to its operations in Russia, there can be no assurance that the Federal Tax Service, or any of its lower divisions, will not become more aggressive in respect of future tax audits or other compliance activities, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Russian legislation may not adequately protect against expropriation and nationalisation.

The Russian government has enacted legislation to protect foreign investment and other property against expropriation and nationalisation. If such property is expropriated or nationalised, legislation provides for fair compensation. However, there is no assurance that such protections would be enforced. This uncertainty is due to several factors, including:

- the lack of state budgetary resources;
- the apparent lack of political will to enforce legislation to protect property against expropriation and nationalisation;
- the lack of an independent judiciary and sufficient mechanisms to enforce judgements; and
- reportedly widespread corruption among government officials.

The concept of property rights is not well developed in Russia and there is little experience in enforcing legislation enacted to protect private property against expropriation or nationalisation. An anti-privatisation lobby still exists within the Russian parliament. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that legislation protecting private investments will not be withdrawn. In addition, land may be subject to compulsory purchase by the state for its own needs or as a sanction for the inappropriate use of that land. It is not clear from Russian law how losses from nationalised assets would be calculated nor whether there would be any way to seek to challenge (and therefore to prevent) the confiscation of such assets. As a result, the Group may not be able to obtain proper redress in the courts, and may not receive adequate compensation if, in the future, the Russian government decides to expropriate or nationalise some or all of the Group's assets. Expropriation or nationalisation of all or a portion of the Group's business, especially without fair compensation, would have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Russian currency regulation has been liberalised but may remain subject to change.

In the 1990s, during a time of adverse economic conditions, the Russian currency control regime was severely restricted. At times, a temporary moratorium was imposed on certain hard currency payments and operations. However, there has been a liberalisation of the currency control regime, with the abolishment of certain currency restrictions from 1 January 2007. Notwithstanding this liberalisation, there can be no assurance that future changes to the Russian exchange control regime will not restrict the Company's ability to repatriate earnings from its subsidiaries to pay dividends or to pay for the general operational expenses of the Company in Cyprus, or otherwise have a negative impact on the development of the Russian capital markets, which could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

The Group may incur material costs to comply with, or as a result of, health, safety and environmental laws and regulations.

Russian regulatory authorities exercise considerable discretion in matters of enforcement and interpretation of applicable laws, regulations and standards, the issuance and renewal of permits and in monitoring compliance with the terms thereof. Compliance with new requirements may be costly and time consuming and may result in delays in the commencement or continuation of the Group's operations. Moreover, any failure by the Group to comply with such requirements may result in the imposition of sanctions, including civil and administrative penalties, upon the Group or its subsidiaries and criminal and administrative penalties applicable to officers of the Group's subsidiaries. There can be no assurance that the Group will be able to comply with existing or new requirements and, as a result, the Group may be required to cease certain of its business activities and/or to remedy past infringements. Any such decisions, requirements or sanctions could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

RISKS RELATING TO THE GDRS

Voting rights with respect to the Ordinary Shares represented by the GDRs are limited by the terms of the Deposit Agreement for the GDRs and relevant requirements of Cypriot law.

GDR holders have no direct voting rights with respect to the Ordinary Shares represented by the GDRs (including the GDRs). GDR holders are able to exercise voting rights with respect to the shares represented by GDRs only in accordance with the provisions of the deposit agreement entered into on 7 May 2008 between the Company and the Depositary (the ***Deposit Agreement***) and relevant requirements of Cypriot law (see "***Terms and***

Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts”). Therefore, there are practical limitations upon the ability of GDR holders to exercise their voting rights due to the additional procedural steps involved in communicating with such holders.

Holders of Ordinary Shares receive notice directly from the Company and are able to exercise their voting rights either personally or by proxy. GDR holders, by comparison, do not receive notice directly from the Company. Rather, in accordance with the Deposit Agreement, the Company provides notice to the Depositary. The Depositary then, as soon as practicable, at the Company’s expense, distributes to GDR holders notices of meetings, copies of voting materials (if and as received by the Depositary from the Company) and a statement as to the manner in which GDR holders may give instructions.

In order to exercise their voting rights, GDR holders must then instruct the Depositary how to vote the Ordinary Shares represented by the GDRs they hold. As a result of this additional procedural step involving the Depositary, the process for exercising voting rights may take longer for GDR holders than for holders of Ordinary Shares, and there can be no assurance that GDR holders will receive voting materials in time to enable them to return voting instructions to the Depositary in a timely manner.

If the Depositary does not receive timely voting instructions or the instructions it receives are defective, the Holder will be deemed to have instructed the Depositary to give a discretionary proxy to a person appointed by the Company to vote such Ordinary Shares, provided that such discretionary proxy will not be given if the Company does not wish such proxy to be given or if such matter materially and adversely affects the rights of holders of Ordinary Shares. If timely voting instructions are not received and no discretionary proxy is given in respect of such Ordinary Shares, or if the Depositary determines that it is not permissible under Cyprus law or it is reasonably impracticable to vote or cause to be voted the Ordinary Shares, such Ordinary Shares will not be voted.

For further details, see “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*”.

Sales of additional GDRs or Ordinary Shares may result in a decline in the price of the GDRs.

Sales, or the possibility of sales, by the Company, TIHL, EIL or Litten (or by any pledgee of Ordinary Shares or GDRs pledged by TIHL, EIL or Litten) of a substantial number of GDRs or Ordinary Shares in the public markets could have an adverse effect on the trading prices of the GDRs as well as the Company’s ability to obtain further capital through an offering of equity securities. Each of the Company, TIHL (which owns approximately 34.5 per cent. of the Company), EIL (which owns approximately 8.8 per cent. of the Company) and Litten (which owns approximately 2.0 per cent. of the Company) has agreed that, until 13 January 2013, neither it nor any person acting on its behalf will, without the prior written consent of the Joint Bookrunners for the 2012 GDR Offering, sell, pledge or encumber the Ordinary Shares (including in the form of GDRs) or, in the case of the Company, issue new Ordinary Shares. The sale of a substantial number of additional GDRs or Ordinary Shares, in particular by TIHL, EIL or Litten (or a pledgee), or the issuance of new shares by the Company, or the possibility that these sales or issuances may occur, may result in a decline in the price of the GDRs. For example, TIHL obtained such consent in October 2012 from the Joint Bookrunners for a placing of 10,256,411 Ordinary Shares (representing 5.7% of the issued share capital of the Company) in the form of GDRs at a purchase price of USD 19.50. Moreover, the Company may in the future issue new Ordinary Shares or GDRs that have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the Ordinary Shares which could negatively affect the price of the GDRs. As a result, investors who purchase GDRs could lose all or part of their investment in such GDRs.

The Company is not subject to the same takeover protection as a company incorporated in the United Kingdom.

Since the Company has its registered office in Cyprus and GDRs representing its ordinary shares are listed on a regulated market in the United Kingdom, the takeover protection regime applicable to the Company is more limited than that applicable to public companies incorporated in the United Kingdom. Any offer for GDRs will be subject to the provisions of the United Kingdom City Code on Takeovers and Mergers (the ***City Code***) in respect only of consideration, disclosure requirements and procedural matters applicable to the offer, while Cypriot law will apply to such an offer in relation to substantive company law matters, such as whether such an offer would trigger a mandatory offer to all holders of GDRs.

Holders of GDRs may not be able to exercise their pre-emptive rights in relation to future issues of Ordinary Shares.

In order to raise funding in the future, the Company may issue additional Ordinary Shares, including in the form of additional GDRs. Generally, existing holders of ordinary shares in Cyprus public companies are entitled to pre-emptive rights on the issue of new ordinary shares for cash consideration in that company as described in “*Description of Share Capital and Applicable Cypriot Law*”, though, under certain circumstances, such pre-emptive

rights can be disapplied by the majorities described in “*Description of Share Capital and Applicable Cypriot Law*”. At the meeting of the shareholders held on 4 May 2012, the shareholders of the Company voted to disapply pre-emptive rights in conjunction with the placement of up to 75,782,595 Ordinary Shares, including the 20,605,383 Ordinary Shares represented by GDRs sold by the Company in the 2012 GDR Offering. As a result, until 4 May 2013, holders of GDRs will not be able to exercise pre-emptive rights on any offering of up to 75,782,595 additional Ordinary Shares (or GDRs representing such Ordinary Shares) by the Company unless the shareholders decide otherwise in a general meeting. Holders of GDRs in certain jurisdictions (including the United States) may not be able to exercise pre-emptive rights for ordinary shares represented by GDRs unless the applicable securities law requirements in such jurisdiction (including, in the United States, in some circumstances the filing of a registration statement under the Securities Act) are adhered to or an exemption from such requirements is available. The Company may not adhere to such requirements and an exemption may not be available. Accordingly, such holders may not be able to exercise their pre-emptive rights on future issuances of Ordinary Shares, and, as a result, their percentage ownership interest in the Company would be reduced.

The price of the GDRs may be highly volatile.

Trading of the GDRs on the London Stock Exchange has historically been subject to high volatility in terms of price and volume. See “*GDR Trading History*”. The liquidity of any market for the GDRs depends on the number of holders of the GDRs, the market for similar securities and other factors, including general economic conditions and the Group’s financial condition, performance and prospects, as well as the recommendations of securities analysts. As a result, the Group cannot be certain that a more active trading market for the GDRs will develop or that it will be maintained. If a more active trading market for the GDRs does not develop, investors may not be able to sell the GDRs they purchased at or above the price at which they acquired them. As a result, investors could lose all or part of their investment in the GDRs.

The Ordinary Shares underlying the GDRs are not listed and are illiquid and will remain illiquid.

Unlike the shares underlying most of the other global depositary receipts traded on the London Stock Exchange, the Company’s Ordinary Shares are neither listed nor traded on any stock exchange, and the Company does not intend to apply for the listing or admission to trading of its Ordinary Shares on any stock exchange. As a result, a withdrawal of ordinary shares by a holder of GDRs, whether by election or due to certain events described under “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts—Termination of Deposit Agreement*”, will result in that holder obtaining securities that are significantly less liquid than the GDRs and the price of those securities may be discounted as a result of such withdrawal.

RISKS RELATING TO TAXATION

Taxation risks relating to Russia

The Group’s business has a significant exposure to taxation in Russia.

Generally, Russian companies pay relatively substantial taxes, which are imposed at the federal, regional and local levels, and include, among other things, corporate profits tax, VAT, property tax and payroll-related mandatory contributions. Laws related to these taxes, such as the Russian Tax Code, have been in force for a short period of time relative to tax laws in more developed market economies, and the Russian government’s implementation of these laws is often unclear or inconsistent. Historically, the system of tax collection has been relatively ineffective, resulting in continual amendments to the tax laws and varying interpretations by different authorities and in the imposition of new taxes in an attempt to increase revenue. Although the quality of the Russian tax legislation has generally improved with the introduction of the Russian Tax Code, the possibility exists that the Russian government may impose arbitrary or onerous taxes and penalties in the future.

Russian federal, regional and local tax laws, regulations and court practice are subject to frequent change, varying interpretation and inconsistent enforcement. Russian law and legal practice is not as clearly established as the legal systems of most western countries and there are a number of practical uncertainties in the application of the tax legislation and related provisions. Some of these uncertainties are of a general nature, whereas others relate specifically to companies operating in the transportation industry. Differing interpretations of tax regulations exist both among and within government bodies at the federal, regional and local levels, increasing the number of uncertainties and leading to inconsistent enforcement procedures. The Russian tax system is further impeded by the fact that, at times, it continues to be characterised by inconsistent judgments of local tax authorities and the failure by the tax authorities to address many of the existing problems.

There are no clear rules or court practice for distinguishing between lawful tax optimisation and tax evasion. Furthermore, taxpayers, the Russian Ministry of Finance and the Russian tax authorities often interpret tax

laws differently. The current practice is that private clarifications to specific taxpayers' queries with respect to particular situations issued by the Russian Ministry of Finance are not binding on the Russian tax authorities and there can be no assurance that the Russian tax authorities will not take positions contrary to those set out in such clarifications.

Over the past several years, the tax authorities have shown a tendency to take a more assertive position in their interpretation of legislation which has led to an increased number of material tax assessments as a result of company tax audits operating in various industries. In particular, in some instances the Russian tax authorities have applied new interpretations of tax laws retroactively. As taxpayers and the Russian tax authorities often interpret tax laws differently, taxpayers frequently resort to court proceedings to defend their position against the tax authorities. Specifically, during the last few years the tax audits carried out by local tax officials have resulted in several material claims against major Russian companies. Furthermore, at the judicial level, there is no established precedent or consistent court practice in respect of these issues. In the absence of the binding precedent, court rulings on tax or other related matters by different courts relating to the same or similar circumstances may also be inconsistent or contradictory.

The possibility that Russian tax legislation will change cannot be excluded and such a change may result in the introduction of additional revenue raising measures. Although it is unclear how these measures would operate, the introduction of such measures could affect the overall tax efficiency of the Group's operations and result in significant additional tax liabilities. Additional tax exposure could have a material adverse impact on the Group's business, financial performance and prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

According to the Constitution of the Russian Federation, laws which introduce new taxes or worsen a taxpayer's position cannot be applied retroactively. However, there have been several instances when such laws were introduced and applied retroactively. There can therefore be no assurance that the Tax Code will not be changed in the future in a manner that will adversely affect the relative stability and predictability of the Russian tax system.

Despite the Russian government taking steps to reduce the overall tax burden on taxpayers in recent years, the tax authorities challenge certain structures, arrangements and transactions of taxpayers which have not been challenged or litigated in prior tax audits. Russian subsidiaries of the Company may, therefore, be subject to greater than expected tax burdens. Additionally, taxes have been used as a tool for significant state intervention in certain key industries. See "*—Risks Relating to Russia and Other Emerging Markets—Legislative and Legal Risks—The Group could be subject to arbitrary government action*".

These changing conditions create tax risks in Russia that are more significant than those typically found in jurisdictions with a more developed tax system. In addition, there can be no assurance that the current tax rates will not increase, that new taxes will not be introduced or that additional sources of revenue or income, or other activities, will not be subject to new taxes, charges or similar fees in the future. In general, it is expected that Russian tax legislation will become progressively more sophisticated. The introduction of new taxes, amendments to current taxation rules or changes in approaches to their interpretation may have a substantial impact on the overall amount of tax liabilities of the Group. There is no assurance that the Group would not be required to make substantially larger tax payments in the future. In addition to creating a substantial tax burden, these risks and uncertainties complicate the Group's tax planning, business and operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs, potentially exposing it to significant additional taxes, fines and penalties and enforcement measures.

Russian subsidiaries of the Company are subject to tax audits by Russian tax authorities which may result in additional tax liabilities for the Group.

Tax liabilities reflected in tax declarations of taxpayers in Russia together with related documentation are subject to review and investigation by the tax authorities, which are authorised by Russian law to impose fines and late payment interest on taxpayers. Generally, tax declarations remain open and subject to audit by the tax and/or customs authorities for a period of three calendar years immediately preceding the year in which the decision to carry out the tax audit is adopted. The fact that a year has been reviewed by the tax authorities does not prevent any tax declarations applicable to that year from further review during the three-year limitation period and therefore does not exclude subsequent claims that can be made in relation to the audited periods. In particular, Russian tax law allows a higher-level tax inspectorate than that which carried out the initial tax audit to re-audit the same reporting (tax) period as a measure of control over the activities of lower-level tax authorities; the tax authorities are also allowed to carry out repeat on-site tax audits in case of the restructuring or liquidation of a taxpayer or submission of an adjusted tax return for the same period resulting in decrease of the amount of taxes payable. The limitation of the tax audit period corresponds to the statute of limitations for tax liabilities and penalties for a tax offence, which is also limited to three years from the date on which a tax offence was committed or from the date

following the end of the tax period during which the tax offence was committed (depending on the nature of the tax offence).

In addition, on 14 July 2005 the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation issued a decision that allows the extension of the statute of limitations for tax liabilities and penalties beyond the three-year period set forth in the Russian Tax Code, if a court determines that a taxpayer has obstructed or hindered a tax inspection. Moreover, the Russian Tax Code similarly provides for the possibility of an extension of the three-year statute of limitations in cases where actions of the taxpayer create an “insurmountable obstacle” for the tax audit. Since the terms “obstructed”, “hindered” and “created insurmountable obstacles” are not specifically defined in Russian law, the tax authorities may have broad discretion in interpretation of these terms, effectively linking any difficulty experienced in the course of tax audits with obstruction by the taxpayer creating the basis to assess additional taxes, fines and late payment interest thereon beyond the three-year statute of limitations. As a result, there can be no assurance that the three-year period specified in the statute of limitations will be effective in practice.

Tax audits may result in additional tax liabilities, significant penalties, interest for late payment and enforcement measures for the Group, if the tax authorities conclude that the Group did not fulfil its tax obligations in any given year in full and/or timely. This may have a material adverse effect on the Group’s tax planning, business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs. Tax audits may also impose additional administrative burden on the Group by diverting the attention of its management and financial personnel, requiring resources for defending the Group’s tax position, including for any tax litigation.

The Group may be deemed to have received unjustified tax benefits.

On 12 October 2006, the Plenum of the Russian Supreme Arbitration Court issued Ruling No. 53, which introduced a concept of the “unjustified tax benefit” defined mainly by reference to specific examples of tax benefits deemed to fall into this category, the application of which may result in disallowance of benefits received for tax purposes. The Plenum ruled that a tax benefit itself cannot be regarded as a business objective, and such tax benefit can be deemed unjustified if, in particular, tax treatment of operations resulted in such benefit does not conform to their true economic intent or when an operation lacks a reasonable economic or business purpose. On the other hand, the mere fact that a similar economic result might have been obtained with a lesser tax benefit received by the taxpayer as a result of entering into other operations legally permitted or not prohibited, should not be treated as grounds for declaring a tax benefit to be unjustified.

Ruling No. 53 has not yet been the subject of extensive judicial interpretation or consideration by the tax authorities or courts, but it is apparent that the tax authorities actively seek to apply this concept when challenging tax positions taken by taxpayers. Although the intention of the Ruling was to combat abuse of tax law, based on cases relating to this Ruling, which were brought to courts to date, the tax authorities have started applying the “unjustified tax benefit” concept in a broader sense than may have been intended by the Plenum of the Supreme Arbitration Court. To date in the majority of cases where this concept was applied the courts ruled in favour of taxpayers; however, there is no assurance that the courts will follow these precedents in the future. Furthermore, Ruling No. 64 of the Plenum of the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation of 28 December 2006 “Concerning the Practical Application by Courts of Criminal Legislation Concerning Liability for Tax Crimes” is indicative of a trend to broaden the application of criminal liability for tax violations. In its decision of 26 July 2001, the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation introduced the concept of “a taxpayer acting in a bad faith” without clearly stipulating criteria for its application. Similarly, this concept is not defined in Russian tax law. Nonetheless, the concept has been used by the tax authorities in practice in order to deny, for instance, the taxpayer’s right to rely on the letter of the tax law. The tax authorities and courts often exercise significant discretion in interpreting this concept in a manner that is unfavourable to taxpayers.

The above risks and uncertainties complicate the Group’s tax planning and related business decisions, potentially exposing the Group to significant additional tax liabilities, penalties and interest for late payments and enforcement measures and could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, operating results, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Furthermore, there is a new legislative initiative aimed to decrease the number of tax avoidance schemes. In March 2012, the Russian Federal Tax Service prepared and proposed for consideration to the Russian Ministry of Finance and the Russian Ministry of Economic Development a draft law which proposes certain amendments to the Russian Tax Code and the model double tax treaty adopted by the Russian Government. These draft amendments to the Russian Tax Code (which is referred as the “controlled foreign companies” rule) are aimed at discouraging transactions with offshore jurisdictions. In particular, the draft law introduces the concept of an ultimate beneficiary of an organisation and states that expenses incurred by the Russian taxpayers from their operations with foreign companies located in offshore jurisdictions (the list of which is provided by the Russian Ministry of Finance) should be treated as non-deductible expenses for Russian profits tax purposes; and simultaneously, the amount of such

expenses should be treated as taxable income for Russian profits tax purposes. The Russian Government in its Main Directions of the Russian Tax Policy for 2013 and Planned for 2014-2015 also expressed its intention to introduce the “controlled foreign companies” rule into the Russian Tax Code. It is currently unclear how and when these amendments will be enacted (if at all) and to what effect these provisions may have on the Group. The imposition of additional tax liabilities as a result of the application of the “controlled foreign companies” rule to transactions carried out by the Group may have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and trading price of the GDRs.

The Company may be exposed to taxation in Russia if the Company is treated as having a Russian permanent establishment.

The Russian Tax Code contains the concept of a permanent establishment in Russia as a means for taxing foreign legal entities which carry on regular entrepreneurial activities in Russia beyond preparatory and auxiliary activities. Russia’s double tax treaties concluded with other countries, including Cyprus, also contain a similar concept. However, the practical application of the concept of a permanent establishment under Russian domestic tax law is not well developed and foreign companies having even limited operations in Russia (which would not normally satisfy the criteria for creating a permanent establishment under international rules), may be at risk of being treated as having a permanent establishment in Russia and hence being liable to Russian taxation.

Although the Company seeks to conduct its affairs so that it is not treated as having a permanent establishment in Russia, no assurance can be given that the Company will not be treated as having such a permanent establishment. If the Company were to be treated as having a permanent establishment in Russia, it would be subject to Russian taxation in a manner broadly similar to the taxation of a Russian legal entity.

Only the amount of the income of a foreign entity that is attributable to its permanent establishment should be subject to taxation in Russia. Pursuant to the new transfer pricing rules (discussed below), such amount of income is to be measured based on the functions carried out by a Russian permanent establishment, accepted economic (commercial) risks attributable to such activity and the assets deployed. In order to determine the amount of income of a foreign entity that is attributable to a permanent establishment in Russia, the Russian tax authorities may perform a functional analysis of an activity performed by a foreign entity in the territory of Russia. However, the practice of application of these rules is not developed since such approach was introduced from 1 January 2012. There is, therefore, a risk that the tax authorities might seek to assess Russian tax on the entire amount of income of a foreign company. Recent events in the Russian Federation suggest that the tax authorities may be more actively seeking to investigate and assert that foreign entities operate through a permanent establishment in Russia. Having a permanent establishment in Russia may also lead to other adverse tax implications, including challenging a reduced withholding tax rate under an applicable double tax treaty, potential effect on VAT and property tax obligations, etc. There is also a risk that penalties could be imposed by the tax authorities for the failure to register a permanent establishment with the Russian tax authorities.

Any such taxes or penalties could have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, operating results, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

It should also be noted that Russian tax legislation does not currently have a concept of tax residency for legal entities. However, the Russian government in its Main Directions of Russian Tax Policy for 2008-2010 has proposed the introduction of the concept of the tax residence for legal entities under domestic tax law, in particular, for the purposes of avoidance of tax evasion. The same suggestion has been made by the Russian Government in the Main Directions of Russian Tax Policy for 2013 and Planned for 2014-2015. It has been proposed to determinate the tax residency of legal entities based on a number of criteria similar to those used in double tax treaties concluded by the Russian Federation. It is currently unclear when and how (if at all) such changes will be introduced and how they might affect the Group. In case such changes are introduced into the Russian legislation, there is a risk that non-Russian companies of the Group may potentially be treated as Russian tax residents and as such may be subject to taxation in Russia. It is conceivable, therefore, that, if enacted, these amendments may have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Russian transfer pricing rules may adversely affect the Group’s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Russian transfer pricing legislation which was effective before 1 January 2012 was broad in scope and vaguely drafted, generally leaving wide scope for interpretation at the discretion of the Russian tax authorities and courts, and there was limited guidance as to how these rules should had been applied. Moreover, in the event that a transfer pricing adjustment was made by the tax authorities, the transfer pricing rules did not provide for a corresponding adjustment to the related counterparty in the relevant transaction.

New Russian transfer pricing legislation was introduced by Federal Law No. 227-FZ dated 18 July 2011 and became effective on 1 January 2012. The list of the “controlled” transactions under this new law includes transactions with related parties and certain types of cross-border transactions. This new law shifts the burden of proving market prices from the tax authorities to the taxpayer. Although the law is supposed to be in line with international transfer pricing principles developed by the OECD, there are some irregularities of how these principles are reflected in the local rules. Special transfer pricing rules continue to apply to transactions with securities and derivatives. It is currently difficult to evaluate what effect these new provisions may have on the Group. However, the new transfer pricing legislation could have a considerable impact on the Group’s tax position.

Accordingly, due to uncertainties in the interpretation of Russian transfer pricing legislation which was in effect before 2012 and the recently introduced new transfer pricing legislation, no assurance can be given that the tax authorities will not challenge the Group’s prices and make adjustments which could affect the Group’s tax position unless the Group seeks to refer to market prices with respect to related party transactions. The imposition of additional tax liabilities under the Russian transfer pricing legislation may have a material adverse effect on the Group’s business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Withholding income tax could be imposed in Russia on dividends distributed from the Russian subsidiaries of the Company to the Company.

Dividends paid by a Russian legal entity to a foreign legal entity are generally subject to Russian withholding income tax at a rate of 15 per cent., whereas such rate may be reduced under an applicable double tax treaty. The Company intends to rely on the Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty.

The Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty allows reduction of the withholding tax rate applicable to dividends paid by a Russian company to a Cypriot company down to 10 per cent. provided that the following conditions are simultaneously met: (i) the Cypriot company is a tax resident of Cyprus within the meaning of the Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty; (ii) the Cypriot company is the beneficial owner of the dividends; (iii) the dividends are not attributable to a permanent establishment of the Cypriot company in Russia; and (iv) the treaty clearance procedures are duly and timely performed. This rate can be further reduced to 5 per cent. if the direct investment of the Cypriot company in the Russian company paying the dividends is at least equivalent to USD 100,000 (this amount will be increased to EUR 100,000 from 1 January 2013 when the new protocol to the Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty of 7 October 2010 enters into force).

The Russian President in 2009 announced plans to introduce anti-avoidance mechanisms with respect to double tax treaty benefits in cases where ultimate beneficiaries of income do not reside in the relevant double tax treaty country. In May 2012, the Russian Government in its Main Directions of Russian Tax Policy for 2013 and Planned for 2014-2015 has proposed certain legislative changes concerning anti-avoidance mechanisms in relation to double tax treaties benefits, including the introduction of a concept of an “actual recipient of income” to the Tax Code. No assurance can currently be given as to whether and when these amendments will be enacted, their exact nature, their potential interpretation by the tax authorities and the possible impact on the Group.

The management of the Company believes that the 5 per cent. Russian withholding tax rate should apply to dividends received by the Company from its Russian subsidiaries pursuant to tax relief available under the Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty.

Although the Company will seek to claim treaty protection or benefits where possible, there is a risk that the applicability of the reduced Russian withholding tax rate of 5 per cent. or 10 per cent. may be challenged by the Russian tax authorities. As a result, there can be no assurance that the Company would be able to avail itself of the reduced withholding tax rate in practice.

Further, the Company will be subject to Russian withholding tax to be withheld at source at a 15 per cent. rate, which will apply to dividends payable by its Russian subsidiaries, if the treaty clearance procedures are not duly performed by the date when the dividend payment is made. In this case the Company may seek to claim a tax refund from the Russian tax authorities in the amount equal to the difference between the tax withheld at 15 per cent. rate and the tax calculated at the reduced rate of 10 per cent. or 5 per cent. as appropriate. The respective application for the refund may be filed to the tax authorities within a three year period; and the tax authorities are obliged to refund the tax within one month after the receipt of the respective application from the taxpayer (to the extent the right to apply the reduced tax rate is confirmed). However, in practice obtaining a tax refund may take considerably longer and there can be no assurance that such refund will be available.

The same Russian withholding tax implications arise when dividends are paid by Russian companies of the Group to the Cypriot subsidiaries of the Company.

The Group may encounter difficulties associated with the application of a zero per cent. VAT rate to transportation services and with recovery of VAT charged by vendors or paid at customs.

The Russian Tax Code provides for a zero per cent. VAT rate with respect to services related to the carriage or transportation of exported or imported goods and other similar work (services). However, in practice many Russian transportation service companies face difficulties in application and confirmation of the zero VAT rate on these services due to, among other things, inconsistencies in the Russian tax, civil and customs legislation. The lack of clarity in the rules creates room for differing views and interpretations by the tax authorities, transportation service companies and courts. In practice, court treatment of the VAT rate widely depends on the precise nature of the particular transportation services and the details of the contractual and operational arrangements.

In view of the above, Russian transportation service companies often take differing approaches with respect to the applicable VAT rate for similar type of services. The buyers of transportation services, transportation service providers or other parties involved in transportation may encounter difficulties recovering VAT charged in the course of business. There have been cases in which the tax authorities challenged the recovery of the 18 per cent. VAT incurred on services associated with transportation of imported/exported goods on the grounds that the seller should have applied a zero per cent. VAT rate instead. Moreover, there have been certain cases in which a transportation service company was obliged to return to the buyer the VAT amount charged on services provided, despite the fact that the transportation service company had already remitted the amount charged to the tax authorities.

In addition, effective 1 January 2011, amendments related to the application of a zero per cent. VAT rate have been introduced into the Russian Tax Code. The amendments cover the applicability of a zero per cent. VAT rate to work and services related to the import/export of goods, including transportation related services, and documents required to confirm its application. The revised more detailed list of work and services subject to a zero per cent. VAT rate has been established. On the one hand, this may result in an opportunity to apply a zero per cent. VAT rate to certain new types of work and services. However, on the other hand, the amendments may also lead to an inability to apply a zero per cent. VAT rate to certain work and services, previously taxable at a zero per cent. rate.

There is, therefore, a risk that some of the services performed by the Group's Russian subsidiaries will not be subject to a zero per cent. VAT rate. Moreover, there is a risk that the tax authorities will consider certain work or services associated with transportation of imported/exported goods or other similar work or services acquired by the Group's Russian subsidiaries as subject to a zero per cent. VAT rate and challenge the recovery of 18 per cent. VAT incurred on such work or services. This may have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Under the Russian Tax Code, Russian incorporated companies of the Group are entitled to reimbursement of the excess of VAT charged by vendors or paid at customs (*Input VAT*) over VAT collected from the buyers (*Output VAT*), either through cash refunds or offset against future tax liabilities. Many Russian companies, especially those involved in export or import sales (including international transit transportation and transportation of exported or imported cargo), encounter difficulties with obtaining a VAT refund or offset. In particular, the amount of VAT reimbursement is subject to obligatory confirmation by the tax authorities. At the same time the Group is entitled to earn interest on any VAT reimbursement which has not been timely refunded/offset by the tax authorities. In practice the tax authorities are often extremely formalistic and aggressive in interpretations of VAT legislation, in confirming the VAT reimbursement, especially when the taxpayer is making a claim for a VAT refund in cash. As a result, obtaining a VAT refund is usually a time-consuming and burdensome process. In practice, the taxpayer often must appeal to the court for a VAT refund or for an offset against future tax liabilities.

Despite the Group's efforts at compliance, there remains the risk that Russian subsidiaries of the Company may not recover the Input VAT or that the recovery may take a significant amount of time. This may have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Currently, the Russian companies of the Group cannot be consolidated for tax purposes.

A new concept of consolidated taxpayer was incorporated into the Russian Tax Code and became effective from 1 January 2012. The new rules introduce consolidated tax reporting that enables the consolidation of the financial results of Russian companies which are part of one group for corporate tax purposes.

In order to apply for a special consolidation tax regime, several requirements should be met. The Group believe that its Russian companies do not satisfy these requirements and thus new consolidation rules in their current version are unlikely to apply to the Group.

In addition, the subsidiaries of the Company cannot offset their Input VAT and Output VAT liabilities among each other.

Taxation risks relating to Cyprus

The GDR holders may be subject to Defence Tax in Cyprus on actual or deemed distribution of profits.

The Special Contribution for the Defence of the Republic Law (*Defence Tax*) imposes tax on certain categories of income. Any GDR holders who are considered to be residents for tax purposes of Cyprus will be subject to the Defence Tax at a rate of 20 per cent (reduced to 17 per cent as of 1 January 2014) on the gross amount of any dividend received or deemed to have received from the Company.

The Company is obliged to send out a questionnaire (IR 42 Questionnaire) to all of its shareholders (both individuals and corporate bodies) to ascertain their tax residency status. Through the questionnaire, the shareholders should inform the Company of their tax residency status. The Company is required to safe-keep these questionnaires and present them to the Cyprus tax authorities upon request.

When the Company pays a dividend to its shareholders (including GDR holders), it must furnish every shareholder with a certificate in which the following must be stated: (a) the amount of dividend which is paid to the shareholder, (b) the amount of Defence Tax withheld by the company with respect to the dividend paid, and (c) the year out of which the profits are being distributed.

The Defence Tax law includes provisions for the deemed distribution of profits. If a Cypriot tax resident company does not distribute within two years from the end of the relevant tax year at least 70 per cent of its after tax accounting profits (excluding revaluations, impairments and fair value adjustments), there will be a deemed distribution of 70 per cent. of such profits. The amount of deemed dividend is reduced by any actual distributions made up to the deemed distribution date. The Defence Tax is withheld only on the proportion of profits that are attributable to shareholders that are considered to be residents of Cyprus (both individuals and bodies of persons) as the deemed distribution rules do not apply to non-resident shareholders. The Defence Tax is a tax on shareholders payable by the Cyprus company which its profits are subject to the deemed distribution rules. The deemed dividend is subject to Defence Tax at a rate of 20 per cent. (reduced to 17 per cent. as of 1 January 2014).

Through a Circular (2011/ 10 dated 13 September 2011), the Commissioner of Income Tax has clarified that the deemed distribution rules would apply only in cases where the ultimate (beneficial) shareholders of a Cyprus (tax resident) company are considered to be residents for tax purposes of Cyprus. However, as per the same circular, certain declarations should be filed with the tax authorities in case the direct registered shareholder(s) are companies considered to be resident for tax purposes of Cyprus.

The Company owns a 60 per cent. share of Ingulana Holdings Limited which in turn owns 100 per cent. of the share capital of Ultracare Holdings Limited. Both Ingulana Holdings Limited and Ultracare Holdings Limited are registered in and considered to be resident for tax purposes in Cyprus. As a consequence, Defence Tax on deemed dividend distribution may arise in respect of profits accruing to Ultracare Holdings Limited, Ingulana Holdings Limited and/or the Company, as applicable, to the extent such profits are attributable to shareholders who are considered to be residents for tax purposes of Cyprus. In this instance, Ultracare Holdings Limited, Ingulana Holdings Limited or the Company (as applicable) would need to debit such Defence Tax paid against the profits attributable to the relevant shareholders.

Upon an actual payment or deemed distribution of a dividend, the Company will have an obligation to withhold and remit the resulting Defence Tax to the tax authorities to the extent that the GDR holders are Cyprus tax resident individuals. In case the tax is not withheld, or if when withheld, is not remitted within the time frame prescribed by law, the Company would be liable to pay the resulting tax, interest and penalties for non compliance.

Imposition of such a tax on the Company and its subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on the Group's business, results of operations, financial condition or prospects and the trading price of the GDRs.

Interest expenses incurred by the Company may not be tax deductible.

Interest expenses are tax deductible if they are incurred wholly and exclusively for the production of taxable income. However, no deduction shall be allowed for interest applicable or deemed to be applicable to the

cost of purchasing assets not used in the business. This provision applies for a period of seven years from the date of purchase of the relevant asset. Based on current tax policy, an investment in a subsidiary company is considered a non-business asset and any interest expense that relates (or is deemed to relate) to the acquisition/financing of such assets (even if a subsidiary is to distribute dividends on a regular basis) is not considered tax deductible. It is also relevant to note that in accordance with tax legislation enacted on 21 June 2012 taking retroactive effect as from 1 January 2012, it may be possible to claim an interest expense deduction for Corporate Income Tax purposes if the purpose of the loan was to finance the acquisition, of an investment in a wholly-owned subsidiary company (which is made as of 1 January 2012) subject to certain conditions and restrictions. However, as of the date of this Prospectus, the law allowing for the tax deductibility of interest expenses has not yet been signed by the President of the Republic, and consequently has not yet been published in the Official Gazette of the Republic, meaning that the law has not yet come into force.

Consequently, if the Company holds assets not used in the business (such as shares in subsidiaries), the risk cannot be excluded that part or all of the interest expense incurred by the Company is treated as non deductible for Corporate Income Tax purposes.

Taxation risks relating to Estonia

Sustainability of Estonia's unique corporate tax system uncertain

The corporate earnings taxation system currently in force in Estonia is a unique system that shifts the point of taxation from the moment of earning the profits to the moment of their distribution. Corporate income tax is charged on profit distributions such as dividends and implicit distributions (i.e. fringe benefits, gifts and donations, as well as expenditures and payments not related to the business activities of a company). All the above profit distributions are taxed at a rate of 21 per cent. on the gross amount of profit distribution. Corporate income tax imposed on distributed profits is not considered a withholding tax and thus is not subject to the applicable international tax treaties.

The Estonian Ministry of Finance commissioned a study to evaluate the impact of non-taxation of retained earnings to investments and economic development, delivered in December 2011, which overall assessment showed a positive influence and results were deemed compatible with the desired goal behind the introduction of Estonia's unique tax system. However, there can be no assurances that traditional corporate income tax system will not be re-established. Despite government support to maintain the current corporate tax system, other political parties have different views. The change of government might result in re-establishment of a traditional corporate tax system and taxation of annual profits.

Another threat is tax harmonisation in the European Union, more specifically, the Directive for Common Consolidated Corporate Tax Base (**CCCTB**). The Directive, if adopted, will provide a tax system that consolidates the taxable profits of a group of companies under common control, and has a mechanism for allocation of the harmonised tax base amongst the participating Member States according to a special apportionment formula. On 19 April 2012, the European Parliament approved an amended version of the draft of CCCTB Directive that sets forth mandatory opt-in for European companies (except for small and medium-size enterprises) starting five years after the member states apply the CCCTB.

Should this occur, there is high risk that the traditional corporate system would be re-established and the Estonian subsidiaries will start paying corporate income tax from earned profits on an annual basis. This would significantly decrease tax planning opportunities and result in a higher effective tax burden since annual taxation of corporate profits and reinvested profits may become subject to tax retroactively.

Possible increase in tax burden.

The current economic downturn and a state budget deficit, may force the Estonian government to increase the overall tax burden with little advance notice. This could entail increased tax rates, the removal of tax benefits and the introduction of new taxes.

Lack of administrative guidelines and court practice in tax issues.

Interpreting the Estonian tax law is often difficult due to the lack of interpretive guidance and sufficient case law because of the short period of application of current legal acts. The number of tax disputes has increased and tax authorities have applied tax law arbitrarily. There is limited administrative and legal practice available on several important tax issues, meaning that taxpayers often have no other possibility but to ask for binding advance rulings or take a risk of time-consuming court trials. Regardless the final result of these disputes, they increase costs and interrupt everyday business activities.

Recent trends within Estonia suggest that the tax authority is heavily focusing on tax audits. The number of tax officials involved with review and investigation has been substantially increased; structural reforms to improve the tax authority's efficiency and competence in conducting tax audits are currently in process. As a result, the risk of the Estonian subsidiary's tax records being audited and potentially challenged is higher.

Estonian tax authorities may challenge the Group's transfer pricing applied to transactions with related parties.

Transfer pricing is one of the priorities of the Estonian tax authority with regard to international taxation. Group companies are subject to transfer pricing regulation, under which transactions between related parties must comply with an arm's length principle. Tax audits are being conducted and the availability of proper documentation is under heavy scrutiny. In the event of a tax audit, the Group's transfer pricing principles may be challenged by the Estonian tax authority and additional taxes may be charged (plus fines or penalties and interest for late payment). It is not possible to request pre-approval or confirmation from the tax authority that they agree with the Group's pricing principles, and therefore there can be no assurance that the pricing methodology applied between the Group and its related parties is correct and sufficiently justified for the Estonian tax authority.

THE LISTING

The Company	Globaltrans Investment PLC, a company organised and existing under the laws of Cyprus.
Share Capital	The Company's issued share capital consists of 178,740,916 Ordinary Shares, which are fully paid. The Company's authorised share capital consists of 233,918,128 Ordinary Shares. See " <i>Description of Share Capital and Applicable Cypriot Law</i> " and " <i>Principal Shareholders</i> ".
Depository	The Bank of New York Mellon.
GDRs	Each GDR represents one Ordinary Share on deposit with the Custodian on behalf of the Depository. The GDRs are issued by the Depository pursuant to the deposit agreement between the Company and the Depository dated 7 May 2008 (the Deposit Agreement). Rule 144A GDRs are evidenced by the Master Rule 144A GDR, and Regulation S GDRs are evidenced by the Master Regulation S GDR. See " <i>Summary of Provisions Relating to the Global Depository Receipts While in Master Form</i> ". Except in the limited circumstances described herein, definitive GDR certificates will not be issued to holders in exchange for interests in the GDRs represented by the Master GDRs. See " <i>Terms and Conditions of the Global Depository Receipts</i> ".
Listing	<p>The FSA in its capacity as competent authority under the FSMA has granted the admission to the official list maintained by the FSA and to the regulated main market of the London Stock Exchange of up to 178,740,916 GDRs to be issued from time to time against the deposit of Ordinary Shares with The Bank of New York Mellon (the Depository), of which 99,613,409 have been issued. The GDRs trade under the symbol "GLTR".</p> <p>The Ordinary Shares are not, and are not expected to be, listed on any stock exchange.</p>
Use of Proceeds	The Company will not receive any proceeds, as there is no offer associated with the listing of the GDRs under this Prospectus. The Company expects to incur estimated expenses of USD 378 thousand associated with the Listing.
Taxation	For a discussion of certain Cyprus, United States and United Kingdom tax consequences of purchasing and holding the GDRs, see " <i>Taxation</i> ".
Dividend Policy	Holders of the GDRs are entitled to receive amounts, if any, paid by the Company as dividends on the underlying Ordinary Shares, subject to certain provisions. The Company's current dividend policy is to recommend to shareholders a dividend per annum of not less than 30 per cent. of the imputed consolidated net profit (if any) of the Group based on the consolidated financial statements for the relevant financial year prepared in accordance with EU IFRS. Imputed consolidated net profit of the Group is calculated as the consolidated net profit for the period of the Group attributable to the owners of the Group as shown in the consolidated financial statements for the relevant financial year prepared in accordance with EU IFRS, less certain non-cash adjustments determined by the Board of Directors. See " <i>Dividend Policy</i> ", " <i>Terms and Conditions of the Global Depository Receipts</i> " and " <i>Description of Share Capital and Applicable Cypriot Law—Articles of Association—Rights attaching to Ordinary Shares—Dividend and distribution rights</i> ".
Voting Rights	Subject to the Deposit Agreement, holders of GDRs are entitled to one vote per GDR at shareholders' meetings. See " <i>Terms and Conditions of the Global Depository Receipts</i> " and " <i>Description of Share Capital and Applicable Cypriot Law—Articles of Association—Rights attaching to Ordinary Shares—Voting rights</i> ".
Transfer Restrictions	The GDRs will be subject to certain restrictions as described under " <i>Selling and Transfer Restrictions—Transfer Restrictions</i> ".
Settlement and Transfer	The Rule 144A GDRs are represented by the Rule 144A Master GDR held in a book-entry form and registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC. The Regulation S Master GDR is registered in the name of The Bank of New York Depository (Nominees) Limited, as nominee for The Bank of New York Mellon, London Branch, as common depository for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg. Except in limited circumstances described herein,

investors may hold beneficial interests in the GDRs evidenced by the corresponding Master GDR only through DTC, Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg, as applicable. Transfers within DTC, Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg are in accordance with the usual rules and operating procedures of the relevant system.

The security identification numbers of the GDRs are as follows:

Rule 144A GDR ISIN:	US37949E1055
Rule 144A GDR Common Code:	035751033
Rule 144A GDR CUSIP:	37949E105
Rule 144A SEDOL:	B2QTJ18
Regulation S GDR ISIN:	US37949E2046
Regulation S GDR Common Code:	035751017
Regulation S GDR CUSIP:	37949E204
Regulation S SEDOL:	B2QTGT5

DIVIDEND POLICY

Pursuant to its Articles of Association the Company may pay dividends out of its profits. To the extent that the Company declares and pays dividends, owners of GDRs on the relevant record date will be entitled to receive dividends payable in respect of Ordinary Shares underlying the GDRs, subject to the terms of the Deposit Agreement. The Company expects to pay dividends, if at all, in US Dollars. If dividends are not paid in US Dollars, except as otherwise described under “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts—Conversion of Foreign Currency*”, they will be converted into US Dollars by the Depositary and paid to holders of GDRs net of currency conversion expenses and subject to fees charged by the Bank of New York Mellon. See “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*”.

The Company’s current dividend policy is to recommend to shareholders a dividend per annum of not less than 30 per cent. of the imputed consolidated net profit (if any) of the Group based on the consolidated financial statements for the relevant financial year prepared in accordance with EU IFRS. Imputed consolidated net profit of the Group is calculated as the consolidated net profit for the period of the Group attributable to the owners of the Group as shown in the consolidated financial statements for the relevant financial year prepared in accordance with EU IFRS, less certain non-cash adjustments determined by the Board of Directors. Such adjustments include but are not limited to: negative goodwill; non-cash results of mergers, acquisitions and disposals of shares of Group subsidiaries, joint-ventures or associates; share of profit of associates; and the results of the issuing, amortisation and the revaluation of guarantees. The Board of Directors will recommend the final dividend in respect of each financial year for approval by the general meeting of the Company’s shareholders based on the audited stand-alone and consolidated financial statements of the Group for that year. Interim dividends may also be approved at the discretion of the Board of Directors. When considering interim dividends, the Board will take into account the interim performance results based on the interim consolidated financial information for the Group and the prospects of the Group, its planned and committed expenditures, financial flexibility requirements, the availability and cost of funds from external sources and other relevant matters. Payment of any such dividends will be dependent upon imputed consolidated net profit having been earned for the relevant financial year and will be subject to any restrictions and conditions under the Cyprus Companies Law and any other applicable laws and regulations, the Company’s articles of association, available cash flow, dividends from the Company’s subsidiaries and the Group’s capital investment requirements, as well as the approval of the dividend by the general meeting of shareholders of the Company on the recommendation of the Board of Directors. The terms of the Company’s dividend policy and the way in which it is applied is subject to modification from time to time as the Board of Directors may approve.

The Company is a holding company and thus its ability to pay dividends depends on the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends to the Company in accordance with relevant legislation and contractual restrictions. The payment of such dividends by such subsidiaries is contingent upon the sufficiency of their earnings, cash flows and distributable reserves and, in the case of Russian subsidiaries, is restricted to the total accumulated retained earnings of the relevant subsidiary, determined according to Russian law (see “*Risk Factors—Risks Relating to the Group’s Financial Condition—The Company is a holding company and its ability to pay dividends or meet costs depends on the receipt of funds from its subsidiaries*”). See “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*” and “*Description of Share Capital and Applicable Cypriot Law—Articles of Association—Rights attaching to Ordinary Shares—Dividend and distribution rights*”.

GDR TRADING HISTORY

The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low market close prices and average daily trading volumes of the GDRs on the London Stock Exchange.

	<u>Closing Price per GDR</u>		<u>Average Daily Trading Volume</u>	<u>Average Daily Trading Value</u>
	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>GDR</u>	<u>USD</u>
	(USD)			
Quarter				
Q1 January–March 2011	18.40	16.40	265,363	4,590,882
Q2 April–June 2011	20.18	17.05	298,771	5,596,603
Q3 July–September 2011.....	18.97	13.00	242,738	3,757,273
Q4 October–December 2011	16.25	11.57	236,466	3,264,518
Q1 January–March 2012	19.05	12.33	226,265	3,534,141
Q2 April–June 2012	19.60	15.25	419,672	7,452,037
Month ended				
31 July 2012	19.30	16.67	1,279,020	22,233,208
31 August 2012.....	19.11	18.00	535,991	9,734,766
30 September 2012.....	22.50	19.06	480,480	10,073,695
31 October 2012.....	21.88	18.31	898,326	18,374,793

Source: Bloomberg

CAPITALISATION

The following table sets forth the Group's cash and cash equivalents, current borrowings and capitalisation as at 30 June 2012.

Prospective investors should read this table in conjunction with “*Selected Consolidated Financial and Operating Information*”, “*Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*” and the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information.

	As at 30 June 2012 (USD in thousands)
Cash and cash equivalents	89,625
Current borrowings ⁽¹⁾	277,535
Non-current borrowings ⁽²⁾	
Bank borrowings.....	798,551
Non-convertible unsecured bonds.....	348,702
Finance lease liabilities	64,802
Total non-current borrowings	1,212,055
Equity attributable to the shareholders of the Company.....	834,640
Minority interest in equity	132,842
Total equity	967,482
Total capitalisation ⁽³⁾	2,179,537

(1) Current borrowings include the current portion of non-current bank borrowings, non-convertible unsecured bonds and finance lease liabilities.

(2) Non-current borrowings excludes the current portion of non-current bank borrowings, non-convertible unsecured bonds and finance lease liabilities.

(3) Total capitalisation is the sum of total non-current borrowings and total equity.

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION

The selected financial information set forth below as at 30 June 2012 and for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, and as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009, has been extracted from the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information as at and for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and the Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011 and 2010, respectively, incorporated by reference in this Prospectus. See “*Incorporation by Reference*”. The other information set forth below shows certain unaudited (non-GAAP) financial information and operating information as at and for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, and as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009. For the financial results, additional unaudited (non-GAAP) financial information and certain operating information as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010 for MIT, see ‘*Business and Financial Information of MIT*’.

Consolidated income statement data

	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Revenue.....	967,537	905,844	1,733,056	1,382,670	1,163,407
Cost of sales.....	(626,556)	(645,721)	(1,210,827)	(981,428)	(874,152)
Gross profit	340,981	260,123	522,229	401,242	289,255
Selling and marketing costs	(1,868)	(1,542)	(3,088)	(2,692)	(2,181)
Administrative expenses.....	(59,349)	(40,636)	(90,317)	(72,225)	(58,793)
Other gains/(losses)—net	1,372	(555)	3,140	3,048	785
Operating profit	281,136	217,390	431,964	329,373	229,066
Finance income.....	4,948	2,843	7,362	7,203	5,886
Finance costs	(81,028)	(20,702)	(39,935)	(53,109)	(84,559)
Finance costs—net	(76,080)	(17,859)	(32,573)	(45,906)	(78,673)
Share of profit of associates.....	213	300	428	206	461
Profit before income tax	205,269	199,831	399,819	283,673	150,854
Income tax expense.....	(45,720)	(40,544)	(82,593)	(57,733)	(29,681)
Profit for the period	159,549	159,287	317,226	225,940	121,173
Attributable to:					
Owners of the Company.....	132,635	134,618	266,423	177,322	88,057
Non-controlling interest.....	26,914	24,669	50,803	48,618	33,116
	159,549	159,287	317,226	225,940	121,173
Basic and diluted earnings per share for profit attributed to equity holders of the Company during the year (in USD per share)	0.86	0.85	1.68	1.12	0.74

Selected consolidated balance sheet data

	As at 30 June 2012	As at 31 December		
		2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)			
	(USD in thousands)			
Assets				
Non-current assets.....	2,283,048	1,183,943	1,178,402	961,902
Non-current assets held for sale.....	—	—	—	2,827
Current assets.....	408,083	283,905	336,939	300,239
Total assets	2,691,131	1,467,848	1,515,341	1,264,968
Equity and liabilities				
Total equity attributable to owners of the Company	834,640	858,061	753,859	610,261
Non-controlling interest.....	132,842	130,994	130,106	101,307
Total equity	967,482	989,055	883,965	711,568
Total non-current liabilities.....	1,318,901	243,628	370,787	334,917
Total current liabilities	404,748	235,165	260,589	218,483
Total liabilities	1,723,649	478,793	631,376	553,400
Total equity and liabilities	2,691,131	1,467,848	1,515,341	1,264,968

Additional (non-GAAP) financial information

	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)				
Adjusted Revenue ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	664,714	603,573	1,177,026	902,999	685,255
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	585,023	566,020	1,095,765	830,042	618,478
EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	291,849	258,001	505,577	385,319	261,630
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	328,565	256,541	505,127	390,906	284,458
Adjusted EBITDA Margin (%) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	49.4%	42.5%	42.9%	43.3%	41.5%
Empty Run Costs ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	123,024	122,597	233,790	203,386	167,877
ROCE (%) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁷⁾	29%	30%	31%	26%	21%
Net Debt ⁽¹⁾⁽⁸⁾	1,399,965	321,795	258,355	381,336	288,878

Operating information

	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
Freight Rail Turnover (billion tonnes-km) ⁽⁹⁾	53.6	57.5	110.6	97.4	80.9
Transportation Volume (million tonnes) ⁽¹⁰⁾	34.2	36.4	69.6	63.8	52.8
Average Price Per Trip (USD) ⁽¹¹⁾	1,071	953	970.8	770.4	716.9
Average Price Per Trip (RUB) ⁽¹¹⁾	32,722	27,232	28,536	23,403	22,773
Empty Run Ratio for gondola (open top) cars ⁽¹²⁾	43%	42%	41%	42%	46%
Empty Run Ratio for rail tank and hopper cars ⁽¹²⁾	104%	111%	111%	108%	114%
Total Empty Run Ratio ⁽¹³⁾	62%	62%	62%	62%	72%
Share of Empty Run Kilometres Paid by the Group ⁽¹⁴⁾	79%	77%	78%	82%	83%
Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar ⁽¹⁵⁾	13.1	13.4	26.6	29.3	30.4
Average Distance of Loaded Trips (km) ⁽¹⁶⁾	1,557.1	1,588.1	1,596.6	1,504.0	1,537.8
Average Rolling Stock Operated ⁽¹⁷⁾	41,873	44,395	42,363	36,793	28,406
Owned Fleet (at period end)	57,553	39,236	39,910	38,173	32,384
Leased-in Fleet (at period end)	5,157	10,293	7,670	12,541	4,833
Total Fleet (at period end)	62,710	49,529	47,580	50,714	37,217

Reconciliation of Adjusted Revenue to Revenue

	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Total revenue—operator's services	887,846	868,291	1,651,795	1,309,713	1,096,630
Operating leasing of rolling stock	73,087	35,886	76,555	70,539	65,383
Other revenue	6,604	1,667	4,706	2,418	1,394
Total revenue	967,537	905,844	1,733,056	1,382,670	1,163,407
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips	(302,823)	(302,271)	(556,030)	(479,671)	(478,152)
Adjusted Revenue	664,714	603,573	1,177,026	902,999	685,255

Reconciliation of Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock to Railway Transportation—Operators Services

	Six months ended 30 June		Years ended 31 December		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group)	541,489	492,923	929,945	810,661	815,998
Revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client)	346,357	375,368	721,850	499,052	280,632
Total revenue from railway transportation—operators services	887,846	868,291	1,651,795	1,309,713	1,096,630
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips	(302,823)	(302,271)	(556,030)	(479,671)	(478,152)
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock	585,023	566,020	1,095,765	830,042	618,478

Reconciliation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to Profit for the Period

	Six months ended		Years ended 31 December		
	30 June		(unaudited)		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
Profit for the period	159,549	159,287	317,226	225,940	121,173
<i>Plus (Minus)</i>					
Income tax expense	45,720	40,544	82,593	57,733	29,681
Net finance costs	76,080	17,859	32,573	45,906	78,673
Net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on financing activities.....	(37,401)	1,234	(2,046)	(7,450)	(21,104)
Amortisation of intangible assets.....	1,585	91	179	173	14
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	46,316	38,986	75,052	63,017	53,193
EBITDA	291,849	258,001	505,577	385,319	261,630
<i>Minus (Plus)</i>					
Net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on financing activities.....	(37,401)	1,234	(2,046)	(7,450)	(21,104)
Share of profit of associates.....	213	300	428	206	461
Other gains/(losses)—net	1,372	(555)	3,140	3,048	785
(Loss)/gain on sale of property, plant and equipment	(900)	481	(1,244)	(2,041)	(1,679)
Reversal of/(impairment charge) for property, plant and equipment	--	--	172	650	(1,291)
Adjusted EBITDA	328,565	256,541	505,127	390,906	284,458

- (1) Adjusted Revenue, Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Empty Run Costs, Net Debt and ROCE are non-GAAP measures presented as supplemental measures of the Group's operating performance. These supplemental measures have limitations as analytical tools, and investors should not consider any one of them in isolation, or any combination of them together, as a substitute for analysis of the Group's results as reported under EU IFRS. See "*Presentation of Financial and Other Information*". Reconciliations of Adjusted Revenue to revenue, Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock to revenue, and EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to profit for the period are set out below.
- (2) Adjusted Revenue is calculated as total revenue—operator's services plus total revenue—operating lease and other revenue less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.
- (3) Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock is defined as the sum of revenue from railway transportation—operator's services (tariff borne by the Group) and revenue from railway transportation—operator's services (tariff borne by the client) less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.
- (4) EBITDA is calculated as profit for the period, before income tax expense, finance costs—net, excluding net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on financing activities, amortisation of intangible assets and depreciation of property, plant and equipment. For the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on financing activities is calculated as the sum of foreign exchange gains on borrowings (contained in finance costs) and foreign exchange losses on cash and cash equivalents and finance lease receivables (contained in finance income).
- (5) Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA less net foreign transaction exchange gains/(losses) on financing activities, share of profit/(loss) of associates and other gains/(losses)—net, other gains/(losses)—net, loss on sale of property, plant and equipment and reversal of/(impairment charge) for property, plant and equipment. For the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on financing activities is calculated as the sum of foreign exchange gains on borrowings (contained in finance costs) and foreign exchange losses on cash and cash equivalents and finance lease receivables (contained in finance income). Adjusted EBITDA Margin is calculated as Adjusted EBITDA divided by Adjusted Revenue, expressed as a percentage.
- (6) Empty Run Costs (which show the costs payable to Russian Railways for forwarding empty railcars) is derived from management accounts and presented as part of the empty run trips and services provided by other transportation organisations component of cost of sales reported under EU IFRS.
- (7) ROCE is defined as Adjusted EBITDA (last twelve months basis) less amortisation of intangible assets and depreciation of property, plant and equipment, divided by the sum of average balances between balance sheet dates of total equity (including non-controlling interest) and total borrowings.
- (8) Net Debt is calculated as the sum of current and non-current borrowings less cash and cash equivalents.
- (9) Freight Rail Turnover is a measure of freight carriage activity over a particular period and is calculated as the sum of tonnage of each loaded trip multiplied by the distance of each loaded trip, expressed in tonnes-kilometres.
- (10) Transportation Volume is a measure of freight rail carriage activity over a particular period measuring weight of cargo carried in million tonnes or thousand tonnes.
- (11) Average Price Per Trip is calculated as Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock divided by total number of loaded trips during the relevant period in the applicable currency.
- (12) Empty Run Ratio is calculated as the total of empty trips in kilometres by the relevant rolling stock type divided by total loaded trips in kilometres of such rolling stock type. Empty trips are only applicable to rolling stock operated (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out).
- (13) Total Empty Run Ratio is calculated as total kilometres travelled empty divided by total kilometres travelled loaded by the fleet operated by the Group (not including relocation of rolling stock in and from maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) in the relevant period.
- (14) Share of Empty Run Kilometres Paid by the Group is defined as the percentage of Empty Run kilometres paid by the Group divided by the total amount of Empty Run kilometres incurred by the fleet operated by the Group (not including relocation of rolling stock in

and from maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) in the relevant period.

- (15) Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar is calculated as total number of loaded trips in the relevant period divided by Average Rolling Stock Operated.
- (16) Average Distance of Loaded Trip is calculated as the sum of distances of all loaded trips for a period divided by the number of loaded trips for the same period.
- (17) Average Rolling Stock Operated is calculated as the average weighted (by days) number of railcars available for operator services (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out).

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information has been prepared to illustrate the effect of the MIT Acquisition on the Group's condensed consolidated income statement for year ended 31 December 2011, as if the MIT Acquisition had occurred on 1 January 2011.

The Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information has been prepared based on the Group's historical financial information which has been extracted from, and should be read in conjunction with: (i) the Consolidated Financial Statements, prepared in accordance with EU IFRS, as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011, and (ii) the MIT Financial Statements, prepared in accordance with IFRS, as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011.

The Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information is provided for illustrative purposes only and does not purport to represent what the actual results of operations of the Group would have been had the MIT Acquisition occurred on the date specified above, nor is it necessarily indicative of the operating results of the Group for any future periods. Because of its nature, the Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information addresses a hypothetical situation and, therefore, does not represent the actual financial position or results of operations of the Group. The actual consolidated results of operations of the Group may differ significantly from the pro forma amounts reflected herein.

The Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information has been prepared in a form consistent with the accounting policies adopted in the Group's latest annual accounts. The differences in accounting policies applied by the Group, which uses EU IFRS, and MIT, which uses IFRS are not material.

All pro forma adjustments are directly attributable to the MIT Acquisition, factually supportable and are expected to have a continuing impact on the Group.

The Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information does not reflect any transactions subsequent to 31 December 2011.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION – CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT FOR
THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2011

	Group ⁽¹⁾	MIT as adjusted ⁽²⁾	Adjustments for the MIT Acquisition ⁽³⁾	Notes	Pro Forma for the MIT Acquisition
			(USD in thousands)		
Revenue ⁽⁴⁾	1,733,056	583,896	(33,367)	A	2,283,585
			34,295	A	
			(23,624)	B	
Cost of sales ⁽⁴⁾	(1,210,827)	(449,487)	10,671		(1,649,643)
Gross profit	522,229	134,409	(22,696)		633,942
Selling and marketing costs	(3,088)	—	—		(3,088)
Administrative expenses.....	(90,317)	(12,078)	—		(102,395)
Other gains/(losses)—net.....	3,140	(37)	—		3,103
Operating profit	431,964	122,294	(22,696)		531,562
Finance income	7,362	7,523	(7,253)	C	7,632
Finance costs.....	(39,935)	(19,861)	(5,579)	D	(65,375)
Finance costs—net	(32,573)	(12,338)	(12,832)		(57,743)
Share of profit of associates	428	—	—		428
Profit before tax	399,819	109,956	(35,528)		474,247
Income tax expense	(82,593)	(22,190)	7,291	E	(97,492)
Profit for the year	317,226	87,766	(28,237)		376,755
Attributable to:					
Owners of the Company	266,423	87,766	(28,237)		325,952
Non-controlling interest.....	50,803	—	—		50,803
	317,226	87,766	(28,237)		376,755
Basic and diluted earnings per share for profit attributable to the equity holders of the Company					
Weighted average number of ordinary shares in issue (in thousands).....	158,136				158,136
Basic and diluted earnings per share for profit attributable to the equity holders of the Company during the year (in USD per share).....	1.68				2.06

(1) The condensed consolidated income statement of the Group for the year ended 31 December 2011 has been extracted from the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011.

(2) See “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Adjustments to MIT historical financial information”.

(3) For details of the adjustments for the MIT Acquisition, see “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Adjustments to MIT historical information” and “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Pro forma adjustments to the condensed consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 December 2011”.

(4) See “—Supplemental Schedule of Revenue and Cost of Sales for the year ended 31 December 2011”.

UNAUDITED SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF REVENUE AND COST OF SALES FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2011

	<u>Group⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>MIT as adjusted⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Adjustments for the MIT Acquisition⁽³⁾</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<u>Pro Forma Supplemental Schedule for the MIT Acquisition</u>
	(USD in thousands)				
Revenue					
Railway transportation—operators services	1,651,795	579,148	(33,327)	A	2,197,616
Railway transportation—freight forwarding.....	3,422	169	(40)	A	3,551
Operating leasing of rolling stock.....	76,555	3,867	—		80,422
Other	1,284	712	—		1,996
Total revenue	<u>1,733,056</u>	<u>583,896</u>	<u>(33,367)</u>		<u>2,283,585</u>
Cost of sales					
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.....	556,030	239,527 ⁽⁵⁾	(928)	A	794,629
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: empty runs.....	233,790 ⁽⁴⁾	44,981 ⁽⁵⁾	(1,559)	A	277,212
Other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations.....	65,594 ⁽⁴⁾	104,056 ⁽⁵⁾	(31,808)	A	137,842
Infrastructure, locomotive and other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations.....	<u>855,414</u>	<u>388,564</u>	<u>(34,295)</u>		<u>1,209,683</u>
Operating lease rentals—rolling stock	132,570	26,539	—		159,109
Employee benefit expense.....	19,561	3,658	—		23,219
Repair and maintenance	76,243	12,627	—		88,870
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment.....	73,991	17,451	10,261	B	101,703
Amortisation of intangible assets.....	179	—	13,363	B	13,542
Fuel and spare parts—locomotives	27,708	—	—		27,708
Engagement of locomotive crews	12,684	—	—		12,684
Loss/(Gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment	1,314	(209)	—		1,105
Reversal of impairment charge for property, plant and equipment.....	(172)	—	—		(172)
Other expenses.....	11,335	857	—		12,192
Total cost of sales	<u>1,210,827</u>	<u>449,487</u>	<u>(10,671)</u>		<u>1,649,643</u>

(1) The financial information of the Group for the year ended 31 December 2011 has been extracted from the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011, except as indicated in Note (4) below.

(2) For details of the adjustments to the MIT historical financial information, see “—Notes to the Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Adjustments to MIT’s historical financial Information”.

(3) For details of the adjustments for the MIT Acquisition, see “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Adjustments to MIT historical information” and “—Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Pro forma adjustments to the condensed consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 December 2011”.

(4) Extracted from the Group’s management accounts.

(5) Extracted from MIT’s management accounts.

UNAUDITED ADDITIONAL PRO FORMA FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA

	<u>Year ended 31 December 2011</u> (USD in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)
Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	1,488,956
Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	645,764
Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA Margin ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	43.4%
Pro Forma basic and diluted earnings per Ordinary Share ⁽⁴⁾	2.06
	<u>Year ended 31 December 2011</u>
Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover (billion tonnes-km) ⁽⁵⁾	144.9
Pro Forma Transportation Volume (million tonnes) ⁽⁶⁾	86.4
Pro Forma Average Rolling Stock Operated ⁽⁷⁾	51,762

- (1) Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue and Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP measures presented as supplemental measures of the Group's operating performance on a Pro Forma basis. These supplemental measures have limitations as analytical tools, and investors should not consider any one of them in isolation, or any combination of them together, as a substitute for analysis of the Group's and MIT's results as reported under EU IFRS and IFRS, respectively. See "Presentation of Financial and Other Information" and "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information".
- (2) Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue is calculated as revenue less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips for the relevant period as shown in the supplemental schedule of revenue and cost of sales for the year ended 31 December 2011. Certain information included in the calculation of Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue is extracted from management accounts. See "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Supplemental Schedule of Revenue and Cost of Sales for the year ended 31 December 2011".
- (3) Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as profit for the year less income tax expense, finance costs—net, amortisation of intangible assets, depreciation of property, plant and equipment (consisting of USD 101,703 thousand from cost of sales and USD 1,150 thousand from administrative expenses), share of profit of associates, other gains/(losses)—net, loss/(gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment and reversal of impairment charge for property, plant and equipment for the relevant period as shown in the unaudited Pro Forma condensed consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 December 2011 and the supplemental schedule of revenue and cost of sales for the year ended 31 December 2011. See "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Condensed Consolidated Income Statement for the year ended 31 December 2011" and "Pro Forma Financial Information—Supplemental Schedule of Revenue and Cost of Sales for the year ended 31 December 2011". Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA Margin is calculated as Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA divided by Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue, expressed as a percentage.
- (4) See "Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information—Condensed Consolidated Income Statement for the year ended 31 December 2011".
- (5) Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover is calculated as the sum of the Freight Rail Turnover for MIT's Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet and the Freight Rail Turnover for the Group for the relevant period.
- (6) Pro Forma Transportation Volume is calculated as the sum of the Transportation Volume for MIT's Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet and the Transportation Volume for the Group for the relevant period.
- (7) Pro Forma Average Rolling Stock Operated is calculated as the sum of the average weighted (by days) number of railcars available for operator services (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) for each of the Group and MIT for the relevant period.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION

MIT Acquisition

In May 2012, the Group acquired a 100 per cent. interest in MIT, the “captive” freight rail transportation operator of Metalloinvest. The total cash consideration for the acquisition amounted to USD 573,471 thousand, USD 540,000 thousand of which was paid at the time of acquisition and remaining USD 33,471 thousand represents the additional consideration based on the actual amounts of net debt and deviation of working capital of MIT compared to normalised values. Such additional consideration was settled on 25 October 2012. For further details of the MIT business, see “*Business and Financial Information of MIT*”.

Pro forma adjustments to the condensed consolidated income statement for the year ended 31 December 2011

- A. In the normal course of business the Group and MIT provide services to each other. Had the MIT Acquisition occurred on 1 January 2011, USD 33,367 thousand of revenues and expenses arising from these transactions between the Group and MIT for the year ended 31 December 2011 would be eliminated in the Group’s financial statements. Additional adjustment to cost of sales of USD 928 thousand is attributable to the penalties recorded as an expense by MIT and not recorded as income by the Group during 2011. The amount of the adjustments were determined based on the accounting records of MIT and the Group.
- B. Upon MIT Acquisition, purchase price allocation was performed and fair values of identifiable assets and liabilities were determined as described in Note 7 to the Condensed interim consolidated financial information for the six months ended 30 June 2012. Intangible assets which were identified in respect of the MIT Acquisition comprise primarily the customer relationship in relation to the contract with Metalloinvest (see “*Business and Financial Information of MIT—MIT Acquisition*”) which is amortised over 5 years. Fair value of property, plant and equipment recognised on acquisition was higher than carrying amount of this property, plant and equipment in the MIT Financial Statements. This adjustment is to record additional depreciation and amortisation expense in the amount of USD 10,261 thousand and 13,363 thousand, respectively, in relation to the fair values of tangible and intangible assets identified as a result of the purchase price allocation for the MIT Acquisition and is based on the valuation of acquired assets necessary for determining the fair value of amounts to be recorded in the Group’s financial statements. See Notes 4 and 7 to the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information, incorporated by reference herein.
- C. This adjustment effects the reversal of interest income generated by MIT in 2011 on loans advanced to related parties. By 31 December 2011, all loans were settled ahead of their stated maturity in the course of the preparation for the sale/acquisition. The amount of the adjustment was determined based on the accounting records of MIT.
- D. To finance MIT Acquisition, the Group has attracted a USD 400,000 thousand floating rate three-year secured credit facility obtained specifically for the MIT Acquisition. This adjustment is to accrue the interest expense on the borrowings incurred to finance the MIT Acquisition (in the amount of USD 25,440 thousand, calculated based on the floating interest rate specified in the credit agreement), and to reverse MIT’s interest expense on finance lease liabilities and borrowings (in the amount of USD 19,861 thousand, determined based on the accounting records of MIT), reflecting that the acquisition was debt-free. Interest expense is calculated using a floating rate and based on three-month LIBOR as at 30 April 2012, in accordance with the term credit facility relating to the MIT Acquisition. A change in the floating interest rate by $\frac{1}{8}$ per cent. would result in a change of USD 500 thousand in interest expense and a change of USD 400 thousand in net income.
- E. This adjustment is to the income tax effect of the above acquisition related adjustments, except for adjustment to cost of sales of USD 928 thousand attributable to the penalties recorded as an expense by MIT and not recorded as income by the Group in 2011, on the basis of the applicable tax rate of 20 per cent. (the statutory income tax rate in Russia).

Adjustments to MIT's historical financial information

MIT's historical financial information was adjusted to: (a) translate amounts into US Dollars, as this is the Group's presentation currency, (b) reclassify several amounts to conform to the Group's presentation, and (c) to eliminate the inherent presentation differences due to the fact that MIT is a limited liability company under Russian law. See paragraph (g) in "*Notes to MIT adjusted financial information*". The MIT's adjusted financial information below should be read in conjunction with MIT's Financial Statements.

Adjustments to MIT's historical financial information – Condensed statement of comprehensive income for the year ended 31 December 2011

	Adjustments					Total Adjusted MIT
	MIT Historical ⁽¹⁾	MIT (translated into USD) ⁽²⁾	Reclassifications	Notes ⁽³⁾	Changes in presentation Notes ⁽³⁾	
(All amounts in USD except for MIT Historical, which are in Roubles, thousands)						
Revenue	17,163,512	583,896	—			583,896
Cost of sales	(13,003,196)	(442,364)	(7,123)	a, b, c ⁽⁴⁾		(449,487)
Gross profit	4,160,316	141,532	(7,123)			134,409
Selling, marketing costs	—	—	—			—
General and administrative expenses	(327,064)	(11,127)	11,127	c		—
Administrative expenses	—	—	(12,078)	b, c ⁽⁵⁾		(12,078)
Other operating expenses	(238,422)	(8,111)	8,111	a, b, d ⁽⁶⁾		—
Other gains/(losses)—net	—	—	(37)	d ⁽⁷⁾		(37)
Operating profit	3,594,830	122,295	—			122,295
Finance income	221,144	7,523	—			7,523
Finance costs	(6,194,120)	(210,722)	190,861	e		(19,861)
(Loss)/profit before income tax	(2,378,146)	(80,905)	190,861	e		109,956
Income tax charge	(652,290)	(22,190)	—			(22,190)
Change in net assets attributable to participants	(3,030,436)	(103,904)	190,861		(87,766) f	—
Profit for the year					87,766 f	87,766

- (1) The statement of comprehensive income of MIT for the year ended 31 December 2011 has been extracted from the MIT Financial Statements.
- (2) The MIT Financial Statements are presented in Roubles. In the Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information, the MIT financial information is presented in US Dollars in order to be consistent with the Group's presentation currency. Balance sheet items have been translated into US Dollars at the exchange rate prevailing at the date of the balance sheet of RUB 32.1961:USD 1, whereas income and expense items have been translated into US Dollars at the average exchange rate for the year ended 31 December 2011 of RUB 29.3948:USD 1, which approximates the exchange rates existing at the dates of the transactions.
- (3) For details of the adjustments to MIT's financial information, see "*Notes to MIT adjusted financial information*".
- (4) Calculated as the sum of penalties from Note a, cost of sales reclassification from Note b and property and other taxes from Note c.
- (5) Calculated as the sum of general and administrative expenses from Note c, property and other taxes from Note c and administrative expenses from Note b.
- (6) Calculated as the sum of penalties from Note a, gain on disposal of plant, property and equipment from Note b and net amount of reclassification from Note d.
- (7) Calculated as the sum of social costs from Note d, foreign exchange gain on operating activities from Note d and other expenses from Note d.

Notes to MIT adjusted financial information

Certain reclassification adjustments have been made to the MIT financial information included in the Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information from that as presented in the MIT Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011, as summarised below.

- a. Penalties of USD 8,236 thousand, which are part of rail tariffs and were included within other operating expenses, have been re-classified to cost of sales.
- b. Gain on disposal of property, plant and equipment of USD 162 thousand, which was included within other operating expenses was re-classified to cost of sales in the amount of a USD 209 thousand gain and to administrative expenses in the amount of a USD 47 thousand loss.
- c. Property and other taxes of USD 904 thousand, which were included within cost of sales were re-classified to administrative expenses and general and administrative expenses in the amount of USD 11,127 thousand were re-classified as administrative expenses.
- d. Social costs of USD 7 thousand, foreign exchange gain on operating activities of USD 529 thousand, and other expenses of USD 559 thousand, which were included within other operating expenses were re-classified to other gains/(losses)—net. The net amount of this reclassification is USD 37 thousand.
- e. MIT is a limited liability company incorporated in Russia. The equity participants in such companies have the right to withdraw and request redemption of their interests in the company in cash. The company's obligation to redeem the participant equity interest gives rise to a financial liability under IFRS. In its IFRS financial statements, MIT records the liability as net assets attributable to participants at the IFRS carrying value of the company's net assets that are or could become distributable to its participants. Net results for the period are accounted for as a change in net assets attributable to participants in the statement of comprehensive income. Distribution to participants is recorded as a finance cost in MIT's statement of comprehensive income. The distribution to participants included in finance costs in MIT's financial statements would be treated as dividends in the Group's financial statements. Therefore the finance costs related to the distributions to participants were reversed in an amount of USD 190,861 thousand.
- f. As described in note e above, MIT, being a limited liability company, does not have equity. To conform the MIT presentation to that used by the Group, the change in net assets attributable to participants in an amount of USD 87,766 thousand has been presented as profit for the year in the pro forma consolidated income statement.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information included elsewhere in this Prospectus and "Selected Consolidated Financial and Operating Information".

The Group's financial information set forth below as at 30 June 2012 and for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, and as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009, has been extracted from the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information as at and for the six months ended 30 June 2012, and the Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010, respectively, incorporated by reference in this Prospectus. See "Incorporation by Reference".

In addition, the following discussion contains certain forward-looking statements that reflect the plans, estimates and beliefs of the Group. The actual results of the Group may differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below and elsewhere in this Prospectus, including "Risk Factors".

OVERVIEW

The Group is a leading private freight rail transportation group with operations in Russia, the CIS and the Baltic countries. Based on Rosstat data and the Group's management accounts, the market share of the Group on a Pro Forma basis for the MIT Acquisition amounted to 7.0 per cent. of the overall Russian freight rail Transportation Volumes, which by freight type included 15.3 per cent. of the total volume of metallurgical cargoes (ferrous metal, scrap metals, and ores), 9.9 per cent. of the total volume of oil products and oil, and 4.8 per cent. of the total volume of coal (thermal and coking) transported in Russia in 2011.

The Group's main business is the provision of freight rail transportation services, which accounted for 94.2 per cent. of the Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue in 2011, and is complemented by a railcar leasing business, which accounted for 5.4 per cent. of the Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue in 2011. In 2011, the Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover amounted to 144.9 billion tonnes-kilometres and Pro Forma Transportation Volume amounted to 86.4 million tonnes.

The Group provides services to more than 650 customers, and its key customers include companies in, or suppliers to or off-takers of a number of large Russian industrial groups in the metals and mining sector (including Evraz, Mechel, Metalloinvest, MMK and Severstal) and the oil products and oil sector (including GazpromNeft, Lukoil, Rosneft and TNK-BP).

The Group's Total Fleet amounted to 62,710 units of rolling stock as at 30 June 2012 (including Owned Fleet of 57,553 units). The Group's fleet is primarily focused on operating a fleet of gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars. The Group also operates a limited number of locomotives for use in addition to the traction services provided by Russian Railways.

For the year ended 31 December 2011, the Group had Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue of USD 1,489 million and Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA of USD 646 million. In the first six months of 2012 the Group had Adjusted Revenue of USD 664.7 million and Adjusted EBITDA of USD 328.6 million.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Consolidated Financial Statements were prepared in accordance with EU IFRS. The Consolidated Financial Statements of the Group, which comprises the Company and all its subsidiaries, include the historic assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses that were directly related to these entities during the relevant financial period. Business combinations involving entities under common control (ultimately controlled by the same party, before and after the business combination, where such control is not transitory) are accounted for using the predecessor basis of accounting. See "Presentation of Financial and Other Information" for more information on the Group's use of the predecessor basis of accounting.

KEY FACTORS AFFECTING THE GROUP'S FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Group's financial results have been affected, and may be affected in the future, by a variety of factors, including those set forth below. See also the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information for additional information concerning these factors.

MIT Acquisition

In May 2012, the Group acquired a 100 per cent. interest in MIT, the “captive” freight rail transportation operator of Metalloinvest. The total cash consideration for the acquisition amounted to USD 573,471 thousand, USD 540,000 thousand of which was paid at the time of acquisition and remaining USD 33,471 thousand represents the additional consideration payable based on the actual amounts of net debt and deviation of working capital of MIT compared to normalised values. It is expected that such additional consideration will be settled by the end of October 2012. As at 31 March 2012, MIT’s Total Fleet consisted of 8,943 railcars (including Owned Fleet of 8,256 railcars), of which 8,453 were gondola (open top) railcars. For further details of the MIT Acquisition, see “*Summary—MIT Acquisition*” and for further details of the MIT business, results of operations and financial position, see “*Business and Financial Information of MIT*”.

In connection with the MIT Acquisition, MIT has entered into the MIT Freight Service Contracts with the Metalloinvest entities that produce and trade iron ore and HBI. These arrangements provide for MIT to manage 100 per cent. of Metalloinvest’s rail transportation volumes for the year ended 31 May 2013 and give MIT a right of first refusal over 60 per cent. of such volumes for each of the years ended 31 May 2014 and 2015, subject to certain conditions. The price of these services is fixed for different cargoes and routes for the year ended 31 May 2013 but will be determined by reference to market prices (established from a tender among large operators) in the subsequent two years. Accordingly, the MIT Acquisition and the MIT Freight Service Contracts are expected to increase significantly the Group’s revenues. The volume of these cargoes is expected to be larger than the capacity of MIT’s Owned Fleet. As a result, MIT will lease-in rolling stock under operating leases or use Engaged Fleet to service these cargoes in part, which will affect the Group’s results of operations as the profitability generated by the Leased-in Fleet or the use of Engaged Fleet is typically lower than that generated using its Owned Fleet. For further details of the MIT Freight Service Contracts, see “*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Freight Service Contracts*”.

The MIT Acquisition was financed in part by the proceeds of a USD 400 million three-year floating rate secured credit facility provided by Sberbank, entered into in April 2012. For further details of that loan and the related security, see “*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Acquisition facility*”.

For more information regarding the impact of the MIT Acquisition on the Group, see “*Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information*”.

Macroeconomic factors

The Group’s results have been, and future results are likely to be, affected by the macroeconomic conditions in Russia. The Russian economy is commodity driven and is affected by changes in demand for key commodities domestically, or in adjacent countries, including in European countries. Payments from fuel and the energy sector in the form of customs duties and taxes accounted for nearly half of the federal budget’s revenues. The rebound of Russia’s economy since the economic downturn in 2008-2009 was primarily driven by a recovery in its key commodities industries but was also supported by a recovery in domestic demand. Demand trends for oil products and oil, coal, construction materials and ferrous metals, scrap metals and ores have all improved on a yearly basis since 2009, which in turn, has contributed to strong growth in GDP of 4.3 per cent. in 2010 and 4.3 per cent. in 2011. Further, GDP in Russia expanded 4.9 per cent. in the first quarter of 2012 compared to the same quarter in the previous year, according to Rosstat’s preliminary estimates. The growth in the economy has provided the Russian government with significant budget surpluses, which has enabled increased public investment in infrastructure and the accumulation of foreign exchange reserves. Growing incomes resulted in strong demand for housing and consumer goods. During the continuing recovery in 2010 and 2011, Russian Freight Rail Turnover increased by 8 per cent. and 6 per cent., respectively, over the applicable previous year, according to Rosstat. In the first six months of 2012 Russian Freight Rail Turnover continued its growth increasing 5.4 per cent., according to Rosstat.

As the demand for freight rail transportation is tightly correlated to industrial production, demand for the Group’s services and its pricing, volumes, revenue and operating profit are affected by the macroeconomic climate in Russia. See also “*Russian Rail Transportation Market*”.

Expansion and composition of the fleet

The Group has significantly expanded its Owned Fleet in recent years, focusing on gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars, supporting the overall increase in the size of the Group’s business. In particular, in 2009 and 2010 the Group’s Owned Fleet grew significantly. In 2011, the Group’s railcar investment programme was reduced due to increases in railcar prices. However, in the final months of 2011 and into 2012, the Group scaled-up its railcar

investment programme. In the first six months of 2012, the Group has taken delivery of 9,392 railcars. It also expects to take delivery of a further 1,027 railcars in the second half of 2012. In May 2012, the MIT Acquisition resulted in the addition of MIT's fleet which comprised 8,943 railcars as at 31 March 2012 (including MIT's Owned Fleet of 8,256 railcars) to the Group. See "*MIT Acquisition*". Together, this took the Group's Owned Fleet as at 30 June 2012 to 57,553 units and the Total Fleet to 62,710 units.

Over the same periods, the Group changed the size of its Leased-in Fleet in response to changes in customer demand, changes in the market price for the purchase of new railcars, changes in lease-in rates and to test new markets. The Group's results of operations are affected by changes in the size and share of the Leased-in Fleet as the profitability generated by the Leased-in Fleet is typically lower than that generated using the Owned Fleet. In the second half of 2010, the Group significantly increased its Leased-in Fleet in response to increases in customer demand for its services and reasonable leasing rates. Conversely, in 2011, in response to increases in leased-in rates along with the Group's intention to substitute a portion of the Leased-in Fleet with its Owned Fleet, the Group's Leased-in Fleet decreased significantly, primarily towards the end of the year, and this trend continued in the first six months ended 30 June 2012. The purchase of new railcars, as described above, is intended to replace the Leased-in Fleet returned in these periods, and to expand the Total Fleet further.

The table below sets forth the Group's Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet as at 30 June 2012 and as at 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009.

	As at 30 June				As at 31 December					
	2012		2011		2011		2010		2009	
	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet	(unaudited)					
	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet
Gondola (open top) cars.....	38,038	1,959	21,123	7,653	21,797	4,810	20,650	8,918	15,464	2,357
Rail tank cars.....	18,627	3,191	17,570	2,637	17,570	2,857	16,976	3,622	16,372	2,474
Hopper cars.....	713	4	370	0	370	0	370	0	370	0
Locomotives.....	54	3	53	3	53	3	57	1	58	2
Flat cars.....	121	0	120	0	120	0	120	0	120	0
Total.....	57,553	5,157	39,236	10,293	39,910	7,670	38,173	12,541	32,384	4,833

Note: For definitions of Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet, see "*Presentation of Financial and Other Information—Other Data and Information—Fleet terminology*".

Factors affecting freight rail transportation

Freight rail transportation is the primary service offered by the Group, accounting for 93.1 per cent. of the Group's Adjusted Revenue in the year ended 31 December 2011 and 88.0 per cent. in the six months ended 30 June 2012. The following key factors affect this part of the Group's business.

Average Rolling Stock Operated

The Group's results of operations and, in particular, its Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, are affected by the Average Rolling Stock Operated. Compared to changes in the absolute size of the Group's fleet on each reporting date, this measure more accurately shows changes in the revenue generating capacity of the fleet (all of which relates to freight rail transportation services) for a particular period and significantly differs from the absolute fleet size in periods where the Group acquires or leases-in a large number of railcars. In the years ended 31 December 2009 and 2010, the Average Rolling Stock Operated increased broadly in line with changes in its Total Fleet as at the relevant year end. In 2011, Average Rolling Stock Operated was higher than the relevant year-end (excluding the Leased-out Fleet), reflecting the return of Leased-in Fleet towards the end of the year, in anticipation of new rolling stock purchases being delivered. This situation reversed in the six months ended 30 June 2012 as the Average Rolling Stock Operated decreased, compared with the six months ended 30 June 2011, due to the effect that the decrease in Leased-in Fleet towards the end of 2011 and in the six months ended 30 June 2012 had on the average level of rolling stock, before the new railcars were delivered. This was primarily the result of new railcar purchases being delivered towards the end of the period while a large share of the Leased-in Fleet replaced was returned towards the end of 2011.

The table below sets forth the Group's Average Rolling Stock Operated for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011 and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009. For the number of railcars engaged in the Group's rolling stock leasing business, see "*Railcar leasing*".

Average Rolling Stock Operated	
Six months ended	Years ended 31 December
30 June	

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
			(unaudited)		
Gondola (open top) cars	26,988	28,669	27,183	22,543	14,600
Rail tank cars	14,711	15,349	14,869	13,855	13,298
Hopper cars.....	44	240	174	244	401
Locomotives	36	38	37	40	40
Flat cars	95	99	99	110	66
Total	<u>41,873</u>	<u>44,395</u>	<u>42,363</u>	<u>36,793</u>	<u>28,406</u>

Pricing conditions in the Russian rail transportation market

In the Russian rail transportation market, a key market for the Group’s freight rail transportation services, the prices charged by it as a privately-owned freight rail transportation services provider are based on market supply and demand and are not regulated. However, the Group is subject to the regulated tariff for the use of Russian Railways’ infrastructure and locomotive services, which is the sole operator of the Russian rail network. The Russian Railways’ tariffs constitute the Group’s most significant cost item and are borne by the Group when incurred in relation to Empty Runs. For loaded trips, such tariffs are a “pass through” cost for the Group. These tariffs have increased in the period under review. See “*Business—Pricing*”.

Empty Run Costs

In the period under review, the Group has managed the dispatching and routing of its fleet, particularly gondola (open top) cars, so as to make their utilisation commercially efficient on outbound as well as return journeys. This has been achieved by matching customer orders for outbound freight transportation to particular destinations with other customer freight orders for routes originating in, or with points of origin conveniently reachable from, such destinations. This matching increases the proportion of journeys for which cars are generating revenue and reduces the proportion of journeys that the railcars are empty and incurring Empty Run Costs. It also creates efficient “route loops” in which the Group’s rolling stock carries cargo on some part of the return journey. The ability of the Group to find customers with cargo shipments originating in or nearby the relevant destinations, thus enhancing the commercial utilisation of the Group’s rolling stock, as well as avoiding costly Empty Runs, has historically been, and currently is, an important driver of the Group’s revenues and profitability. For additional information on Empty Run Costs and the Group’s fleet management see “*Business—Strengths—Active fleet management*”.

The Empty Run Costs incurred by the Group in circumstances where it does not have matching customer orders for outbound and return journeys consist of the costs payable to Russian Railways for forwarding empty railcars and are currently determined by the type of cargo most recently transported in the relevant railcar. As a result, the Group also seeks to manage its Empty Run Costs by transporting cargoes which attract lower Empty Run tariffs along parts of the return routes so part of the return trip is at a lower empty run tariff. However, with effect from November 2012, the Empty Run tariffs payable to Russian Railways are expected to be unified to a single tariff (subject to certain exceptions), irrespective of the type of cargo last transported so that there will no longer be the same cost benefit to managing the fleet in this manner. The Empty Run tariff unification may result in the Group and other freight rail carriers adopting different strategies to optimise their logistics but it remains to be determined what the impact on the market and the Group will be.

The Group also seeks to manage its fleet more efficiently through the use of block trains, which are trains consisting only of the Group’s rolling stock bound for the same destination. Despite block trains having higher Empty Runs, the Group has been able to achieve relatively high levels of profitability through the use of block trains because of increased turnover speeds for railcars and customers generally agreeing to bear a portion of the Empty Run Costs.

Mix of cargo

Historically, the mix of cargo in the Group’s freight rail transportation business has affected its results of operations. This was because the regulated railcar tariffs for different types of cargoes that were charged by Russian Railways influenced the prices that the Group and its competitors (other than Russian Railways) could charge for the similar services. However, currently, Russian Railways no longer controls the majority of the Russian railcar fleet and prices are set by the market.

The mix of cargoes transported by the Group will, however, continue to affect its results of operations as the transportation of some cargoes have different pricing and logistics characteristics. The Group has continued to be focused on transporting metallurgical cargoes (including ferrous metals, scrap metal, iron ores) and oil products

and oil due to favourable market conditions, as well as having diversified into some other types of cargoes to take advantage of market conditions and the growth in its railcar fleet.

The table below sets forth the proportion of the Group's Freight Rail Turnover by cargo type in the six months ended 30 June 2012 and the year ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009.

	Freight Rail Turnover				
	Six months ended		Years ended 31 December		
	30 June		(unaudited)		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
	(%)				
Metallurgical cargoes (including ferrous metals, scrap metal and iron ore).....	45.3	45.9	45.0	43.1	48.0
Coal (thermal and coking).....	14.4	16.9	17.6	18.2	9.2
Construction materials (including cement).....	6.5	3.8	4.5	2.8	2.7
Oil products and oil.....	29.1	28.3	27.9	30.6	37.5
Other.....	4.7	5.0	5.1	5.3	2.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The MIT Acquisition will result in a significant increase in the proportion of the Group's Freight Rail Turnover represented by metallurgical cargoes, as discussed at “—MIT Acquisition” above.

Railcar leasing

Railcar leasing is an additional service offered by the Group, accounting for 6.5 per cent. of the Group's Adjusted Revenue in the year ended 31 December 2011 and 11.0 per cent. in the six months ended 30 June 2012.

The table below sets forth the number of the Group's Leased-out Fleet as at 30 June 2012 and 2011 and as at 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009.

	Leased-out Fleet				
	As at 30 June		As at 31 December		
			(unaudited)		
	2012	2011	2011	2010	2009
Gondola (open top) cars.....	3,836	820	1,089	780	775
Rail tank cars.....	7,609	5,439	5,551	4,887	5,101
Hopper cars.....	523	125	370	125	125
Locomotives.....	0	0	0	4	6
Flat cars.....	21	20	20	20	0
Total	11,989	6,404	7,030	5,816	6,007

The leasing of rolling stock in Russia is not regulated, so all prices are based on market supply and demand. The Group's Leased-out Fleet is comprised mainly of rail tank cars primarily leased-out into the Kazakh, Belarussian and Russian markets. Volumes of oil products and oil transported in Russia, other CIS countries and the Baltic countries are characterised by a high degree of stability, reflecting low elasticity of supply and demand for these cargoes. As a result, pricing for leasing services is characterised by low volatility, with gradually increasing leased-out rates in the period under review. See “Business—Services—Railcar leasing”.

Foreign currency fluctuations

The Consolidated Financial Information is presented in US Dollars, which the Group's management believes to be better understood by the principal users of the financial statements. Items included in the financial statements of each of the Group's entities are measured using the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates (“the functional currency”). The functional currency of the Company, its Cypriot subsidiaries and its Russian subsidiaries is the Rouble. The Estonian and Finnish subsidiaries have the Euro as their functional currency. The Ukrainian subsidiary has the Ukrainian Hryvna as its functional currency. The results and financial position of each of the Group's entities that have a functional currency different from the presentation currency are translated into US Dollars using the official exchange rates of the central bank of the country of registration of each entity, in accordance with IAS 21 “The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates”, whereby assets and liabilities are translated at the closing rate prevailing at the date of the balance sheet, income and expense items are translated into US Dollars at the average annual exchange rate for each period presented

which approximates the exchange rate existing at the date of the transaction. All resulting foreign currency exchange rate differences are recognised in other comprehensive income.

The monetary assets and liabilities of the Group that are denominated in US Dollars are initially recorded by the Group's entities in their respective functional currencies at the exchange rates to the US Dollar prevailing at the relevant date. Such monetary assets and liabilities are then retranslated at the exchange rate prevailing at each subsequent balance sheet date. The Group recognises the resulting exchange rate difference between the date such assets or liabilities were originally recorded and subsequent balance sheet date as foreign exchange losses or gains in the Group's consolidated income statement.

The Group expects that some portion of its non-current borrowings will continue to be US Dollar-denominated, as some of the Group's revenue is denominated in US Dollars and the Group believes that interest rates for US Dollar-denominated non-current borrowings will continue to be more commercially attractive than those for Rouble-denominated non-current borrowings.

The Group does not hedge its exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. Accordingly, any future appreciation of the US Dollar against the functional currencies of Group members (the Rouble, Euro and Ukrainian Hryvna) could negatively affect the Group's US Dollar results, due to, for example, the recognition of foreign exchange losses on its US Dollar-denominated borrowings.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Since 30 June 2012, the following significant developments have occurred.

- In the period from July to August 2012, the Group obtained new borrowings from financial institutions in the total amount equivalent to USD 27,882 thousand and settled existing borrowings in the total amount equivalent to USD 436,550 thousand (at 30 June 2012 exchange rates).
- In July 2012, the Company raised gross proceeds of USD 400 million in an offering of 24,242,500 GDRs (such offering, together with the offering by TIHL of 4,227,200 GDRs, the **2012 GDR Offering**).
- On 23 July 2012, LLC Metalloinvesttrans, a subsidiary of the Group, was renamed to LLC Ferrotrans.
- On 19 October 2012, TIHL sold 10,256,411 Ordinary Shares (representing 5.7% of the issued share capital of the Company) in the form of GDRs at a purchase price of USD 19.50 (the **TIHL Placing**), which reduced TIHL's shareholding in the Company to 34.5 per cent. Following the TIHL Placing, the free-float of the Company has increased to 54.5% of the issued share capital of the Company.
- **Acquisition of MMK-Trans**

On 19 December 2012, the Company announced that it had agreed to acquire 100 per cent. of OOO MMK-Trans (**MMK-Trans**), the captive freight rail operator of MMK Group, one of the largest single-site steelmakers in Russia, for a cash consideration of USD 225 million and assuming net debt and working capital of approximately USD 110 million (the **MMK-Trans Acquisition**).

This acquisition followed the successful integration by the Group of MIT and marks a further step in the consolidation of the Russian freight rail transportation sector. The acquisition is in line with the Group's strategy to grow through a combination of selective external as well as organic growth opportunities.

MMK-Trans principally handles cargoes of the MMK Group, primarily metallurgical cargoes and coal. MMK-Trans had Freight Rail Turnover of 23.2 billion tonnes-km in the first nine months of 2012, equivalent to 26 per cent.¹ of the Group's volumes for that period. A large share of MMK-Trans transportation volumes are currently carried by third-party rail operators (the Engaged Fleet).

As part of the transaction, the Group has negotiated a five-year contract guaranteed by MMK Group to supply it with rail transportation services for at least 70 per cent. of MMK Group's rail cargo flows. Fulfilling the contract will utilise about 12,000 railcars² equal to approximately 28 per cent. of

¹ Globaltrans Freight Rail Turnover for nine months 2012; including volumes transported by the Engaged Fleet.

² Estimations based on statistics for nine months 2012; railcars required to service contract with MMK.

Globaltrans' combined owned non-oil railcar fleet³.

With the acquisitions of MMK-Trans and MIT, the Group secured sizable rail transportation contracts with two of the six largest Russian metallurgical/steel groups with more than 80 per cent.⁴ of the Group's combined owned non-oil railcar fleet capacity covered by the associated service contracts. The MMK Group cargo base complements the Group's existing customer base and will enable it to develop more efficient logistics configurations for its rail services.

As of 30 September 2012, MMK-Trans owned 3,548 railcars and 10 locomotives with about 70 per cent. of its fleet comprised of gondola (open top) cars having an average age of less than 10 years. In the first nine months of 2012 MMK-Trans had Adjusted EBITDA of USD 55.5 million⁵.

MMK-Trans is 50 per cent. owned by MMK Group, 37.5 per cent. owned by Sergey Maltsev and Alexander Eliseev, CEO and Chairman of Globaltrans respectively, and 12.5 per cent. owned by MMK-Trans management. This transaction was approved by the non-interested directors⁶ on the Globaltrans' Board with the valuation of MMK-Trans confirmed by a fairness opinion from Deutsche Bank AG.

The transaction will be financed through existing cash resources and a secured term loan and is expected to complete by the end of January 2013, subject to regulatory approvals. Following completion, the Group's leverage will remain at a conservative level with Net Debt of USD 1,252 million⁷.

- **Update on the integration of recently acquired assets**

Since the end of the first half of 2012, the Group's operations have benefited significantly from the MIT Acquisition, as well as from purchases of new railcars. The successful integration and deployment of these assets has enabled the Group to substantially increase its business volumes and outperform the overall market.

For the market as a whole, the year-on-year growth of Russian Freight Rail Turnover in the July-October 2012 period was 5 per cent. compared to the Group's Freight Rail Turnover which rose 18 per cent. to 41.9 billion tonnes-km during the same period. The Group's Freight Rail Turnover including the volumes transported by the Engaged Fleet was up 39 per cent. year-on-year in the July-October 2012 period. The bulk cargo transportation segment experienced some pricing pressure in the final months of 2012.

The Group's market share increased to 7 per cent.⁸ in the July-October 2012 period from 5 per cent. in the first half 2012. The integration of MIT as well as a robust market for the transportation of construction materials has also enabled the Group to improve its Empty Run Ratio for gondola cars to 39 per cent. in the first ten months of 2012 compared to 43 per cent. in the first half 2012.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In its freight rail transportation business, the Group's revenue and cost of sales as reported under EU IFRS, are impacted by the type of contract entered into by its customers. The Group generally offers its customers three types of contracts: (a) a "lump sum" contract pursuant to which the customer is charged a single price for the Group's services, and the infrastructure and locomotive tariffs payable to Russian Railways are borne by the Group, and the customer has no interaction with Russian Railways; (b) a "railcar charge only" contract pursuant to which the customer pays only the railcar charge to the Group, and the infrastructure and locomotive charges for the loaded trip are payable by the customer to Russian Railways directly; and (c) another "railcar charge only" contract

³ Calculated as the sum of the Owned Fleet of Globaltrans and MMK-Trans excluding rail tank cars and locomotives; as of 30 September 2012.

⁴ Estimations based on statistics for nine months 2012; railcars required to service the contract with MMK and Metalloinvest.

⁵ Translated from Russian rubles to US dollars at an exchange rate of 31.07.

⁶ Sergey Maltsev and Alexander Eliseev, the Chief Executive and Chairman of Globaltrans respectively, abstained from voting on this transaction.

⁷ Based on Globaltrans' Net Debt as of 30 September 2012 (derived from management accounts) and adjusted for the enterprise value of MMK-Trans.

⁸ Market share information has been calculated using the Group's own information as the numerator and information published by the Federal State Statistics Service of the Russian Federation as the denominator. The Group's market share is calculated as a percentage of the overall Russian Freight Rail Turnover; here the Group's Freight Rail Turnover in July-October 2012 period includes volumes transported by the Engaged Fleet.

pursuant to which the Group pays the infrastructure and locomotive tariffs to Russian Railways and recharges the amount to the customer as a reimbursement.

As a result, the Group's revenue, cost of sales and gross margins, as reported under EU IFRS can be significantly impacted by the type of contract entered into by new customers and changes in the mix of such contracts with existing customers. In particular, while an increase in the proportion of "lump sum" contracts is likely to increase the Group's revenue, it will also increase its cost of sales, because the relevant infrastructure and locomotive tariffs will be borne by the Group; conversely the infrastructure and locomotive tariffs associated with "railcar charge only" contracts are not reflected in the Group's accounts.

Description of key income statement line items

The following discussion provides a description of the composition of the principal line items on the Group's income statement for the periods presented.

Revenue, Adjusted Revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock

To date, the Group has derived its revenue from freight rail transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group) and rail transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client) provided by the Group as well as from leasing of rolling stock, freight forwarding and other revenue. For the purpose of the further discussion and analysis of revenue, management believes that additional non-GAAP financial measures such as Adjusted Revenue showing the Group's revenue adjusted for "pass through" infrastructure and locomotive tariffs and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock showing the net revenue generated from the operation of rolling stock better demonstrate trends in the Group's business. Adjusted Revenue is calculated as total revenue—operator's services plus total revenue—operating lease and other revenue less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips. Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock is defined as the sum of revenue from railway transportation—operator's services (tariff borne by the Group) and revenue from railway transportation—operator's services (tariff borne by the client) less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips. Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips are in principle a "pass through" for the Group as they are paid by the Group, on behalf of customers, to Russian Railways.

Cost of sales

Cost of sales comprises costs related to the provision of railway transportation services, railcar leasing and other ancillary services to customers. The majority of the cost of sales can be attributed to tariffs for infrastructure and locomotive services provided by Russian Railways for both outbound loaded trips and return Empty Runs. Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs for loaded trips are charged by Russian Railways to the Group for customers having "lump sum" contracts with the Group and are a "pass through" for the Group. "Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: empty run trips and services provided by other transportation organisations" includes the costs payable to Russian Railways for forwarding empty railcars and constitute the key cost item for the Group. Operating lease costs for rolling stock, repair and maintenance of rolling stock and depreciation of rolling stock are the other significant costs included within cost of sales. Employee costs associated with rail transportation, freight forwarding and leasing operations are also items included in cost of sales.

Selling, marketing and administrative expenses

Selling and marketing costs include advertising and marketing expenses, selling expenses and employee costs. Administrative expenses primarily include employee salaries and incentives, office rent, communication and information services costs, taxes other than income, impairment charges for receivables and other expenses. Employee costs are recognised as selling, marketing and administrative expenses to the extent they are associated with selling, marketing and administrative activities of the Group.

Income tax expense

For the annual periods presented income taxes are calculated based on the tax legislation applicable to the respective countries of residence of the Company and each member of the Group, as the case may be. For the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011, income tax expense is recognised in accordance with IAS 34 "Interim Financial Reporting", based on management's best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year. Adjustments due to changes in estimates of prior year taxes are not taken into account in the calculation of the estimated average annual tax rate but are charged in full in the interim period in which it becomes probable that such adjustment is needed.

The Company is based and organised in Cyprus, while its subsidiaries are based and organised in Russia, Estonia, Finland and Ukraine. The Company is subject to corporation tax on taxable profits at the rate of 10 per cent. Under certain conditions, interest may be exempt from income tax and be subject to defence contribution at the rate of 10 per cent. for interest earned until 31 August 2011 and 15 per cent thereafter. In certain cases dividends received from abroad may be subject to defence contribution at the rate of 15 per cent., increased to 17 per cent. from 31 August 2011 and increased to 20 per cent. from 1 January 2012.

Withholding tax is applied to dividends distributed to the Company by its Russian subsidiaries at the rate of 5 per cent. on gross dividends declared, such tax is withheld at source by the relevant subsidiary and is paid to the Russian tax authorities at the same time when the payment of dividend is effected.

For subsidiaries in Estonia the annual profit earned by enterprises is not taxed and thus no income tax or deferred tax asset or liabilities arise. Instead of taxing the net profit, the distribution of statutory retained earnings is subject to a dividend tax rate of 21 per cent. (until 31 December 2009: 21 per cent.) of the gross dividend paid. Therefore, the applicable income tax rate for the Estonian subsidiaries is zero per cent. For the subsidiary in Ukraine the annual profit was taxed at a tax rate 25 per cent. until 31 March 2011 and at a tax rate of 23 per cent. thereafter.

During 2009, 2010 and 2011 the Russian subsidiaries were subject to a tax rate of 20 per cent.

The Group has not recognised any tax in relation to other comprehensive income as all elements of other comprehensive income are not subject to tax.

For more information regarding the Group's income taxes, see "*Risk Factors—Risks Relating to Taxation*" and the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Results of operations for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011

The following table sets forth the principal components of the Group's consolidated income statement for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011.

	Six months ended 30 June	
	2012	2011
	(unaudited)	
	(USD in thousands)	
Revenue.....	967,537	905,844
Cost of sales.....	(626,556)	(645,721)
Gross profit	340,981	260,123
Selling and marketing costs.....	(1,868)	(1,542)
Administrative expenses.....	(59,349)	(40,636)
Other gains/(losses)—net.....	1,372	(555)
Operating profit	281,136	217,390
Finance income.....	4,948	2,843
Finance costs.....	(81,028)	(20,702)
Finance costs—net	(76,080)	(17,859)
Share of profit of associates.....	213	300
Profit before income tax	205,269	199,831
Income tax expense.....	(45,720)	(40,544)
Profit for the period	159,549	159,287
Attributable to:		
Owners of the Company.....	132,635	134,618
Non-controlling interest.....	26,914	24,669
	159,549	159,287

See also “—Key Factors Affecting the Group's Financial Condition and Results of Operations”.

Revenue, Adjusted Revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock

The following table sets forth revenue, broken down by area of revenue-generating activity of the Group and reconciliation of Adjusted Revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock to it for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011.

	Six months ended	
	30 June	
	2012	2011
	(unaudited)	
	(USD in thousands)	
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group).....	541,489	492,923
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client).....	346,357	375,368
Railway transportation—freight forwarding.....	5,240	1,210
Operating leasing of rolling stock.....	73,087	35,886
Other.....	1,364	457
Revenue	967,537	905,844
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.....	(302,823)	(302,271)
Adjusted Revenue	664,714	603,573

	Six months ended 30 June	
	2012	2011
	(unaudited)	
	(USD in thousands)	
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group).....	541,489	492,923
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client).....	346,357	375,368
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.....	(302,823)	(302,271)
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock	585,023	566,020

Revenue increased by USD 61,693 thousand, or 6.8 per cent., from USD 905,844 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 967,537 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. The increase in

the Group's revenue was driven primarily by an increase in the Group's Adjusted Revenue. The aggregated amount of revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group) and revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client) increased by an aggregate of USD 19,555 thousand, or 2.3 per cent., primarily due to the reasons for the increase in Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock and the insignificant increase in infrastructure and locomotive tariffs—loaded trips discussed below.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips (which is included in equal amounts both in the Group's revenue and cost of sales) amounted to USD 302,823 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012, remaining stable from the first half of 2011.

Adjusted Revenue increased by USD 61,141 thousand, or 10.1 per cent., from USD 603,573 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 664,714 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 driven primarily by increases in revenue from operating leasing of rolling stock and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock.

Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, the largest component of Adjusted Revenue, increased by USD 19,003 thousand, or 3.4 per cent., from USD 566,020 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 585,023 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This increase reflected the following factors: (i) effect of consolidation of MIT from the date of acquisition (middle of May 2012); (ii) an increase in the Average Price Per Trip by 12 per cent. to USD 1,071 compared to the six months ended 30 June 2011 reflecting the Group's high quality offering and favourable market conditions; Average Distance of Loaded Trip decreased 2 per cent. year on year in the same period; (iii) a temporary decline in the Group's Average Rolling Stock Operated of 6 per cent. in the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period of the previous year, primarily due to a decrease in the number of Leased-in Fleet ahead of new railcars deliveries (the Group's Leased-in Fleet decreased 50 per cent. to 5,157 units as of 30 June 2012 compared to 30 June 2011) and (iv) average number of Loaded Trips per Railcar decline 2 per cent. period on period to 13.1 trips compared to the same period of the previous year.

Revenue from operating leasing of rolling stock, the second largest component of Adjusted Revenue, increased by 103.7 per cent. from USD 35,886 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 73,087 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 driven by an increase in lease-out rates along with an increase in average rolling stock leased-out over the period primarily reflecting (i) an increased number of rail tank cars leased-out (primarily due to delivery of newly acquired rail tank cars); and (ii) a temporary lease-out of certain acquired gondola cars subject to existing leasing contracts. These gondola cars will be switched to operators' business in the second half of 2012 when respective contracts expire.

Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011.

	Six months ended 30 June	
	2012	2011
	(unaudited)	
	(USD in thousands)	
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment.....	46,316	38,986
Amortisation of intangible assets.....	1,585	91
Loss on sale of property, plant and equipment.....	900	(481)
Employee benefit expense.....	41,661	28,641
Impairment charge for receivables.....	19	119
Operating lease rentals—rolling stock.....	36,554	78,867
Operating lease rentals—office.....	2,624	2,508
Repairs and maintenance.....	41,860	39,314
Fuel and spare parts—locomotives.....	16,608	14,210
Engagement of locomotive crews.....	6,041	6,378
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs:		
Loaded trips.....	302,823	302,271
Empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations ...	158,980	151,539
Including Empty Run Costs.....	123,024	122,597
Auditors' remuneration.....	970	584
Legal, consulting and other professional fees.....	4,397	2,052
Advertising and promotion.....	353	240
Communication costs.....	525	548
Information services.....	851	812

Taxes (other than income tax and value added taxes)	11,379	10,156
Other expenses.....	13,327	11,064
Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses	<u>687,773</u>	<u>687,899</u>

Total costs of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses decreased by USD 126 thousand, or 0.02 per cent., from USD 687,899 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 687,773 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This decrease was primarily due to the factors described below.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips (which is included in equal amounts both in the Group's revenue and cost of sales) remained relatively stable at USD 302,271 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 and USD 302,823 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This was due to the effect of the MIT Acquisition which was offset by the fact that clients increasingly opted to pay infrastructure and locomotive charges directly to Russian Railways (reducing the share of "lump sum" (tariff borne by the Group) contracts).

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: Empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations increased by 4.9 per cent from USD 151,539 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 158,980 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This increase was driven primarily by a 24 per cent. increase in other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations, from USD 28,943 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 35,956 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2012, reflecting the increased use of the Engaged Fleet to satisfy client demand in excess of the Group's own capacity and the effect of the MIT acquisition.

Empty Run Costs (part of Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: Empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by our other transportation organisations) remained relatively stable at USD 123,024 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 compared to the same period of the previous year reflecting a combination of the following factors: (i) a 6 per cent. increase in Russian Railways' regulated tariff for the traction of empty railcars effective from 1 January 2012 (according to the Russian Federal Tariff Service (*FTS*)); (ii) increase in the Share of Empty Run Kilometres paid by the Company to 79 per cent. driven mainly by decreased transportation of coal and impact of consolidation of MIT; Total Empty Run ratio remained stable at 62 per cent. for the periods and (iii) offset partially by a decrease in the Group's Freight Rail Turnover resulting from a temporary 6 per cent. decrease in Average Rolling Stock Operated during the reporting period primarily due to a decrease in the number of Leased-in Fleet ahead of new railcars deliveries.

Operating lease rentals—rolling stock decreased by 53.7 per cent. from USD 78,867 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 36,554 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 reflecting a significant decline in the average number of railcars leased-in from third parties over the period ahead of new railcar deliveries.

Repairs and maintenance costs increased by 6.5 per cent. from USD 39,314 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 41,860 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012, reflecting cost inflation for repair works and spare parts, as well as an increase in the number of ad hoc repairs.

Employee benefit expense increased by 45.5 per cent. from USD 28,641 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 41,661 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This increase was primarily driven by (i) an increase in the average number of employees (primarily through the acquisition of MIT) combined with (ii) general wage inflation, and an increase in the unified social tax rate in Russia, as well as (iii) a rise in performance-driven bonuses awarded.

Fuel and spare parts—locomotives increased by USD 2,398 thousand, or 16.9 per cent., from USD 14,210 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 16,608 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 primarily due to an increase in fuel and engine oil prices.

Taxes (other than income tax and VAT) increased by USD 1,223 thousand, or 12.0 per cent., from USD 10,156 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 11,379 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 primarily due to an increase in the amount of property tax payable on the Group's Owned Fleet (other than railcars leased-in under finance leases) reflecting the increase in its size.

Depreciation of property, plant and equipment increased by USD 7,330 thousand, or 18.8 per cent., from USD 38,986 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 46,316 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 primarily due to the increase in the size of the Group's Owned Fleet.

Other gains/(losses)—net

Other gains/(losses)—net amounted to a gain of USD 1,372 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012, compared to a loss of USD 555 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011, primarily as a result of increased net foreign exchange transaction losses on non-financing activities from USD 526 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 713 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012.

Operating profit

The Group's operating profit increased by USD 63,746 thousand, or 29.3 per cent., from USD 217,390 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 281,136 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012, as a result of the factors discussed above.

Finance income

Finance income increased by USD 2,105 thousand or 74.0 per cent., from USD 2,843 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 4,948 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This increase was primarily driven by the combination of (i) USD 2,152 thousand of net foreign exchange transaction gains on cash and cash equivalents; (ii) USD 1,603 increase in interest income on bank balances; and (iii) USD 1,957 decrease in finance income from finance leases – third parties as the related liability was repaid by the relevant leaseholder in the second half of 2011.

Finance costs

The following table sets forth a breakdown of finance costs for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011.

	<u>Six months ended 30 June</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
	<u>(unaudited)</u>	
	<u>(USD in thousands)</u>	
Interest expense:		
Bank borrowings.....	(26,173)	(13,334)
Non-convertible unsecured bonds.....	(13,712)	(4,493)
Finance leases.....	(1,305)	(2,782)
Other finance costs.....	(285)	(206)
Total interest expense.....	(41,475)	(20,815)
Net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on borrowings.....	(39,553)	1,234
Finance cost on liability for minimum dividend distribution.....	-	(1,121)
Finance costs.....	(81,028)	(20,702)

Finance costs increased by USD 60,326 thousand, or 291.4 per cent., from USD 20,702 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 81,028 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This increase reflects the combination of two factors. First, total interest expense increased 99.3 per cent. or USD 20,660 thousand to USD 41,475 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 compared to the same period of the previous year. This increase was driven by increased borrowings incurred to finance the Group's significant business expansion. Second, in the six months ended 30 June 2012, the Group recorded USD 39,553 thousand of net foreign exchange transaction losses on borrowings, which was largely driven by an increase in net US dollar-denominated liabilities arising primarily under the MIT acquisition facility and the significant depreciation of the Russian rouble against the US dollar in the second quarter of 2012.

Total interest expense increased by USD 20,660 thousand, or 99.3 per cent., to USD 41,475 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2012 from USD 20,815 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2011. This increase was due to the fact that substantial amounts of bank borrowings were attracted by the Group together with the issue of non-convertible unsecured RUB-denominated bonds to finance the acquisition of rolling stock as well as the acquisition of MIT.

Net foreign exchange transaction gains/(losses) on borrowings amounted to a loss of USD 39,553 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2012 compared to a gain of USD 1,234 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2011 due to a decrease in the average amount of US Dollar denominated borrowings during the six months ended 30 June 2012 compared to the six months ended 30 June 2011.

Finance cost on liability for minimum dividend distribution decreased from USD 1,121 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2011 to nil for the six months ended 30 June 2012. This cost arose due to the obligation to pay certain minimum amounts under the shareholders' agreement relating to BTS, and this obligation was cancelled in the second half of 2011 upon the acquisition of a further 10 per cent. interest in BTS by the Group. The amount recognised for the six months ended 30 June 2011 relates to the amount accrued in respect of that obligation for the first quarter of that year.

Share of profit of associates

The share of profit of associates decreased by USD 87 thousand, or 29.0 per cent., to USD 213 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 from USD 300 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011, as a result of decreased profitability of Daugavpils Lokomotivju Remonta Rupnica, an associate of AS Spacecom.

Profit before income tax

Profit before income tax increased by USD 5,438 thousand or 2.7 per cent., from USD 199,831 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 205,269 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012. This increase was driven primarily by a USD 63,746 thousand year on year increase in operating profit, partially offset by a USD 58,221 thousand year on year increase in net finance costs.

Income tax expense

Income tax expense increased by USD 5,176 thousand, or 12.8 per cent., from USD 40,544 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 45,720 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012 reflecting the recognition of a deferred tax provision in the amount of USD 6,628 thousand due to the change in intention for distribution of profits of a Russian subsidiary of the Company as well as an increase in profit before tax for the period.

For the six months ended 30 June 2012 income tax expense is recognised based on management's best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year. The estimated average annual tax rate used for the year ended 31 December 2012 is 21.0 per cent. and does not include the deferred tax provision discussed immediately above (for 2011 it was 20.3 per cent.).

Results of operations for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010

The following table sets forth the principal components of the Group's consolidated income statement for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(USD in thousands)	
Revenue.....	1,733,056	1,382,670
Cost of sales.....	<u>(1,210,827)</u>	<u>(981,428)</u>
Gross profit	522,229	401,242
Selling and marketing costs.....	(3,088)	(2,692)
Administrative expenses.....	(90,317)	(72,225)
Other gains—net.....	3,140	3,048
Operating profit	431,964	329,373
Finance income.....	7,362	7,203
Finance costs.....	<u>(39,935)</u>	<u>(53,109)</u>
Finance costs—net	(32,573)	(45,906)
Share of profit of associates.....	428	206
Profit before income tax	399,819	283,673
Income tax expense.....	<u>(82,593)</u>	<u>(57,733)</u>
Profit for the year	317,226	225,940
Attributable to:		
Owners of the Company.....	266,423	177,322
Non-controlling interest.....	50,803	48,618
	317,226	225,940

See also “—Key Factors Affecting the Group's Financial Condition and Results of Operations”.

Revenue, Adjusted Revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock

The following table sets forth revenue, broken down by area of revenue-generating activity of the Group and reconciliation of Adjusted Revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock to it for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	<u>Years ended 31 December</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(USD in thousands)	
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group)	929,945	810,661
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client).....	721,850	499,052
Railway transportation—freight forwarding	3,422	1,858
Operating leasing of rolling stock.....	76,555	70,539
Other	1,284	560
Revenue	<u>1,733,056</u>	<u>1,382,670</u>
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.....	<u>(556,030)</u>	<u>(479,671)</u>
Adjusted Revenue	<u>1,177,026</u>	<u>902,999</u>

	<u>Years ended 31 December</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(USD in thousands)	
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group).....	929,945	810,661
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client).....	721,850	499,052
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips	<u>(556,030)</u>	<u>(479,671)</u>
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock	<u>1,095,765</u>	<u>830,042</u>

The Group recorded revenue of USD 1,733,056 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011, an increase of USD 350,386 thousand, or 25.3 per cent., from USD 1,382,670 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 driven primarily by an increase in the Group's Adjusted Revenue. Revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group) and revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client) increased by an aggregate of USD 342,082 thousand, or 26.1 per cent., primarily due to the reasons for the increase in Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock and the increase in infrastructure and locomotive tariffs—loaded trips discussed below.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips (which is included in equal amounts both in the Group's revenue and cost of sales) increased by USD 76,359 thousand, or 15.9 per cent., from USD 479,671 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 to USD 556,030 thousand primarily due to the overall increase in the Group's business volumes as well as the annual increase in regulated tariff for services provided by Russian Railways.

Adjusted Revenue increased by USD 274,027 thousand, or 30.3 per cent to USD 1,177,026 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 compared to USD 902,999 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010.

Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, the largest component of Adjusted Revenue, increased by USD 265,723 thousand, or 32.0 per cent., to USD 1,095,765 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 compared to USD 830,042 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, primarily as a result of an increase of 15.1 per cent. in the Average Rolling Stock Operated to 42,363 units, an increase of 26.0 per cent. to USD 970.8 in the Average Price Per Trip, and partially offset by a decrease of 9.0 per cent. to 26.6 trips in the Average Number of Loaded Trips per Railcar and a 6.2 per cent increase in the Average Distance of Loaded Trips.

Revenue from operating leasing of rolling stock increased by USD 6,016 thousand, or 8.5 per cent., primarily due to increases in lease rates for rolling stock leased to clients, partially offset by a decrease in the average number of rolling stock leased-out during 2011, compared to 2010.

Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	<u>Years ended 31 December</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(USD in thousands)	
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment.....	75,052	63,017

Amortisation of intangible assets	179	173
Loss on sale of property, plant and equipment.....	1,244	2,041
Employee benefit expense.....	62,183	46,440
Impairment charge for receivables.....	125	1,474
(Reversal of)/ impairment charge for property, plant and equipment.....	(172)	(650)
Operating lease rentals—rolling stock	132,570	87,469
Operating lease rentals—office.....	4,820	4,362
Repairs and maintenance.....	76,243	63,673
Fuel and spare parts—locomotives	27,708	21,261
Engagement of locomotive crews	12,684	11,296
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs:		
Loaded trips	556,030	479,671
Empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations ...	299,384	232,586
<i>Including Empty Run Costs</i>	233,790	203,386
Auditors' remuneration	1,362	1,262
Legal, consulting and other professional fees	9,341	3,298
Advertising and promotion	554	570
Communication costs.....	1,122	1,078
Information services.....	1,637	1,385
Taxes (other than income tax and value added taxes)	19,533	16,141
Other expenses.....	22,633	19,798
Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses	<u>1,304,232</u>	<u>1,056,345</u>

Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses increased by USD 247,887 thousand, or 23.5 per cent., from USD 1,056,345 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 1,304,232 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to the factors described below.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips increased by USD 76,359 thousand, or 15.9 per cent., primarily due to the overall increase in the Group's business volumes as well as the annual increase in regulated tariff for services provided by Russian Railways.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations increased by USD 66,798 thousand, or 28.7 per cent. primarily due to an increase in Empty Run Costs by USD 30,405 thousand, or 14.9 per cent., from USD 203,386 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 233,790 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011, reflecting an increase in overall business volumes (the Group's Freight Rail Turnover increased by 14 per cent. year on year in 2011), an increase in the regulated tariff for the traction of empty railcars of 8 per cent. from 1 January 2011 (according to the FTS), offset by a decrease in the share of Empty Run Kilometres Paid by the Group to 78 per cent. for 2011 from 82 per cent. for 2010 reflecting the increased use of block trains to transport coal and iron ore (for which clients pay for Empty Runs directly to Russian Railways). The Total Empty Run Ratio remained at 62 per cent. for 2011 and 2010. The stable Total Empty Run Ratio reflected a decrease in the Empty Run Ratio for gondola (open top) cars to 41 per cent. for 2011 from 42 per cent. for 2010 offset by an increase in the Empty Run Ratio for rail tank and hopper cars to 111 per cent. for 2011 from 108 per cent. for 2010 primarily due to changed logistics in the transportation of oil products and oil. Also contributing to the increase in infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations was the increased use of Engaged Fleet to satisfy client demand in excess of the Group's own capacity, and an increase in tariffs incurred by the Group when transporting railcars to/from repairs and maintenance arising from the increase in the size of the Group's Owned Fleet.

Operating lease rentals—rolling stock increased by USD 45,101 thousand, or 51.6 per cent., from USD 87,469 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 132,570 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 primarily due to an increase in the average number of railcars leased-in during 2011 compared to 2010, as well as a substantial increase in the leased-in rates.

Repair and maintenance increased by USD 12,570 thousand, or 19.7 per cent., from USD 63,673 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 76,243 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 primarily due to cost inflation for repair works and spare parts as well as an increase in the average Owned Fleet size.

Employee benefit expense increased by USD 15,743 thousand, or 33.9 per cent., from USD 46,440 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 62,183 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 primarily due to increase in the average number of employees of the Group, wage inflation, an increase in the Russian unified social tax rate and results-linked bonuses.

Fuel and spare parts—locomotives increased by USD 6,447 thousand, or 30.3 per cent., from USD 21,261 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 27,708 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 primarily due to a significant increase in fuel prices.

Engagement of locomotive crews increased by USD 1,388 thousand, or 12.3 per cent., from USD 11,296 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 12,684 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 primarily due to an increase in the volume of this service, with relatively stable pricing.

Legal, consulting and other professional fees increased by USD 6,043 thousand, or 183.2 per cent., from USD 3,298 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 9,341 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 resulting from several non-recurring expenses associated with the investigation of potential investment opportunities and related proposed financing.

Taxes (other than income tax and VAT) increased by USD 3,392 thousand, or 21.0 per cent., from USD 16,141 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 19,533 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 due to an increase in the amount of property tax payable on the Group's Owned Fleet (other than leased-in under finance leases) reflecting the increase in its size.

Depreciation of property, plant and equipment increased by USD 12,035 thousand, or 19.1 per cent., from USD 63,017 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 75,052 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 primarily due to the increase in the size of the Group's Owned Fleet.

Other gains—net

Other gains—net increased by USD 92 thousand, from USD 3,048 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 3,140 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011, primarily as a result of an increase of USD 3,634 thousand, or 129.7 per cent., in other gains mainly due to a significant increase in the amounts received as penalties from clients for the idle time of railcars while being loaded/unloaded, offset by other losses, which increased by USD 3,931 thousand mainly due to the amount recognised in relation to the claim brought by Eesti Raudtee (Estonian Railways) against a Group member, the outcome of which was determined in 2011 net of the indemnification received from TIHL. The proportion that was not indemnified by TIHL was wholly attributable to the non-controlling interest in that Group member.

Operating profit

The Group's operating profit amounted to USD 431,964 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011, an increase of USD 102,591 thousand or 31.1 per cent. compared to the year ended 31 December 2010 as a result of the factors discussed above.

Finance income

Finance income increased by USD 159 thousand, or 2.2 per cent., to USD 7,362 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 7,203 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, primarily as a result of an increase in interest income on bank balances and short term bank deposits, reflecting the larger amount of cash on hand during 2011, partially offset by a decrease in interest income from finance leases as the relevant lease arrangements were terminated before their scheduled maturity by the relevant client and the absence of amortisation of financial guarantees in 2011 due to the termination in 2010 of a guarantee provided by a Group member in favour of a third party's indebtedness.

Finance costs

The following table sets forth a breakdown of finance costs for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	<u>Years ended 31 December</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(USD in thousands)	
Interest expense:		
Bank borrowings.....	(23,313)	(24,951)
Non-convertible bond.....	(8,424)	(3,906)
Finance leases.....	(4,325)	(13,524)
Other interest—related parties.....	—	(348)
Other finance costs.....	(664)	(927)

Total interest expense	(36,726)	(43,656)
Net foreign exchange transaction losses on financing activities	(2,046)	(7,450)
Finance cost on liability for minimum dividend distribution	(1,163)	(2,003)
Finance costs	<u>(39,935)</u>	<u>(53,109)</u>

Finance costs decreased by USD 13,174 thousand, or 24.8 per cent., to USD 39,935 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 53,109 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, primarily due to a decrease in interest expense, net foreign exchange transaction losses on financing activities and finance cost on liability for minimum dividend distribution.

Total interest expense decreased by USD 6,930 thousand, or 15.9 per cent., to USD 36,726 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 43,656 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease of USD 9,199 thousand, or 68.0 per cent., in interest expense: finance leases due to a reduction in the Group's lease liabilities during the course of 2010 when finance leases were largely refinanced with the proceeds from non-convertible 5-year Rouble- denominated bonds issued in July 2010. This was partially offset by an increase of USD 4,518 thousand, or 115.7 per cent., in interest expense: non-convertible bond, due to the full year effect in 2011 of interest on those Rouble-denominated bonds.

Net foreign exchange transaction losses on financing activities decreased by USD 5,404 thousand, or 72.5 per cent., to USD 2,046 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 7,450 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 due to a decrease in the Group's total US dollar- denominated liabilities partly offset by assets and income denominated in US dollars as at 31 December 2011 compared to the amounts as at 31 December 2010.

Finance cost on liability for minimum dividend distribution decreased by USD 840 thousand, or 41.9 per cent., to USD 1,163 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 2,003 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010. This cost arises due to the obligation to pay certain minimum amounts under the shareholders' agreement relating to BTS. This obligation was cancelled in the second half of 2011 upon the acquisition of a further 10 per cent. interest in BTS by the Group. The amount recognised for 2011 relates to the amount accrued in respect of that obligation for the first half of the year.

Share of profit of associates

The share of profit of associates increased by USD 222 thousand, or 107.8 per cent., to USD 428 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 206 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, reflecting the Group's share of the profit of Daugavpils Lokomtivju Remonta Rupnica, an associate of AS Spacecom.

Profit before income tax

Profit before income tax increased by USD 116,146 thousand, or 40.9 per cent., to USD 399,819 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 283,673 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 due to the factors discussed above.

Income tax expense

Income tax expense increased by USD 24,860 thousand, or 43.1 per cent., to USD 82,593 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 from USD 57,733 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010. This relates to an increase in profit before income tax due to the reasons given above and an increase in the weighted average applicable tax rate to 18.4 per cent. for the year ended 31 December 2011 from 17.8 per cent. for the year ended 31 December 2010. The change in the weighted average tax rate was primarily due to an increase in the profitability of the Group's Russian subsidiaries (which are taxed at a corporate tax rate of 20 per cent.) compared to the Estonian subsidiaries (which have a zero applicable tax rate).

Results of operations for the years ended 31 December 2010 and 2009

The following table sets forth the principal components of the Group's consolidated income statement for the years ended 31 December 2010 and 2009.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2010	2009
	(USD in thousands)	
Revenue.....	1,382,670	1,163,407

Cost of sales.....	(981,428)	(874,152)
Gross profit	401,242	289,255
Selling and marketing costs.....	(2,692)	(2,181)
Administrative expenses.....	(72,225)	(58,793)
Other gains—net.....	3,048	785
Operating profit	329,373	229,066
Finance income.....	7,203	5,886
Finance costs.....	(53,109)	(84,559)
Finance costs—net	(45,906)	(78,673)
Share of profit of associates.....	206	461
Profit before income tax	283,673	150,854
Income tax expense.....	(57,733)	(29,681)
Profit for the year	225,940	121,173
Attributable to:		
Owners of the Company.....	177,322	88,057
Non-controlling interest.....	48,618	33,116
	225,940	121,173

See also “—Key Factors Affecting the Group’s Financial Condition and Results of Operations”.

Revenue, Adjusted Revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock

The following table sets forth revenue, broken down by area of revenue- generating activity of the Group and reconciliation of Adjusted Revenue and Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock to it for the years ended 31 December 2010 and 2009.

	Years ended	
	31 December	
	2010	2009
	(USD in thousands)	
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group).....	810,661	815,998
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client).....	499,052	280,632
Railway transportation—freight forwarding.....	1,858	265
Operating leasing of rolling stock.....	70,539	65,383
Sale of wagons and locomotives.....	—	189
Other.....	560	940
Revenue	1,382,670	1,163,407
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips.....	(479,671)	(478,152)
Adjusted Revenue	902,999	685,255

	Years ended 31 December	
	2010	2009
	(USD in thousands)	
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group).....	810,661	815,998
Railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client).....	499,052	280,632
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips	(479,671)	(478,152)
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock	830,042	618,478

The Group recorded revenue of USD 1,382,670 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, an increase of USD 219,263 thousand, or 18.8 per cent., from USD 1,163,407 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009. This increase in the Group's revenue was driven primarily by the increase in the Group's Adjusted Revenue. The aggregated amount of revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the Group) and revenue from railway transportation—operators services (tariff borne by the client) increased by an aggregate of USD 213,083 thousand, or 19.4 per cent., primarily due to the reasons for the increase in Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock and the increase in infrastructure and locomotive tariffs—loaded trips discussed below.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips (which is included in equal amounts both in the Group's revenue and cost of sales) increased by USD 1,519 thousand, or 0.3 per cent., from USD 478,152 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 479,671 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 primarily due to the overall increase in business volumes as well as the annual increase in regulated tariff for services provided by Russian Railways.

Adjusted Revenue increased by USD 217,744 thousand, or 31.8 per cent to USD 902,999 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 compared to USD 685,255 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009.

Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock, the largest component of Adjusted Revenue, increased by USD 211,564 thousand, or 34.2 per cent., to USD 830,042 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 compared to USD 618,478 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009, primarily as a result of an increase of 29.5 per cent. in Average Rolling Stock Operated to 36,793 units and an increase of 7.5 per cent. to USD 770.4 in the Average Price Per Trip, offset in part by a decrease of 3.6 per cent. to 29.3 trips in the Average Number of Loaded Trips per Railcar and a 2.2 per cent. decrease in the Average Distance of Loaded Trips.

Revenue from operating leasing of rolling stock increased by USD 5,156 thousand, or 7.9 per cent., primarily due to a moderate increase in lease rates for rolling stock leased to clients as well as an increase in the average number of rolling stock leased-out during 2010, compared to 2009.

Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses

The following table sets forth a breakdown of the total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses for the years ended 31 December 2010 and 2009.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2010	2009
	(USD in thousands)	
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment.....	63,017	53,193
Amortisation of intangible assets	173	14
Loss on sale of property, plant and equipment.....	2,041	1,679
Employee benefit expense	46,440	34,714
Impairment charge for receivables	1,474	1,438
(Reversal of)/ impairment charge for property, plant and equipment.....	(650)	1,291
Operating lease rentals—rolling stock	87,469	38,791
Operating lease rentals—office.....	4,362	4,682
Repairs and maintenance	63,673	62,223
Fuel and spare parts—locomotives	21,261	17,033
Engagement of locomotive crews	11,296	10,120
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs:		
Loaded trips	479,671	478,152
Empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations ...	232,586	195,224
<i>Including Empty Run Costs</i>	<i>203,386</i>	<i>167,877</i>
Auditors' remuneration	1,262	1,449
Legal, consulting and other professional fees	3,298	6,637
Advertising and promotion	570	574

Communication costs.....	1,078	1,007
Information services.....	1,385	1,360
Taxes (other than income tax and value added taxes).....	16,141	11,007
Cost of wagons and locomotives sold in trading transactions (not part of property, plant and equipment).....	—	186
Other expenses.....	19,798	14,352
Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses.....	<u>1,056,345</u>	<u>935,126</u>

Total cost of sales, selling and marketing costs and administrative expenses increased by USD 121,219 thousand, or 13.0 per cent., from USD 935,126 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 1,056,345 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010. This increase was primarily due to the factors described below.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips increased by USD 1,519 thousand, or 0.3 per cent., primarily due to the overall increase in business volumes as well as the annual increase in regulated tariff for services provided by Russian Railways.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: empty run trips other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations increased by USD 37,362 thousand, or 19.1 per cent., primarily due to an increase in Empty Run Costs by USD 35,509 thousand, or 21.2 per cent., from USD 167,877 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 203,386 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, reflecting an increase in overall business volumes (the Group's Freight Rail Turnover increased by 20.4 per cent. year on year in 2010) and an increase in the regulated tariff for the traction of empty railcars of 9.4 per cent. from 1 January 2010, according to the FTS. These factors were partially offset by a decrease in the Empty Run Ratio to 62 per cent. for 2010 from 72 per cent. for 2009 and changes in the Group's cargo mix. In particular, the Empty Run Ratio for gondola (open top) cars decreased to 42 per cent. for 2010 from 46 per cent. for 2009 in part due to an improved return cargo carriage for metallurgical clients and construction materials. The Empty Run ratio for rail tank cars and hoppers decreased to 108 per cent. for 2010 from 114 per cent. for 2009 primarily due to changed logistics in the transportation of oil products and oil. Also contributing to the increase in infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: empty run trips, other tariffs and services provided by other transportation organisations was an increase in tariffs incurred by the Group when transporting railcars to/from clients when railcars are leased-out as well as repairs and maintenance arising from the increase in the size of the Group's Owned Fleet in 2010, and an increase in the use of Engaged Fleet to satisfy client demand in excess of the Group's own capacity.

Operating lease rentals—rolling stock which increased by USD 48,678 thousand, or 125.5 per cent., from USD 38,791 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 87,469 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 primarily due to an increase in the average number of railcars leased-in during 2010 compared to 2009 as well as a substantial increase in the leased-in rates.

Repair and maintenance increased by USD 1,450 thousand, or 2.3 per cent., from USD 62,223 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 thousand to USD 63,673 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 primarily due to the cost inflation of repair works and spare parts and the increase in the average Owned Fleet size, partially offset by cost reductions from the continued transfer of rolling stock to a mileage-based repairs system.

Employee benefits expense increased by USD 11,726 thousand, or 33.8 per cent., from USD 34,714 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 46,440 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 primarily due to an increase in results-linked bonuses, wages and salaries.

Fuel and spare parts—locomotives increased by USD 4,228 thousand, or 24.8 per cent, from USD 17,033 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 21,261 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 primarily due to increases in fuel prices.

Engagement of locomotive crews increased by USD 1,176 thousand, or 11.6 per cent., from USD 10,120 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 11,296 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 primarily due to an increase in the price for this service.

Legal, consulting and other professional fees decreased by USD 3,339 thousand, or 50.3 per cent., from USD 6,637 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 3,298 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 due to non-recurring costs in 2009 associated with the offering of GDRs completed in December 2009.

Taxes (other than income tax and VAT) increased by USD 5,134 thousand, or 46.6 per cent., from USD 11,007 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 16,141 thousand for the year ended

31 December 2010 due to an increase in the amount of property tax payable on the Group's Owned Fleet (other than leased-in under finance leases) reflecting the increase in its size.

Depreciation of property, plant and equipment increased by USD 9,824 thousand, or 18.5 per cent., from USD 53,193 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 63,017 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 primarily due to the increase in the size of the Group's Owned Fleet.

Other gains—net

Other gains—net increased by USD 2,263 thousand, from USD 785 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 3,048 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, primarily as a result of a decrease of USD 1,796 thousand, or 86.0 per cent., in other losses mainly due to several non-recurring items in 2009, and due to net foreign exchange loss of USD 722 thousand in 2009 mainly attributable to monetary assets and liabilities, other than those relating to financing activities, denominated in foreign currency (principally US Dollars) and exchange rate fluctuations between the relevant foreign currency and the relevant Group entity's functional currency.

Operating profit

The Group's operating profit amounted to USD 329,373 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010, an increase of USD 100,307 thousand, or 43.8 per cent., compared to the year ended 31 December 2009 as a result of the factors discussed above.

Finance income

Finance income increased by USD 1,317 thousand, or 22.4 per cent. to USD 7,203 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 from USD 5,886 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009, primarily as a result of an increase of total interest income by USD 2,154 thousand, or 52.7 per cent., mainly due to the full year effect in the year ended 31 December 2010 of income from the sale of wagons under finance leases entered mid-2009, partially offset by a decrease in amortisation of financial guarantees by USD 837 thousand, or 46.6 per cent., due to the termination in 2010 of a guarantee provided by a Group member in favour of a third party's indebtedness.

Finance costs

The following table sets forth a breakdown of finance costs for the years ended 31 December 2010 and 2009.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2010	2009
	(USD in thousands)	
Interest expense:		
Bank borrowings.....	(24,951)	(20,225)
Non-convertible bond.....	(3,906)	—
Finance leases.....	(13,524)	(21,536)
Loans from related parties.....	—	(638)
Loans from third parties.....	—	(273)
Other interest—related parties.....	(348)	(4,722)
Other finance costs.....	(927)	(112)
Total interest expense.....	(43,656)	(47,506)
Net foreign exchange transaction losses on financing activities.....	(7,450)	(21,104)
Finance cost on liability for minimum dividend distribution.....	(2,003)	—
Loss on exchange of financial liabilities.....	—	(8,498)
Distribution to non-controlling participants in redeemable shares.....	—	(7,451)
Finance costs.....	(53,109)	(84,559)

Finance costs decreased by USD 31,450 thousand, or 37.2 per cent., to USD 53,109 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 from USD 84,559 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009, primarily due to decreases in interest expense, net foreign exchange transaction losses on financing activities, loss on exchange of financial liabilities and distribution to non-controlling participants in redeemable shares.

Total interest expense decreased by USD 3,850 thousand, or 8.1 per cent., to USD 43,656 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 from USD 47,506 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009. This decrease

was primarily due to a decrease of USD 8,012 thousand, or 37.2 per cent., in interest expense: finance leases due to a reduction in the Group's lease liabilities when finance leases were largely refinanced with the proceeds from non-convertible 5-year Rouble-denominated bonds issued in July 2010. The decrease was also due to a decrease of USD 4,374 thousand, or 92.6 per cent., in other interest—related parties, due to the settlement in early 2010 of the deferred consideration payable for the acquisition of the Estonian Subsidiaries from TIHL. These were partially offset by an increase of USD 3,906 thousand in interest expense: non-convertible bond, due to the interest arising on those Rouble-denominated bonds in 2010 after their issue, and an increase of USD 4,726 thousand, or 23.4 per cent., in interest expense: bank borrowings due to an increase in bank borrowings.

Net foreign exchange transaction losses on financing activities decreased by USD 13,654 thousand, or 64.7 per cent., to USD 7,450 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 from USD 21,104 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009, mainly due to a decrease in the Group's total US dollar-denominated financial liabilities.

Loss on exchange of financial liabilities of USD 8,498 thousand arose in the year ended 31 December 2009, which related to the financial effect of the restructuring of the BTS holding structure in December 2009, whereby the Group exchanged a financial liability to the non-controlling participants in BTS related to their redemption right, for an obligation to pay a minimum dividend distribution to non-controlling equity participants. See Note 33 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2010.

Distribution to non-controlling participants in redeemable shares of USD 7,451 thousand in the year ended 31 December 2009 related to the dividend paid to the non-controlling participant of BTS prior to the acquisition of BTS by the Group.

Share of profit of associates

The share of profit of associates decreased by USD 255 thousand, or 55.3 per cent., to USD 206 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 from USD 461 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 reflecting the Group's share of the profit of Daugavpils Lokomtivju Remonta Rupnica, an associate of AS Spacecom.

Profit before income tax

Profit before income tax increased by USD 132,819 thousand, or 88.0 per cent., to USD 283,673 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 from USD 150,854 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 due to the factors discussed above.

Income tax expense

Income tax expense increased by USD 28,052 thousand, or 94.5 per cent., to USD 57,733 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 from USD 29,681 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009. This relates to an increase in profit before income tax and in the weighted average applicable tax rate to 17.8 per cent. for the year ended 31 December 2010 from 17.0 per cent. for the year ended 31 December 2009. The change in the weighted average tax rate was primarily due to an increase in the profitability of the Group's Russian subsidiaries (which are taxed at a corporate tax rate of 20 per cent.) compared to the Estonian subsidiaries (which have a zero applicable tax rate).

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

General

The business of freight rail transportation is capital intensive. In the periods under review, the Group's liquidity needs arose primarily from the need to incur substantial expenditures for, among other things, expansion and maintenance of its Owned Fleet, non-organic growth through acquisitions and general working capital requirements. In the period under review, the Group has been able to meet its liquidity and capital expenditure needs from operating cash flow, supplemented by funds provided by shareholders, borrowed from financial institutions and funds raised from public debt instruments.

Management controls current liquidity based on expected cash flows. From a long-term perspective the liquidity risk is determined by forecasting future cash flows at the moment of signing new credit, loan or lease agreements or the decision to issue public debt instruments, and by budgeting procedures. As at 30 June 2012, the Group had positive Net Working Capital of USD 192,856 thousand. Net Working Capital is calculated as the sum of the current portions of trade receivables—net, inventories, current income tax assets, prepayments—third parties, prepayments—related parties, other receivables net, and VAT recoverable, less the sum of the current portions of

trade payables—third parties, trade payables—related parties, advances from third parties for transportation services, advances from related parties for transportation services, accrued expenses, other payables—related parties, and other payables—third parties.

Utilising its anticipated operating cash flow and its borrowings, the Group believes that it has sufficient working capital to enable it to meet its liabilities as they fall due and to continue to operate for the foreseeable future.

Capital expenditures

In the period under review, the Group's capital expenditures have principally been made to fund the acquisition of rolling stock. The Group's capital expenditure for the acquisition of rolling stock, including rolling stock leased under finance leases, for the six months ended 30 June 2012 was USD 692,194 thousand and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009 were USD 131,598 thousand, USD 286,199 thousand and USD 103,904 thousand, respectively. As at 30 June 2012, the Group had capital commitments of USD 48,949 thousand relating to the acquisition of railcars in 2012.

Cash flows

The following table sets forth the principal components of the Group's consolidated cash flow statement for the six months ended 30 June 2012 and 2011 and the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009.

	<u>Six months ended 30 June</u>				
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(unaudited)				
	(USD in thousands)				
Net cash from operating activities.....	176,320	236,164	441,758	288,949	272,198
Net cash used in investing activities.....	(1,182,468)	(42,978)	(126,173)	(292,967)	(167,459)
Net cash from/(used in) financing activities	974,720	(233,019)	(328,682)	(17,625)	(80,745)
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents.....	(31,428)	(39,833)	(13,097)	(21,643)	23,994
Exchange gains/(losses) on cash and cash equivalents.....	1,333	(722)	(4,141)	(492)	(1,815)
Cash and cash equivalents and bank overdrafts at end of period.....	<u>89,625</u>	<u>96,403</u>	<u>119,720</u>	<u>136,958</u>	<u>159,093</u>

Net cash from operating activities

Net cash generated from operating activities decreased by USD 59,844 thousand, or 25.3 per cent., from USD 236,164 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 176,320 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2012. This was the result of the following factors: (i) cash flows from operating activities increased 29.0 per cent. to USD 329,937 thousand, supported by the strong performance of the underlying business; (ii) negative changes in working capital of USD 102,214 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2012, compared to a positive change of USD 6,174 thousand in the same period of the previous year primarily due to an increase in trade and other receivables reflecting VAT which was reimbursable on the acquisition of rolling stock during the period; (iii) increases in tax paid in the six months ended 30 June 2012 to USD 51,403 thousand, compared to USD 25,841 thousand in the same period of the previous year, driven primarily by the fact that in the six months ended 30 June 2011 a substantial portion of income tax payable was offset by the amounts of VAT receivable, which resulted in the smaller amount of income tax paid in cash by the Group.

Net cash generated from operating activities increased by USD 152,809 thousand, or 52.9 per cent., from USD 288,949 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 441,758 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in cash flows from operating activities excluding changes in working capital of USD 114,490 thousand, or 29.1 per cent., from growth in the size of the Group's business, as well as a decrease in cash outflow from changes in working capital of USD 67,454 thousand, or 95.9 per cent. due in part to a decrease in trade and other receivables for VAT recoverable. This was offset in part by an increase in tax paid of USD 29,135 thousand, or 84.9 per cent., primarily due to the higher income tax charge arising from higher taxable profits.

Net cash generated from operating activities increased by USD 16,751 thousand, or 6.2 per cent., from USD 272,198 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 288,949 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010. This increase was primarily due to an increase in cash flows from operating activities excluding changes in working capital of USD 109,513 thousand, or 38.5 per cent., which was offset by an increase in trade and other receivables driven by increased revenues and prepayments as well as a change of payment terms of some transportation agreements, and an increase in VAT recoverable related to VAT reimbursable on the acquisition of

new rolling stock. In addition, tax paid increased by USD 16,303 thousand, or 90.6 per cent., primarily due to the higher income tax charge arising from higher taxable profits.

Net cash used in investing activities

Net cash used in investing activities increased by USD 1,139,490 thousand, or 2,651.3 per cent., from USD 42,978 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2011 to USD 1,182,468 thousand for the six months ended 30 June 2012, primarily as a result of a significant increase in the Group's investing activities: (i) USD 597,252 thousand year on year increase in the purchases of property, plant and equipment; and (ii) acquisition of subsidiary, net of cash acquired to the amount of 539,417 thousand related to the acquisition of MIT.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by USD 166,794 thousand, or 56.9 per cent., from USD 292,967 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 126,173 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This decrease was primarily due to a significant reduction in the purchase of property, plant and equipment, which decreased by USD 125,881 thousand, or 42.0 per cent., as the Group decided to temporarily scale-back its investment programme because of inflated market prices for new railcars. The decrease was also due to a significant increase in receipts from finance lease receivable, which increased by USD 31,453 thousand, or 703.3 per cent., due to the early termination of a finance lease where the Group was a lessor and for which it received a lump sum payment.

Net cash used in investing activities increased by USD 125,508 thousand, or 74.9 per cent., from USD 167,459 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 292,967 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010. This increase was primarily due to an increase of USD 193,167 thousand, or 181.1 per cent. in purchases of property, plant and equipment, arising from the Group's acquisition of rolling stock under its railcar investment programme. This was partially offset by a decrease of USD 64,654 thousand, or 90.2 per cent., in cash outflows from acquisition of subsidiaries-net of cash acquired, arising from deferred payments for the acquisition of AS Spacecom (*Spacecom*) and AS Spacecom Trans (*Spacecom Trans*), which was acquired in December 2008 and settled in full in April 2010.

Net cash from/(used in) financing activities

Net cash from financing activities for the six months ended 30 June 2012 amounted to USD 974,720 thousand compared to net cash used in financing activities of USD 233,019 thousand in the six months ended 30 June 2011. This change was primarily due to net cash inflows from borrowings and finance leases⁹ of USD 1,178,834 thousand reflecting significantly increased investing activities; interest paid of USD 38,610 thousand, an increase of USD 17,537 thousand year on year, reflecting an increase in borrowings; purchase of treasury shares to the amount of USD 43,173 thousand (these treasury shares have been sold by the Company as part of the follow-on offering in July 2012); payment of USD 23,452 thousand of dividends to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries; and payment of USD 98,879 thousand in dividends to shareholders of the Company, compared to USD 58,510 thousand paid in the same period of the previous year.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended 31 December 2011 increased by USD 311,057 thousand, from USD 17,625 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to USD 328,682 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to a decrease in proceeds from borrowings of USD 325,123 thousand, or 74.4 per cent., a decrease in repayments of borrowings of USD 67,580 thousand, or 27.4 per cent., and a decrease in finance lease principal payments of USD 60,588 thousand, or 50.8 per cent., as the Group deleveraged its business and an increase in dividends paid to Company's shareholders of USD 34,510 thousand, or 143.8 per cent., and an increase in dividends paid to non-controlling interests of USD 4,921 thousand, or 23.3 per cent. as well as by the non-recurring amount of USD 81,700 thousand in year ended 31 December 2011 for the acquisition of additional interests in BTS and Spacecom. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in interest paid of USD 7,057 thousand, or 16.2 per cent., as a result of the lower level of Group debt.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended 31 December 2010 decreased by USD 63,120 thousand, from USD 80,745 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2009 to USD 17,625 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010. This decrease was primarily due to a net cash inflow from borrowings and finance lease principal repayments of USD 71,080 thousand in the year ended 31 December 2010 compared to a net cash outflow of USD 73,540 thousand in the year ended 31 December 2009, a decrease in interest paid of USD 6,106 thousand, or 12.3 per cent., as a result of the lower level of Group debt, and the non-recurrence in 2010 of cash outflows USD 67,069 thousand for dividends paid to shareholders of BTS in 2009 prior to common control

⁹ Net Cash inflows (outflows) from borrowings and financial leases defined as a balance between the following line items: "Proceeds from borrowings", "Repayments of borrowings", "Finance lease principal payments" and "Proceeds from sale and lease back transactions".

transaction and USD 7,451 thousand for distribution to non-controlling participants in redeemable shares. This was partially offset by payments in the year ended 31 December 2010 of dividends to shareholders and non-controlling shareholders of USD 24,000 thousand and USD 21,157 thousand, respectively, and the non-recurrence in 2010 of cash inflows of USD 20,788 thousand from proceeds from sale and finance leaseback transactions and USD 96,209 thousand from proceeds from issue of shares—net.

Capital resources

The Group's financial indebtedness consists of bank overdrafts, bank borrowings, non-convertible unsecured bond issues and finance lease liabilities in an aggregate principal amount of USD 1,489,590 thousand (including accrued interest of USD 12,606 thousand) as at 30 June 2012, representing an increase of USD 1,110,478 thousand or 292.9 per cent., compared to 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to new borrowings to finance, in part, the purchase of rolling stock and the acquisition of MIT. The Group had financial indebtedness in an aggregate principal amount of USD 379,112 thousand as at 31 December 2011, USD 519,039 thousand as at 31 December 2010 and USD 449,131 thousand as at 31 December 2009.

As at 30 June 2012, the majority of the Group's bank borrowings have been secured by pledges of rolling stock and assignments of certain contractual rights to transportation services. The Group's indebtedness also includes unsecured borrowings from banks, non-convertible unsecured bond issues and unsecured commitments from banks.

In connection with the MIT Acquisition, in April 2012, the Group entered into and drew down in full a three-year floating rate USD 400 million secured credit facility with Sberbank. See also "*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Acquisition facility*". In addition, in the period between 1 April 2012 and 5 July 2012, in connection with the acquisition of new railcars the Group has borrowed a total of USD 502,557 thousand from financial institutions under existing and new facilities.

The Group's bank borrowings include a loan from International Finance Corporation (*IFC*), a subsidiary of the World Bank, amounting to USD 22,252 thousand as at 30 June 2012 (excluding accrued interest). In connection with the IFC loan, there is a share retention agreement in place whereby TIHL is obliged to retain at least 26 per cent. of the share capital of the Company free and clear of any lien, and the Company is obliged to maintain 100 per cent. of the share capital of Sevtekhnotrans free and clear of any lien.

The Group's ratio of net debt to Adjusted EBITDA for the last twelve months amounted to 2.4x at 30 June 2012. The Group's ratio of net debt to Adjusted EBITDA amounted to 0.5x, 1.0x and 1.0x at 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net debt is defined as the sum of current and non-current borrowings less cash and cash equivalents. As at 30 June 2012, 56 per cent. of the Group's borrowings were fixed rate, and the remaining 44 per cent. were floating rate.

The Group's borrowings consist of bank overdrafts, bank borrowings, loans from third parties and finance lease liabilities in an aggregate amount of USD 1,489,590 thousand (including accrued interest of USD 12,606 thousand) as at 30 June 2012.

The following table sets forth the maturity profile and other characteristics of the Group's borrowings (including accrued interest of USD 12,606 thousand) as at 30 June 2012.

	As at 30 June 2012
	(unaudited) (USD in thousands)
3rd quarter 2012.....	63,659
4th quarter 2012.....	77,955
2013	334,926
2014	400,416
2015 to 2017.....	612,637
Total	<u>1,489,590</u>

As at 30 June 2012, the weighted average interest rate for bank borrowings was 8.1 per cent., non-convertible bonds was 9.9 per cent. and finance lease liabilities was 3.5 per cent.

As at 30 June 2012 the carrying amounts (including accrued interest of USD 12,606 thousand) of the Group's borrowings were denominated in the following currencies:

	As at 30 June 2012
	(unaudited) (USD in thousands)
US Dollar.....	550,835
Rouble.....	936,625
Euro.....	2,130
Total	1,489,590

Note: This table does not include the indebtedness incurred since 30 June 2012 in connection with the MIT Acquisition and the acquisition of additional rolling stock. See “—Recent Developments” and “Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information”.

As at 30 June 2012, the Group had the following undrawn borrowing facilities:

	As at 30 June 2012
	(unaudited) (USD in thousands)
Floating rate:	
Expiring within 1 year.....	119,062
Expiring beyond 1 year.....	-
Fixed rate:	
Expiring within 1 year.....	3,961
Total	123,023

Contractual commitments and contingent liabilities

Contractual commitments

The following table summarises the Group's operating lease obligations required under non-cancellable operating leases.

	As at 31 December 2011
	(USD in thousands)
Future aggregate minimum operating lease payments	
Not later than 1 year.....	49,523
Later than 1 year and not later than 5 years.....	12,062
Total	61,585

As at 30 June 2012, the Group had capital expenditure contracted for but not yet incurred in the amount of USD 48,949 thousand, representing the acquisition of railcars as part of property plant and equipment.

As at 30 June 2012, no member of the Group had any material obligation as a guarantor or surety of the obligation of any person, not being a member of the Group, which are not reflected on the balance sheet.

As at 30 June 2012, the Group was not aware of any contingent tax, litigation or other liabilities, which could have a material effect on the result of operations or financial position of the Group and which have not been accrued or disclosed in the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ON MARKET AND OTHER RISKS

The Group's activities expose it to credit risks and market risks, including foreign exchange risk and cash flow and fair value interest rate risk, detailed descriptions of which are set out in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGEMENTS

The Group's management believes its significant and critical accounting estimates and judgements are those described below.

Significant accounting policies

A detailed description of certain of the main accounting policies used in preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements is set out in Note 2 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011.

Critical accounting estimates and judgements

Critical accounting estimates and judgements are those that require the application of management's most challenging, subjective or complex judgements, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. Critical accounting policies involve judgements and uncertainties that are sufficiently sensitive to result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions.

A detailed description of certain of the critical accounting estimates and judgements used in preparing the Consolidated Financial Statements is set forth therein. The Group believes its most critical accounting estimates and judgements are those described below.

Revenue recognition

Operator's services are rendered using owned or leased-in rolling stock. The Group's customers do not interact with Russian Railways. A full service is charged by the Group to its customers and the Russian Railways tariff is borne by the Group. There are certain characteristics indicating that the Group is acting as an agent, particularly the fact that Russian Railways tariffs are available to the public, therefore are known to the customer, and the risk of delivery is borne by Russian Railways. However, the Group bears the credit risk and controls the flow of receipts and payments. The services are rendered with the use of own or leased rolling stock and the Group bears the Russian Railways tariff to bring the rolling stock back or to the next destination. The Group is independent in its pricing policy and considers its potential loss for any Empty Run tariff. The Group believes that the Group acts as a principal in these arrangements and the Group accounts receipts from customers as sales revenue and the Russian Railways tariff is included in cost of sales. Had the Russian Railways tariff directly attributable to such services been excluded from revenues and cost of sales both would have decreased by USD 556,030 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 (USD 479,671 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010).

The above include contracts with several customers where the Group amended the terms in 2011. Under the legal form of these contracts the Group now acts as an agent in respect of Russian Railway tariff and recharges it to its customers. Management believes that despite the change of legal form of the contracts the substance of the relationship with the customers remained unchanged and the Group continues to act as a principal, because the Group's customers do not interact with Russian Railways, the Group bears the credit risk and controls the flow of receipts and payments, the services are rendered with the use of own or leased rolling stock and the Group bears the Russian Railways tariff to bring the rolling stock back or to the next destination. The Group accounts for full amounts of receipts from customers as revenue and the Russian Railways tariff is included in cost of sales. Had the Russian Railways loaded trip tariff directly attributable to such contracts been excluded from revenues and cost of sales, both would have decreased by USD 523,442 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011.

Tax legislation

Russian tax, currency and customs legislation is subject to varying interpretations. Refer to Note 28 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011.

RUSSIAN RAIL TRANSPORTATION MARKET

OVERVIEW

The Russian Federation is the largest country in the world by territory and is characterised by significant distances both between population centres and between suppliers of raw materials and their intermediate or end customers. The railway system is the key mode of transportation in Russia with an operational length of over 85 thousand kilometres as at 31 December 2011, making it the third largest rail network in the world in terms of track length, according to Russian Railways. In addition, Russia's Freight Rail Turnover is the third largest, after China and the United States, according to Russian Railways. Due to strong industrial production underpinned by continued economic growth, in 2011, the Russian Transportation Volume was more than 1,241 million tonnes, and Freight Rail Turnover reached 2,127 billion tonnes-km, according to Rosstat. The overall increase in these measures in 2011 compared with 2010 is indicative of improved economic conditions in Russia as the recovery from the recent global economic downturn continued. This surpassed the results of the past few years, outperforming the relatively strong years of 2007 and 2008. Nevertheless, in absolute figures, Russian Freight Rail Turnover is still below the peak of 2,523 billion tonnes-km recorded in the Soviet era (1990).

Rail plays an integral part in the freight transportation market in Russia, comprising approximately 43.3 per cent. of the country's overall freight turnover in 2011, and 85.3 per cent. if pipeline traffic is excluded, according to Rosstat. The high share of rail in the Russian freight transportation market is driven by the country's geography, the economic importance of commodity production and heavy industry in Russia as well as by the limitations of other transportation networks. The Russian road systems are insufficient in terms of coverage and capacity, and waterways freeze in winter and only connect a limited number of demand centres. The use of road transportation and air transport are not economically efficient for long-distance transportation of heavy and bulky cargoes like coal, metals, ores and oil products, which account for a large proportion of Russian freight turnover, and although the majority of crude oil is transported by pipeline, the majority of oil products are transported by rail.

The table below sets forth a breakdown of freight turnover in Russia by the different means of transport in 2011.

	Freight turnover (2011)	
	(unaudited)	
	(tonnes- km in billions)	(per cent.)
Railway	2,126.6	43.3%
Road	222.8	4.5%
Marine	77.5	1.6%
Inland Waterway	61.0	1.2%
Air	4.9	0.1%
Pipeline	2,421.9	49.3%
Total	4,914.7	100%

Source: Rosstat

KEY DEMAND FACTORS

Macroeconomic overview

The Russian economy is largely commodity driven. Payments from fuel and the energy sector in the form of customs duties and taxes accounted for nearly half of the federal budget's revenues. The rebound of Russia's economy since the economic downturn in 2008-2009 has been primarily driven by a recovery in its key commodities industries but was also supported by a recovery in domestic demand and manufacturing production. Demand trends for major cargoes have all improved on a yearly basis since 2009, which in turn, has contributed to strong growth in GDP of 4.3 per cent. in 2010 and 4.3 per cent. in 2011, reaching USD 1,857 billion and exceeding the pre-crisis levels, according to Rosstat.

Russia has historically witnessed high levels of correlation between growth in GDP, industrial production and in Freight Rail Turnover. According to the EIU, there is likely to be continued economic growth in Russia in the medium-term with 3.7 per cent. growth in 2012. The growth in the economy has provided the Russian government with budget surpluses, which have enabled increased public investment in infrastructure and accumulation of foreign exchange reserves. Improvement in industrial production growth in the period since the economic downturn in 2008-2009 has driven increases in GDP as the macroeconomic conditions have improved.

Russian macroeconomic indicators evolution

	Years ended 31 December				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	(unaudited)				
GDP, USD billion at current prices.....	1,301	1,659	1,222	1,487	1,857
Real GDP growth, %.....	8.5%	5.2%	(7.8%)	4.3%	4.3%
Industrial production growth, %	6.8%	0.6%	(9.3%)	8.2%	4.7%

Source: Rosstat, GDP figures converted to USD at yearly average foreign exchange rates. See "Exchange Rate Information".

Freight rail transportation market

Growth in demand for freight rail services in the Russian Federation in recent years has been driven, in particular, by strong demand for commodities which are major cargoes for Russian freight rail operators. Russian Freight Rail Turnover has increased steadily from 2000 to 2011 with a CAGR of 4.1 per cent. Following the economic downturn in 2008-2009, there was a fall in Russian Freight Rail Turnover, but the Russian economy has now recovered and has returned to levels before the crisis. In 2012, the demand for freight rail transportation continued to increase, with Russian Freight Rail Turnover increasing by 5.4 per cent. in the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year.

Overall Russian freight rail turnover

	Years ended 31 December						Six months ended 30 June	
	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2011	2012
	(unaudited)							
	(tonnes-kilometres in billions)							
Russian Freight Rail Turnover.....	1,373	2,090	2,116	1,865	2,011	2,127	1,047	1,103

Source: Rosstat and Russian Railways

Freight rail volumes in Russia have historically been, and continue to be, largely comprised of commodities such as coal, oil products and oil, metals and ores, and construction materials. In the future, levels of demand for such commodities are expected to continue to play a critical role in determining overall demand for freight rail.

Breakdown of Russian Transportation Volume, by cargo

	Year ended 31 December 2011
	(unaudited)
Coal (thermal and coking).....	25%
Oil products and oil	20%
Metallurgical cargoes (ferrous metals, scrap metals and ores)	18%
Construction materials (including cement)	16%
Other.....	21%

Source: Rosstat and Russian Railways

Metallurgical cargoes (ferrous metals, scrap metal and ores)

In 2011, according to Rosstat and Russian Railways, metallurgical cargoes (ferrous metals, scrap metals and ores) accounted for 18 per cent. of the overall Russian Transportation Volumes and accounted for 49.0 per cent. of Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover and 40.3 per cent. of Pro Forma Transportation Volume in 2011, making it the Group's largest cargo segment.

The table below sets out the Russian Transportation Volumes by rail for ferrous metals, scrap metal and ores for selected periods from 2000 through to June 2012.

	Years ended 31 December						Six months ended 30 June	
	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2011	2012
	(unaudited)							
	(tonnes in millions)							
Ferrous metals, scrap metals, ores.....	194.7	246.1	230.6	200.1	221.0	228.4	113.5	111.3

Source: Rosstat and Russian Railways

Overall, Russian Transportation Volumes of metallurgical cargoes increased by 3.4 per cent. in 2011 compared to the previous year, supported by a robust domestic demand, primarily from the construction, engineering and energy sectors, and slightly declined by nearly 2 per cent. in the first half of 2012, compared with the same period in the previous year.

Oil products and oil

Oil products and oil accounted for 20 per cent. of the Russian Transportation Volumes in 2011. This is the second largest cargo segment for the Group, accounting for 21.3 per cent. of Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover in 2011 and 28.6 per cent. of Pro Forma Transportation Volume in 2011.

Russian crude oil production has been moderately increasing in recent years. Refining at Russian refineries has increased in recent years, from 237 million tonnes in 2008 to 258 million tonnes in 2011 (a CAGR of 2.9 per cent.), according to Rosstat and Russian Railways, supported by increases in domestic demand for light products.

The majority of Russian crude oil and condensate is transported directly from the field to Russian refineries and export destinations by pipeline which, for the majority of crudes, is the most economically effective means of transport. Rail or multi-modal transport (including rail) accounts for substantially all of the remaining volumes. The majority of Russian refined products are transported by rail.

The table below sets out the rail Transportation Volumes for oil products and oil in Russia for selected periods from 2000 through to June 2012.

	Years ended 31 December						Six months ended 30 June	
	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2011	2012
	(unaudited)							
	(tonnes in millions)							
Oil products and oil.....	150.0	232.7	232.1	228.0	252.7	250.0	125.7	128.7

Source: Rosstat and Russian Railways

The market for rail transportation of oil products and oil is the most stable within the rail cargo segments, as shown by the segment's resilient performance during the economic downturn in 2008-2009 when rail transportation volumes of oil products and oil in 2009 declined by only 1.8 per cent. compared to the levels of 2008. In 2011, the Russian Transportation Volumes of oil products and oil remained relatively flat year on year, declining by 1.1 per cent. compared to the previous year. In the first half of 2012, Russian oil products and oil rail Transportation Volumes grew by 2.4 per cent. compared to the same period in the previous year, driven by increases in crude and oil products output in Russia.

Coal (thermal and coking)

Coal (thermal and coking) is the largest segment of Russia's freight rail transportation industry, amounting to 25 per cent. of overall Russian Transportation Volumes in 2011. Coal is the third largest cargo segment for the Group, accounting for 20.6 per cent. of Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover in 2011 and 17.1 per cent. of Pro Forma Transportation Volume in 2011.

The factors driving coal production volumes in Russia vary considerably between coking coal and thermal coal. Production of coking coal, used in metallurgical production, is largely driven by the same key factors as the metallurgical industry. Thermal coal production in Russia has been driven by the growing demand for electricity from industrial consumers as well as by growing prices and demand for thermal coal in the international markets.

The table below sets out the Russian Transportation Volumes for coal for selected years from 2000 through to June 2012.

	Years ended 31 December						Six months ended 30 June	
	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2011	2012
	(unaudited) (tonnes in millions)							
Coal (thermal and coking).....	253.4	298.6	308.8	285.9	298.9	309.1	152.4	157.4

Source: Rosstat and Russian Railways

The trend in Russian Transportation Volumes of coal has improved since May of 2009, driven by increased demand for thermal coal as electricity demand has recovered. Overall, Russian Transportation Volumes of coal increased by 3.4 per cent. in 2011 compared to the previous year, and 3.3 per cent. in the first half of 2012, compared to the same period in the previous year, which in each case, was driven by a robust demand from both domestic and international markets.

Construction materials (including cement)

Construction materials (including cement) accounted for 16 per cent. of the overall Russian Transportation Volumes in 2011. This is the fourth largest cargo segment for the Group, accounting for 4.6 per cent. of Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover in 2011 and 7.4 per cent. of Pro Forma Transportation Volume in 2011.

Production of construction materials (including cement) in Russia has historically been driven principally by demand in the domestic construction and infrastructure sectors.

The table below sets out the Russian Transportation Volumes for construction materials (including cement) for selected years from 2000 through to June 2012.

	Years ended 31 December						Six months ended 30 June	
	2000	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2011	2012
	(unaudited) (tonnes in millions)							
Construction materials (including cement).....	230.3	299.9	233.1	157.4	175.9	192.2	88.8	103.6

Source: Rosstat and Russian Railways

Construction materials was the last sector to recover from the decline caused by the economic downturn in 2008-2009. In 2011, Russian Transportation Volumes of construction materials increased 9.3 per cent. compared to the previous year, and in the first half of 2012, increased by 16.7 per cent. compared to the same period in the previous year. The recovery in rail transportation of construction materials reflected an increase in construction activity, which rose in 2011 by 5.1 per cent. year on year, according to Rosstat.

KEY SUPPLY FACTORS

Reform of Russian rail transportation market

A significant factor in both the recent growth and the future prospects of the Russian freight rail industry is the ongoing programme of structural reform of rail transportation in Russia (the *Reform*). The Reform was initiated by the Russian government in 2001 with the goal, among others, of satisfying the growing demand of the Russian economy for transportation services by increasing the efficiency and stability of the existing rail infrastructure. In particular, the Reform was designed to attract investment, including private investment, to the sector, which is burdened with an ageing fleet of railcars and locomotives and an infrastructure that requires substantial investment.

In recent years, Russian Railways has taken significant steps to first separate its business activities into subsidiaries and then divest significant (and in some cases controlling) stakes in a range of its operations, including its freight transportation operations, in an effort to liberalise the market and promote competition. In particular, in 2007, Russian Railways established Freight One, a wholly-owned operating subsidiary, to operate a part of its railcar fleet on a competitive basis. In 2010, Russian Railways also created another freight rail transportation subsidiary, Freight Two, to hold its remaining commercial railcar fleet. In December 2011, Russian Railways disposed of a 75 per cent. minus two shares stake in Freight One to UCL Rail, which represented a significant step towards the development of a competitive freight rail transportation market in Russia. As a result,

approximately 75 per cent. of the Russian railcar fleet is now estimated to be held by private companies and operates on the basis of market pricing. In addition, tariffs of railcars operated by Freight Two are also not regulated. According to press reports, Russian Railways may also sell its remaining stake in Freight One. Russian Railways has also sold minority interests in its container freight operator, TransContainer, and some other subsidiaries.

While Russian Railways retains a monopoly in the provision of rail infrastructure and is by far the major player in the provision of locomotive traction services, the regulatory framework provides third-party operators with a legal right to access such infrastructure on a non-discriminatory basis alongside Russian Railways and its subsidiaries. The private freight rail sector in Russia has benefited from the Reform and has experienced rapid growth in the number of private operators and in their fleets of railcars. Private operators are able to set their own prices for railcar services while paying Russian Railways regulated tariffs for infrastructure and locomotive traction services to forward loaded and empty railcars (in cases of loaded trips such tariffs are in principle a “pass-through” for an operator as they are either paid by an operator on behalf of the customer or paid by the customer directly to Russian Railways).

Russian Railways’ locomotive fleet is ageing and will require significant replacement investment in coming years. The Russian government and Russian Railways will likely need private capital to fund a portion of such investments similar to the significant private investments in railcars made over the course of the Reform. Some private freight rail operators (including the Group) already use some owned locomotives for freight rail transportation. As at 30 June 2012, the Group’s Total Fleet included 57 locomotives.

In 2011, the Russian government took an important step towards introducing competition to the locomotive traction segment by establishing an infrastructure tariff for private carriers operating their own locomotives. The next steps to be taken include the development of access regulations, as well as the development of a technical and operational framework. Full liberalisation of locomotive traction, as envisioned by the Reform, remains to be implemented, and the timing of such liberalisation is being discussed within Russian Railways and the Russian government.

In recent years, as a part of the ongoing Reform and industry liberalisation, Russian Railways divested a sizeable number of railcar repair depots to private investors. This has led to increased competition between private companies and Russian Railways in certain segments of railcar repair services. The Group currently operates one railcar depot and one locomotive depot.

For further information on the regulatory environment, see “*Regulation of Railway Transportation in Russia*”.

Key market players

While a significant number of railcars in Russia are owned by private companies, the industry remains relatively fragmented, with the largest 10 Russian private freight rail operators accounting for approximately 48 per cent. of the whole private fleet by the end of 2010, according to Russian Railways. Further strengthening of competition is expected to lead to further consolidation.

Large operators of railcars have competitive advantages that allow them to attract some of the largest Russian rail transportation customers. In 2002-2005, these dominant rail customers relied on Russian Railways as a main fleet provider while opting for investment in their own “captive” fleet to ensure sufficient rail transportation capacity. This preference is gradually shifting from Russian Railways to large operators providing core fleet and logistics services. A larger fleet provides the flexibility to supply rolling stock on short notice and allows the operator to provide a “one-stop-shop” service to key customers, particularly where they have production facilities, suppliers and cargo destinations in multiple locations. Large operators can also achieve efficiency gains through optimising their logistics (for example, by reducing Empty Run Costs), thus increasing profitability.

While Russian Railways together with its subsidiaries remains an important player with the country’s second largest fleet of rolling stock and a monopolistic role as the sole railway infrastructure operator, the market share of privately owned operators competing with Russian Railways on service and pricing has grown considerably since the commencement of the Reform. According to Russian Railways, the share of privately owned rolling stock in the Russian freight rail market increased from 31 per cent. as at the end of 2005 to 75 per cent. as at the end of 2011, including Freight One, which ceased to be controlled by Russian Railways and was acquired by UCL Rail in December 2011. Further growth of the largest market participants, along with increased competition, is expected to lead to further consolidation, and more of the smaller players are likely to leave the market as larger operators continue to expand.

In addition to the Group, key market participants include UCL Rail (which includes Freight One), Freight Two and other operators, including a number of “captive” freight rail operations related to large Russian industrial groups, as described further below.

As described above, UCL Rail acquired a controlling stake in Freight One in December 2011, and as at 31 December 2011, had a combined fleet of over 218 thousand of freight railcars, according to UCL Rail. At the time of its acquisition, Freight One was the largest freight rail operator in Russia with around 190 thousand units of owned rolling stock as at 31 December 2011. Its fleet is diversified with a primary focus on gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars. In 2011, Freight One transported 284 million tonnes of various cargos (coal, oil products and oil, metallurgical and other cargos), which constituted a 22.9 per cent. share of the Russian Transportation Volume. According to Freight One’s annual report, the average age of its fleet was 19.2 years as at 31 December 2010. Prior to the Freight One acquisition, UCL Rail owned over 28 thousand railcars, mostly gondola (open top) cars, of which approximately 20 thousand were purchased from Russian Railways in the second half of 2010. These railcars were sold by Russian Railways with expired useful lives but most were subsequently overhauled.

Freight Two was established in 2010 to hold the remainder of the Russian Railways commercial freight railcar fleet. Freight Two is the second largest holder of rolling stock in Russia with over 175,000 freight railcars in ownership as at 31 December 2011. Gondola (open top) cars account for over 75 per cent. of the fleet. Although Freight Two is the second largest owner of rolling stock, its fleet under management is significantly lower as Freight Two leases a substantial portion of its gondola (open top) cars to Russian Railways. According to Freight Two, as at 31 December 2011, its fleet under management was approximately 41 thousand railcars.

Other large independent operators of gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars include the Group, Neftetransservice and Transoil.

Captives serve the transportation needs of large industrial producers by securing part of their rail transportation demand for gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars, or by providing specialised rolling stock. Metals and mining companies, such as SDS-Ugol, Evraz, Sibuglemt and SUEK, MMK, Mechel and others own sizeable captives in terms of their gondola (open top) car fleet. Major oil companies, such as GazpromNeft, Lukoil, Rosneft and others, also have subsidiaries focused on rail transportation. Some captives have significant railcar fleets which they manage themselves, while others outsource the management of the fleet or operate primarily as a logistics service provider, using Engaged Fleet.

Fleet overview

The fleet of rolling stock in Russia consists predominantly of general purpose rolling stock such as gondola (open top) cars, and specialised rolling stock, such as rail tank cars, as set forth in the table below.

Overall Russian railcar fleet by type of railcars

	As at 31 December 2011	
	(unaudited)	
	(thousands)	(per cent. of total)
Gondola (open top) cars	473.6	43.3%
Rail tank cars	266.2	24.4%
Box cars.....	71.5	6.6%
Flat cars	56.4	5.2%
Other	224.1	20.5%
Total	1,091.8	100.0%

Source: Russian Railways

The most common type of railcar in Russia is the gondola (open top) car. Gondola (open top) cars can be used to carry a wide variety of cargoes, including ferrous metals, scrap metals, ores, crushed stone, coal, timber and even containers. Rail tank cars, the second most common type of railcar in Russia, are designed to transport liquid and gaseous commodities and are used mostly for the transportation of oil products and oil. Box cars are enclosed, generally have side doors and used to carry general freight. Flat cars have an open flat deck and may carry machinery, containers, timber or other loads which would not fit in other types of cars. Hopper cars are designed to carry various dry cargoes, including grain, cement and fertilisers.

The competitive landscape in the Russian freight rail transportation market has changed significantly since commencement of the Reform in 2001. Private operators have been expanding their fleets through the acquisition of new railcars, increasing their share of all Russian railcars from 31 per cent. as at the end of 2005, to approximately 75 per cent. as at the end of 2011, according to Russian Railways. The share of private operators in 2011 includes Freight One, which ceased to be controlled by Russian Railways in December 2011, as described above.

Overall Russian railcar fleet by type of ownership

	Years ended 31 December						
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
	(unaudited)						
Russian Railways and its subsidiaries	69%	68%	64%	61%	58%	51%	25%
Private operators	31%	32%	36%	39%	42%	49%	75%

Source: Russian Railways

Pricing in the Russian railcar sector

Pricing for railcar services in Russia is unregulated except for services provided by Russian Railways which operates some of its own railcars (predominantly non-commercial fleet) and railcars leased in from its subsidiary, Freight Two. Prior to the creation of Freight One and Freight Two and the sale of a controlling stake in Freight One by Russian Railways, the regulated railcar tariff charged by Russian Railways was an effective benchmark for the market. However, since the majority of the Russian commercial railcar fleet is no longer owned by Russian Railways, the significance of the regulated railcar tariff as a market benchmark has reduced significantly.

However, the cost of freight rail transportation to end users remains influenced by regulated tariffs charged by Russian Railways. In particular, as a regulated natural monopoly, Russian Railways' tariffs relating to the provisions of the rail infrastructure together with locomotive traction services are regulated. These tariffs are set for all market participants and is subject to regular revision by the FTS.

BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

The Group is a leading private freight rail transportation group with operations in Russia, the CIS and the Baltic countries. Based on Rosstat data and the Group's management accounts, the market share of the Group on a Pro Forma basis for the MIT Acquisition amounted to 7.0 per cent. of the overall Russian freight rail Transportation Volumes, which by freight type included 15.3 per cent. of the total volume of metallurgical cargoes (ferrous metal, scrap metals, and ores), 9.9 per cent. of the total volume of oil products and oil, and 4.8 per cent. of the total volume of coal (thermal and coking) transported in Russia in 2011.

The Group's main business is the provision of freight rail transportation services, which accounted for 94.2 per cent. of the Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue in 2011, and is complemented by a railcar leasing business, which accounted for 5.4 per cent. of the Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue in 2011. In 2011, the Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover amounted to 144.9 billion tonnes-kilometres and Pro Forma Transportation Volume amounted to 86.4 million tonnes.

The Group provides services to more than 650 customers, and its key customers include companies in, or suppliers to or off-takers of a number of large Russian industrial groups in the metals and mining sector (including Evraz, Mechel, Metalloinvest, MMK and Severstal) and the oil products and oil sector (including GazpromNeft, Lukoil, Rosneft and TNK-BP).

The Group's Total Fleet amounted to 62,710 units of rolling stock as at 30 June 2012 (including Owned Fleet of 57,553 units). The Group's fleet is primarily focused on operating a fleet of gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars. The Group also operates a limited number of locomotives for use in addition to the traction services provided by Russian Railways.

For the year ended 31 December 2011, the Group had Pro Forma Adjusted Revenue of USD 1,489 million and Pro Forma Adjusted EBITDA of USD 646 million. In the first six months of 2012 the Group had Adjusted Revenue of USD 664.7 million and Adjusted EBITDA of USD 328.6 million.

HISTORY, DEVELOPMENT AND GROUP STRUCTURE

History and development

The Group was established during the early stages of the Reform in the early 2000s. It began life as two private companies, Sevtekhnotrans and New Forwarding Company, engaged in the freight rail transportation business. Since then, it has grown to become one of the leading privately owned freight rail transportation groups in Russia. It was the first private freight rail group with operations focusing on Russia to be publicly listed.

New Forwarding Company was established as a Russian Open Joint Stock Company by entities controlled by EIL in 2003, one of the Company's principal shareholders. In the same year, it received a freight rail carrier licence and began its principal business of providing freight rail transportation services. As the owner of the "Novaya Perevozochnaya Kompaniya" (New Forwarding Company) brand, New Forwarding Company is also responsible for marketing, sales and other customer-facing business activities of the Group. It is also the main contracting entity for freight rail transportation and other services provided by the Group.

Sevtekhnotrans was established in 2000 as a Russian limited liability company by entities controlled by the current beneficial owners of TIHL and their associates. Until 2004, Sevtekhnotrans was mainly engaged in freight forwarding and rolling stock leasing services.

In May 2004, the Company was established in Cyprus by EIL and a company controlled by the beneficial owners of TIHL. In July 2004, the original founding entities of New Forwarding Company transferred their respective shares in New Forwarding Company to the Company. In June 2007, the shares of Sevtekhnotrans were also transferred to the Company.

In March 2008, following a special resolution approved by the shareholders, the Company was converted into a public limited liability company. In May 2008, the GDRs were listed on the Main Market of the London Stock Exchange with a free-float of approximately 30 per cent. The listing and the IPO consisted of both primary and secondary components and comprised an offering of GDRs by the Company, TIHL and EIL to international institutional investors outside of the United States and the Russian Federation, and to qualified institutional buyers in the United States. The IPO generated approximately USD 224.7 million in gross proceeds for the Company.

In July 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the creation of Ukrainian New Forwarding Company LLC, a wholly owned Ukrainian subsidiary. The subsidiary was registered later in 2008 to provide competitive, customer focused transportation services, primarily to large companies operating in the steel, iron ore and coking coal sectors, and to minimise Empty Runs by sourcing cargoes for gondola (open top) cars returning from delivering cargoes to Ukrainian destinations.

In December 2008, the Group acquired 61 per cent. of AS Spacecom (*Spacecom*) and 65 per cent. of Spacecom Trans (formerly Intopex Trans), two Estonian registered rail tank car leasing companies. These subsidiaries provided the Group with an increased presence in the oil products and oil transportation markets in Kazakhstan, Belarus and Russia. Subsequently, the Group increased its stake in Spacecom by 4.25 per cent. in 2011, taking its total interest to 65.25 per cent.

In December 2009, a follow-on offering of GDRs was made by the Company and TIHL to international institutional investors outside of the United States and the Russian Federation, and to qualified institutional buyers in the United States. The offering generated approximately USD 100 million in gross proceeds for the Company.


Further, in December 2009, the Group acquired an effective 50 per cent. interest in BTS, one of the leading private Russian railway transportation services operators, specialising in shipping oil products and oil for Russian oil majors and other customers. Subsequently, the Group acquired a further 10 per cent. effective economic interest in BTS in 2011, taking its total economic interest to 60 per cent.

In May 2012, the Group acquired a 100 per cent. interest in MIT, the “captive” freight rail transportation operator of Metalloinvest. See “Summary—MIT Acquisition” and “Business and Financial Information of MIT”.

In July 2012, a follow-on offering of GDRs was made by the Company and TIHL to international institutional investors outside of the United States and the Russian Federation, and to qualified institutional buyers in the United States. The offering generated approximately USD 400 million in gross proceeds for the Company.

Corporate structure

The chart below sets forth the Group’s corporate structure as at the date of this Prospectus, including the MIT Acquisition.



STRENGTHS

The Group believes that it has a number of key competitive strengths, which have enabled it to increase its revenue, profits, and market share over the period since its creation. The Group believes that these strengths have enabled the Group to demonstrate a relatively resilient performance during the economic downturn in 2008-2009

and subsequent recovery and are expected to enable the Group to continue to capitalise on its leading position in the Russian freight rail transportation and logistics market in the future. These strengths include the following:

A leading independent private freight rail groups in Russia

With Total Fleet of 62,710 units of rolling stock (including Owned Fleet of 57,553 units) as at 30 June 2012, the Group has one of the largest rolling stock fleets among private freight rail groups in Russia. The Group also has 57 locomotives as at 30 June 2012, which are included in the Total Fleet. The average age of the Owned Fleet was 6.6 years as at 30 June 2012, which is relatively young compared to the fleets of the other large market participants in Russia.

The extensive size of the Group's fleet enables it to service some of the largest Russian rail transportation customers by volume of freight and provide a "one-stop-shop" service to its key customers that have production facilities, suppliers and cargo destinations in multiple locations. The Group believes that a large fleet is important to such customers because it offers flexibility to customers by providing that, in the case of unexpected requirements, additional railcars can be made available. In addition, the Group believes that its relatively young fleet, coupled with the regular maintenance it receives, enables the Group to provide customers with a high level of reliability.

Rolling stock fleet focused on gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars

The Group's fleet includes gondola (open top) cars, which allow the Group to meet diverse customer needs and optimise fleet utilisation across various types of industrial cargo, as well as the more specialised rail tank cars. The backbone of the Group's fleet is represented by general purpose gondola (open top) cars, comprising 39,997 units in the Total Fleet (including Owned Fleet of 38,038 cars) and accounting for 64 per cent. of that fleet, as at 30 June 2012. Having a significant proportion of the fleet made up of universal gondola (open top) cars has enabled the Group to react rapidly to changes in transport requirements for different types of cargoes.

As at 30 June 2012, 35 per cent. of the Total Fleet, or 21,818 railcars, were represented by rail tank cars. Rail tank cars provide stability to the Group's business through exposure to the oil products and oil transportation sector which benefited from relative stability in volumes during the recent economic downturn.

As at 30 June 2012, Owned Fleet made up 91.8 per cent. of the Total Fleet, or 57,553 units of rolling stock. Leased-in Fleet, consisting of 5,157 units of rolling stock, made up the remaining part of the fleet. The Group uses operating leasing to enable it to react quickly to changes in demand for its services without significant capital expenditure.

Active fleet management

The Group manages the dispatching and routing of its rolling stock to make its utilisation commercially efficient on outbound as well as on return journeys. This route optimisation is made possible by the Group's sophisticated centralised logistics function, its fleet size, geographical coverage, the presence of large Russian industrial groups and their suppliers among the Group's key customers, with production facilities and origins of supply and cargo destinations in multiple regions of Russia, as well as by the Group's growing number of other customers with transport needs that are complementary to the needs of the Group's key industrial customers.

To reduce the number of Empty Runs of the Group's fleet on return journeys and increase the proportion of time for which its rolling stock is generating revenue, the Group manages its cargo destinations and routes so as to match a customer order for rail shipment of cargo to a particular destination with another cargo shipment order from that destination to the point of origin, or to another destination where yet another customer shipment would originate, and so on until the rolling stock returns to its point of origin. The matching of routes and destinations creates efficient "route loops", in which the Group's rolling stock carries cargo on most legs of the journey, thus enhancing the commercial utilisation of the Group's rolling stock fleet and driving revenue and margin.

The reduction of Empty Runs is one of the major drivers of the Group's profitability as Empty Run Costs constitute one of the key cost items of the Group. In order to assess the efficiency of the Group's route network, management monitors the Empty Run Ratio (a management accounts metric calculated as the total in kilometres travelled empty divided by kilometres travelled loaded by the rolling stock operated by the Group (excluding Leased-out Fleet) in the reporting period). Empty Runs are only applicable to rolling stock operated, and do not include rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial use, or rolling stock leased-out. At the end of each trip, after the customers' cargo has been transported to its destination, the empty railcar needs to be routed to the next destination for new loading. On such empty journeys, the tariff for usage of railway infrastructure and locomotive traction becomes a direct cost for the Group. The Group believes

that its ability to configure demanding logistics solutions via advanced destination management has made attractive opportunities available through the provision of transportation of services to major metals and mining groups.

Another important element of the Group's efficient fleet management is the use of block trains. Consisting only of the Group's rolling stock bound for the same destination, these trains, in contrast to trains consisting of rolling stock operated by various parties and/or heading to various destinations, do not require the time-consuming attachment and detachment of rolling stock at stations, thus improving delivery time and increasing railcar utilisation and overall efficiency. Block trains have enabled the Group to achieve relatively high levels of profitability even with high Empty Runs due to an increased railcar turnover, as well as the commercial terms which generally require the customer to bear a portion of the Empty Run Costs. By increasing its utilisation of block trains, the Group has increased the percentage of Empty Run kilometres the customer bears the cost for, and thereby reduced the share of Empty Run kilometres it pays for directly from 82 per cent. in 2010 to 78 per cent. in 2011 and 79 per cent. in the first six months of 2012.

Established and strong blue-chip customer base

While the Group has over 650 customers, approximately 67 per cent. of its Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock in 2011 was derived from servicing the cargo flows associated with nine key industrial groups (including cargo flows associated with their suppliers and off-takers) operating in the metals and mining and oil products and oil sectors, Evraz, GazpromNeft, Lukoil, Mechel, Metalloinvest, MMK, Rosneft, Severstal and TNK-BP. Following the MIT Acquisition and the entry into the MIT Freight Supply Contracts, Metalloinvest has become an even more important customer.

Most of the Group's key customers have production facilities, sources of supply and production delivery destinations in multiple geographic locations. Their cargo transportation patterns are characterised by high volumes and a need for regular and timely delivery of raw materials and shipments of finished production. A close working relationship with these key customers and an in-depth understanding of their production processes and transportation needs allows the Group to use its advanced route optimisation methods to provide frequent and reliable transportation services, capitalise on increased outsourcing opportunities and respond to rapidly changing customer needs. These key customers also value the Group's independence from any major industrial group or government entity, which gives it the control and flexibility necessary to respond quickly to changing customer needs and provide a "one-stop shop" service to its key customers, which few of the Group's major competitors are able to offer.

The Group also works with a wide range of other corporate customers, including small and medium-sized enterprises. The presence of these other corporate customers among the overall customer base also supports expansion into new cargo types, as well as enhancing destination management and route optimisation processes, and enables the Group to benefit from the economic development of the Russian regions.

Experienced management team

The Group's core management team has spent most of their careers in the freight transport industry and have been employed by the Group for substantial periods of time. The Group's core management team have been actively involved in the business since the introduction of a competitive transport market environment in Russia and have managed it through changing and difficult economic cycles. They have significant experience in attracting customers as well as in competitive marketing of transportation services. They have also established a track record of expanding the Group's business through both organic growth and acquisitions, including the acquisition of Spacecom and Spacecom Trans in 2008, BTS in 2009 and the MIT Acquisition in May 2012, as well as integrating acquired businesses into its business and managing them optimally.

STRATEGY

The Group's strategic objective is to strengthen its position as a leading private freight rail group in Russia by capturing identified opportunities and responding to strategic imperatives, leveraging its scalable business model to further improve operational efficiency, the balance of business, and financial performance. The Group intends to achieve this objective by pursuing a strategy involving the following key elements:

- (a) return-oriented fleet expansion and growth in business volumes;
- (b) maintaining a resilient business profile; and
- (c) maintaining operational excellence through improvements in operational efficiency.

Focusing on return oriented fleet expansion and growth in business volumes

The Group intends to expand the size of its fleet and seek return-oriented growth through opportunistic organic growth, including the acquisition of railcars from both producers and existing owners; selected strategic acquisitions and expansion into the locomotive segment following potential liberalisation of the locomotive services sector. As a listed company, the Group intends to take advantage of its ability to access institutional debt and equity capital markets to finance expansion as appropriate.

The Group intends to increase the size of its railcar fleet when management believes that conditions are favourable to do so; in particular, during periods when future demand for rail transportation by particular types of railcars is expected to be favourable and when those railcars can be acquired at reasonable prices. The Group believes that opportunities are likely to exist to create shareholder value through organic growth or acquisitions, given the expected dynamics of the Russian railway transportation market and the railcar markets, and, in addition, that scale brings certain benefits to the Group's operations in terms of higher efficiency through increased ability to optimise routes and railcar utilisation as well as reduced overhead per railcar. The Group will continue to monitor conditions in order to identify specific opportunities, using, among other metrics, analysis of expected return on capital employed.

In 2011, the Group decided to temporarily scale-back its railcar investment programme because of inflated market prices for new railcars, which meant the Group's strict investment hurdle rates could not be met. The Group began to scale-up its purchases of railcars again in the final months of 2011 when prices had declined to more acceptable levels and demand for freight rail transportation services had increased. Since that time, the Group has contracted to purchase 10,958 new railcars, 539 of which had been delivered by 31 December 2011 and a further 9,392 by 30 June 2012. The remaining railcars are expected to be delivered in the second half of 2012. The recently delivered railcars are already deployed and will be used to meet customer demand for additional transportation services and to replace leased-in railcars returned in late 2011 and in the six months ended 30 June 2012.

In addition to its focus on organic growth, the Group intends to carefully consider the advantages and disadvantages of potential acquisitions of other freight rail companies or of such companies' rolling stock assets, with particular emphasis placed on the companies' rolling stock type and age, the potential for operational synergies, the potential for a reduction in the volatility of overall Group earnings, the acquisition of additional client relationships and the ability of a potential acquisition to contribute to an increase in the Group's market share or competitive position in a particular segment. As part of this strategy, the Group completed the MIT Acquisition, the "captive" freight rail transportation operator of Metalloinvest, in May 2012. For information on MIT, see "*Business and Financial Information of MIT*".

The Group also intends to capitalise on its experience in the use of locomotives and expand further into this area of business once the relevant legal and regulatory framework is in place. As at 30 June 2012, the Group owned 54 locomotives and leased-in 3 locomotives under operating leases, which it generally uses for block trains, which allow it to provide a more competitive and integrated service to clients by offering better delivery times, increased railcar turnover speeds and greater reliability in terms of providing railcars for on-time dispatching.

Maintaining a resilient business profile

During recent years, different segments of the Russian rail transportation market have been driven by various dynamics. Whereas the oil products and oil transportation market has been very stable, the market for goods carried in gondola (open top) cars has shown strong growth but also some significant cyclicity. See "*Russian Rail Transportation Market*".

Management believes that, while the Group has historically been able to adjust the operations of the Group's gondola fleet by migrating to other cargo segments in order to mitigate much of the volume impact of change in demand for the transportation of particular cargoes, the Group's balanced railcar fleet of rail tank cars and gondola (open top) cars provides crucial diversification and, as such, a degree of protection against market downturns. In addition, management believes that this diversification improves the Group's ability to obtain debt financing on attractive terms. As at 30 June 2012, gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars amounted to 64 per cent. and 35 per cent. of the Total Fleet.

Based on these beliefs, the Group seeks to ensure its fleet composition strikes the proper commercial balance by continuing its investment in gondola (open top) cars to position itself to benefit from the growing bulk cargo market and to selectively expand its presence in the oil products and oil transportation segment.

The Group intends to continue its current policy of leasing a portion of its rolling stock to third parties, in order to benefit from the lower volatility and typically longer contract terms of the leasing business, as well as to lease in railcars as appropriate to meet specific demand opportunities without committing capital.

Following the MIT Acquisition, the Group intends to utilise MIT's expertise in the use of Engaged Fleet to expand its service offering to include freight rail transportation services to key customers beyond what the Group is able to provide using its Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet. The principal difference between Engaged Fleet and Leased-in Fleet is that the Engaged Fleet is only engaged for a particular loaded trip and not leased for a fixed period of time. For additional information on MIT, see "*Business and Financial Information of MIT*".

Maintaining operational excellence through improvements in operational efficiency

The Group is currently focused on increasing the operational efficiency of its rolling stock fleet, which the Group believes to be a major driver of its profitability. In particular, the Group intends to continue to apply and enhance its existing route optimisation techniques, to increase the use of block trains, and take measures to reduce "dwell time" and optimise the maintenance and repair of its rolling stock, with the aim of further improving efficiency and increasing profitability.

Destination management and route optimisation will remain at the forefront of the Group's measures to promote efficiency. The Group plans to achieve this by encouraging ongoing development and improvement in the use of its route optimisation software and by creating new efficient "route loops". These efforts are expected to afford the Group the opportunity to capitalise on an increase in demand for the Group's services from corporate customers, including small and medium sized enterprises, increased imports to Russia as well as recovery of the country's construction industry, to reduce the Empty Runs of gondola (open top) cars. In 2011, the Group was able to effectively manage its Empty Run Costs, which increased by 15 per cent. compared to 2010 and was below the combined increase in the Group's Freight Rail Turnover (which increased by 14 per cent. year-on-year) and Russian Railways regulated tariff for the traction of empty railcars (up 8 per cent. year-on-year), through a combination of route optimisation and the increased usage of block trains. Management also believes expansion of the Group's railcar fleet has the potential to improve the efficiency of its destination management and route optimisation, as a larger fleet operating over a complex route network offers more options for optimisation than a smaller fleet operating over a smaller network. The MIT Acquisition has further expanded and enhanced the Group's extensive route and logistics network.

The Group also intends to work with its existing customers with a view to increasing the use of block trains on high-cargo volume routes. Management believes that operation of block trains offers benefits in terms of higher utilisation of railcars and faster transportation services to customers, as well as discounts on Russian Railways' infrastructure and locomotive tariffs that benefit the customer. Block trains enable a high level of profitability even with high Empty Runs due to an increased railcar turnover, as well as customers bearing a portion of the Empty Run Costs.

The Group intends to optimise repair and maintenance of its rolling stock fleet by repairing and maintaining the Group's fleet based on mileage travelled (not on fleet age), which the Group believes could reduce the amount of repair and maintenance works required by the Group's fleet.

SERVICES

The following sections describe the Group's business excluding the effect of the MIT Acquisition unless otherwise stated. For information on MIT, see "*Business and Financial Information of MIT*".

Freight rail transportation

Operation of rolling stock, or freight rail transportation, is the Group's core service offering, which represented 93.1 per cent. of the Group's Adjusted Revenue in 2011, and the Group's Freight Rail Turnover amounted to 110.6 billion tonnes-kilometres with 69.6 million tonnes transported. The majority of the Group's operating rolling stock are gondola (open top) cars and rail tank cars, accounting for approximately 64 per cent. and 35 per cent. of the Group's Total Fleet, respectively, as at 30 June 2012. The Group's extensive transportation network covers all major industrial region in Russia, part of Ukraine and Kazakhstan, with a regional network encompassing eleven branches and several representative offices.

The Group's control centre has access to the IT systems of Russian Railways and direct communications with railway centres throughout Russia. The IT systems' management of rolling stock, modern tracking technologies and permanent data exchange all make it possible to monitor and optimise movement of cargo on a real-time basis.

As an owner and lessee of rolling stock, the Group sells freight rail transportation services to its clients on an unregulated basis. Key clients of the Group include companies from a number of large Russian blue-chip industrial groups and companies active in the oil products and oil, metals and mining, coal and other sectors of the Russian economy. Longstanding client relationships, high quality of service and an experienced management team have enabled the Group to grow at a higher rate than the growth in the overall Russian freight rail transportation market in the last three years.

The following table sets forth the Group's market share of the overall Russian freight rail Transportation Volume and the Pro Forma Transportation Volume, in each case by key cargo types for the year ended 31 December 2011.

Cargo type	Share of the Group's Transportation Volume in Russia	Share of the Pro Forma Transportation Volume in Russia
	(unaudited)	
Total Russian freight rail Transportation Volume	5.6%	7.0%
Metallurgical cargoes.....	10.4%	15.3%
Including:		
Ferrous metal.....	15.8%	19.2%
Scrap metal.....	15.3%	15.4%
Iron ore.....	6.8%	13.1%
Oil products and oil.....	9.9%	9.9%
Coal (thermal and coking).....	3.6%	4.8%

Source: based on Rosstat data

The table below sets forth the Group's Freight Rail Turnover and Transportation Volumes, and the Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover and the Pro Forma Transportation Volume, in each case by types of cargo for year ended 31 December 2011.

	For the year ended 31 December 2011			
	(unaudited)			
	Group Freight Rail Turnover	Group Transportation Volumes	Pro Forma Freight Rail Turnover	Pro Forma Transportation Volumes
	(tonnes-km in billions)	(tonnes in millions)	(tonnes-km in billions)	(tonnes in millions)
Metallurgical cargoes				
Ferrous metal.....	25.5	11.6	30.0	14.1
Scrap metal.....	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.1
Iron ore.....	21.4	9.1	38.2	17.6
Total metallurgical cargoes	49.8	23.9	71.1	34.8
Oil products and oil	30.9	24.7	30.9	24.7
Construction materials				
Construction materials—crushed stone.....	2.9	3.2	4.5	4.5
Construction materials—cement.....	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Construction materials—other.....	1.6	1.3	1.7	1.5
Total construction materials (including cement)	4.9	4.9	6.6	6.4
Coal (thermal coal and coking)	19.4	11.1	29.9	14.8
Other	5.6	5.0	6.5	5.7
Total	110.6	69.6	144.9	86.4

Operation of general-purpose gondola (open top) cars

General purpose gondola (open top) cars are used to transport a variety of cargo, including metallurgical cargoes, coal and various construction materials. By taking advantage of its large fleet of general purpose gondola (open top) cars, the Group has been able to attract a wide range of corporate customers, including small and medium-sized enterprises. This development of its customer base has allowed the Group to diversify its routes and expand into new cargo types, such as construction materials, thus providing opportunities to maximise the Group's destination management and route optimisation processes and reduce Empty Runs on return journeys.

Efficient management of gondola (open top) cars is a cornerstone of the Group's business model and drives the Group's profitability and competitive advantage. As discussed in "*—Strengths—Active fleet management*" above, the Group's management monitors the Empty Run Ratio for gondola (open top) cars in order to improve efficiency of the Group's operations and to reduce the number of Empty Runs.

Operation of rail tank cars

The Group believes that its presence in the oil products and oil rail transportation market provide certain advantages, including stable demand and high revenue generation. See "*Russian Rail Transportation Market*". The Group has a stable market position in the transportation of oil products and oil due to its sizeable rolling stock of rail tank cars, including steam jacket rail tank cars, which are more efficient during winter months in certain regions of Russia, and liquefied petroleum gas (*LPG*) rail tank cars. Rail is currently the only means for effectively transporting fuel oil in Russia although small volumes are transported by water in the summer months. The product pipeline network is limited to diesel and gasoline and the Russian highway infrastructure is not sufficient to support shipment by road. Management believes that it is well placed to take advantage of the stability of the oil products and oil transportation market in Russia, and the Group has long-standing relationships with its major clients in the oil products and oil sector in Russia, which include, among others, oil majors such as GazpromNeft, Lukoil, Rosneft and TNK-BP.

Destination management and route optimisation is primarily applicable to general-purpose rolling stock. In the case of specialised rolling stock, such as rail tank cars, optimisation opportunities are limited by the specific nature of rail tank car logistic patterns.

Use of locomotives

As at 30 June 2012, the Group owned 54 locomotives and leased-in 3 locomotives under operating leases, which it generally uses for the traction of block trains. This enables the Group to provide a more competitive and integrated service to clients by offering better delivery times and turnover of railcars and greater reliability in terms of providing railcars for on-time dispatching. This efficiency is true of block trains in general (irrespective of whether the locomotives are provided by the Group or Russian Railways) but is operationally more advantageous when using the Group's own locomotives. This is particularly important for the big oil refineries as they operate continuous production facilities which have regular shipping needs as they do not have substantial storage capacity at their sites. Prompt and quick delivery and shipment of cargo provides customers with working capital advantages due to the high value of transported cargo. Locomotive crews are provided by Russian Railways.

The regulatory framework for becoming a carrier (and therefore, operating its own locomotives, rather than relying on crews provided by Russian Railways) has not yet been finalised and the timing for its implementation is uncertain. The Group plans to continue to monitor regulatory developments with a view to evaluating whether it will provide its own carrier services (if this becomes possible), including by reference to the different risks associated with performing those services, which are likely to be significantly different to the risks of the Group's current business.

Railcar leasing

The second major service offered by the Group is railcar leasing, which represented 6.5 per cent. of the Group's Adjusted Revenue in 2011. The Group's Leased-out Fleet is comprised primarily of rail tank cars and amounted to 11,989 units as at 30 June 2012. The railcar leasing business complements the Group's freight rail transportation activities providing stable, mostly US Dollar-denominated cash flows, which allows the Group to improve the balance of its currency exposure. The Group's key markets for its leasing business are Kazakhstan, Belarus and Russia.

Ancillary services

The Group also offers ancillary services, including freight forwarding and other services, which represented less than one per cent. of the Group's Adjusted Revenue in 2011. The Group's freight forwarding service involves the shipment of cargo carried by Russian Railways' rolling stock or other third parties' rolling stock.

CUSTOMERS AND SALES

Customer base

The Group's freight rail transportation customers are concentrated around large Russian industrial groups in the metals and mining, and oil products and oil sectors of the Russian economy, including Evraz, GazpromNeft, Lukoil, Mechel, Metalloinvest, MMK, Rosneft, Severstal and TNK-BP. Sales of the Group's services from cargo flows associated with these nine key industrial groups (including the cargo flows associated with their suppliers and off-takers) represented approximately 67 per cent. of the Group's Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock in 2011. While a majority of the Group's revenues are accounted for by a few key customer groups, these key customers have typically maintained a very good record of making timely payment for the Group's services.

This key customer focus is aligned with the Group's focus on route optimisation and efficiency. Most of these key customers (particularly those in the metals and mining sector) have production facilities, sources of supply and production delivery destinations in multiple geographic locations. Their cargo transportation patterns are characterised by high cargo volumes and a need for regular and timely delivery of raw materials and shipment of finished production. See "*—Services—Freight rail transportation*".

The table below shows the percentage of Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock generated by the Group from services provided to its key customers and their suppliers in 2011.

Customer group and its suppliers	Customer's sector	Per cent. of the Group's Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock for the year ended 31 December 2011 (unaudited)
TNK-BP	Oil products and oil	20%
MMK.....	Metals and mining	11%
Evraz.....	Metals and mining	8%
Metalloinvest ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Metals and mining	8%
Lukoil.....	Oil products and oil	5%
GazpromNeft	Oil products and oil	5%
Severstal	Metals and mining	3%
Rosneft	Oil products and oil	3%
Mechel.....	Metals and mining	2%
Other	Various	33%
Total		100%

(1) Includes Ural Steel, which has historically been reported separately as one of the Group's key customers.

(2) The portion of the Group's Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock attributable to Metalloinvest will increase going forward as a result of the MIT Acquisition and the MIT Freight Service Contracts.

Of the Group's Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock in the year ended 31 December 2011, 33 per cent. was attributable to corporate customers (other than the key customers listed in the table above), including small and medium-sized enterprises. The Group believes that serving these other customers allows the Group to diversify its destinations and routes and reduce Empty Runs.

Contract and payment terms

In line with industry practice, most customers receive the Group's services on the basis of annual contracts, which are automatically renewed as long as the customer has not requested otherwise. Annual contracts establish general terms for the provision of transportation services with volume, destination and price terms established and adjusted, generally, on a monthly basis in either a contractual addendum or an application for cargo transportation. Price adjustments are typically driven by prevailing competition levels among service providers, costs, currency rates and other market conditions. Volume adjustments are largely driven by changes in the

transportation needs of the Group's customers and tend to be within tolerance rates of approximately five to ten per cent. of the cargo volumes originally stated with respect to the relevant period in the annual contract.

In the freight rail transportation business, the Group offers its customers three types of contracts: (a) a "lump sum" contract pursuant to which the customer is charged a single price for the Group's services, the infrastructure and locomotive charges payable to Russian Railways are borne by the Group and the customer has no interaction with Russian Railways; (b) a "railcar charge only" contract pursuant to which the customer pays only the railcar charge to the Group, and the infrastructure and locomotive charges for the loaded trip are payable by the customer to Russian Railways directly; and (c) another "railcar charge only" contract pursuant to which the Group pays the infrastructure and locomotive charges to Russian Railways and recharges the amount to the customer as a reimbursement.

In the railcar leasing business, there are two principal types of lease agreements where the repair and maintenance cost is borne (a) by the Group or (b) by the customer (lessee). Generally, such leases are agreed for a one-year term, and the lessee pays for each day of rent, except for the days during which the rolling stock undergoes maintenance and repair under the type of contract where such costs are borne by the Group.

Depending on the type of customer and length of customer relationship, customer contracts may require payment in advance of up to 100 per cent. of the services price before the Group's services commence, or before the start of the relevant delivery period. Alternatively, they may provide for payment in arrears a certain number of days following the provision of the relevant service.

PRICING

General

Pricing for railcar services in Russia is unregulated except for services provided by Russian Railways which currently operates its own freight railcars predominantly for non-commercial operations as well as the fleet temporarily leased-in from its subsidiary, Freight Two. The importance of Russian Railways' regulated railcar tariff as a market benchmark decreased significantly in 2011 following the completion of the spin-off of the majority of its commercial freight fleet into subsidiaries that operate in an unregulated pricing environment and the subsequent sale of a 75 per cent. minus two share interest in Freight One. However, charges payable to Russian Railways for infrastructure and locomotive usage are regulated and, as indicated above under "*Contract and payment terms*", are either included in the overall price charged by the railcar operator, paid by the customer directly to Russian Railways or paid by the railcar operator and reimbursed to the operator by the customer.

Factors that affect pricing for railcar services in Russia are route configuration, railcar availability in specific locations, the type of railcar used and the distance carried as well as competition from other operators. Another factor is whether it is possible for the railcar to be loaded with cargo for a substantial part of the return journey.

Pricing of the Group's rail transportation services is the responsibility of the sales departments of the Group's operating subsidiaries. Upon the receipt of a request for transportation from a potential customer, or for a monthly adjustment under an annual contract, the sales departments identify the volume, tariff classification and points of origin and destination and passes this information to the logistics centre. See "*Services*" above. The logistics centre determines whether the volume is sufficient to be of commercial interest to the Group, whether the requested destination fits into one of the existing profitable "route loops" or whether it may result in a significant Empty Run on the return journey, as well as whether the potential customer, its cargo or any other terms of the relevant request are likely to give rise to any other logistical or operational difficulties. These factors, as well as an analysis of the competition among freight rail operators on the relevant routes and availability of requisite rolling stock determine whether the Group offers its services in response to this request, and whether the Group's services are priced at a premium or a discount to the prevailing market prices. The Group employs *Rail-Tariff* pricing software for these complex pricing calculations. See "*Information Technology*" below. On average, the Group seeks to respond to a potential customer within 24 to 48 hours after receipt of the relevant request.

The pricing for leasing of rolling stock is also unregulated, and as a result all prices are based on market supply and demand. The Group's Leased-out Fleet is comprised primarily of rail tank cars. Volumes of oil products and oil transported in Russia and Kazakhstan are characterised by a high degree of stability, reflecting low elasticity of supply and demand for these cargoes. The overall rail tank car fleet is also stable. As a result of these factors, pricing for leasing services is characterised by low volatility.

EQUIPMENT

The Group has an extensive fleet of railcars and locomotives. As at 30 June 2012, the Group's Total Fleet consisted of 62,710 units (including MIT's Owned Fleet of 8,256 units of rolling stock). An additional 277 railcars have been delivered in period from 1 July to 22 August 2012 and a further 750 railcars are expected to be delivered in the second half of 2012.

The table below sets out information on the number of the Group's Total Fleet by category as at 30 June 2012.

Type of rolling stock fleet ⁽¹⁾	Group's Total Fleet			Percentage of Group's Total Fleet
	Owned Fleet	Leased-in Fleet	Total Fleet	
			(unaudited)	
Gondola (open top) cars.....	38,038	1,959	39,997	64%
Rail tank cars ⁽²⁾	18,627	3,191	21,818	35%
Hopper cars.....	713	4	717	1%
Locomotives.....	54	3	57	0%
Flat cars.....	121	0	121	0%
Total.....	57,553	5,157	62,710	100%

(1) Does not include 277 railcars, which the Group had taken delivery of as at 22 August 2012, nor an additional 750 railcars, which the Group expects to take delivery of in the second half of 2012.

(2) Rail tank cars include 2,483 steam jacket rail tank cars, regular rail tank cars and 270 LPG rail tank cars.

The largest group of railcars in the Group's Total Fleet is gondola (open top) cars. The general purpose gondola (open top) cars can be used to carry a variety of cargoes, including ferrous metals, scrap metal, ores, crushed stone, coal, timber and pipes. As at 30 June 2012, gondola (open top) cars accounted for approximately 64 per cent. of the Group's Total Fleet, or 39,997 railcars.

The second largest group of railcars in the Group's Total Fleet is rail tank cars, utilised predominantly for transportation of oil products and oil. As at 30 June 2012, rail tank cars accounted for approximately 35 per cent. of the Group's Total Fleet, or 21,818 railcars. Approximately 11.4 per cent. of the Group's rail tank car fleet is steam jacket rail tank cars, which enables faster loading and unloading times of oil products and oil during winter months, thus improving fleet utilisation. The Group's fleet of rail tank cars also includes 270 LPG rail tank cars.

Other railcars include 717 hopper cars as at 30 June 2012, which the Group predominantly utilises for transportation of cement.

The average age of the Group's Owned Fleet was approximately 6.6 years as at 30 June 2012.

The Group relies on operating leasing of rolling stock from third parties as a reliable way to enable it to quickly react to changes in demand for freight rail transportation services without significant capital expenditure.

Supply of rolling stock

Most of the Group's rolling stock is owned or leased under finance leases, and the Group intends to continue to acquire the majority of rolling stock to be operated by the Group in the future. The Group purchases most of its rolling stock new from Russian and Ukrainian manufacturers. Purchase terms usually involve advance payments and quality control at the certification centres of Russian Railways or Ukrzaliznitsa (Ukrainian Railways).

Repair and maintenance

Because of the importance of reliable and efficiently functioning rolling stock in the Group's business, proper maintenance and repair are key for the Group's success. The Group's rolling stock undergoes rigorous regular repair and maintenance and the Group applies strict standards to ensure its fleet is well maintained and repaired.

The Group's maintenance and repair work falls into two main categories: (i) scheduled repairs which are carried out according to the standards and regulations set by Russian Railways and the Ministry of Transport of the Russian Federation; and (ii) unscheduled repairs which are carried out according to the condition of the railcars, which are inspected on a continuous basis at loading and unloading or at the sorting stations.

- (i) Scheduled repairs can be carried out on the basis of either the period of operation or the mileage of operation. According to current regulation, “depot repairs” are performed two to three years after construction, or 210,000 kilometres, and thereafter once a year, or 110,000 to 120,000 kilometres, with the exception of “post-capital repairs”, which take place two years after a “capital repair”; and “capital repairs” which take place 10 to 13 years after construction and 7 to 12 years after a “capital repair”.
- (ii) Unscheduled repairs are driven by the technical condition of the railcar and are directly related to the term and intensity of operation. Based on experience, such “ad hoc repairs” tend to be required every 18 to 24 months and “capital repairs or replacement of wheel pairs” tend to be required every seven to nine years.

In addition, the Group’s railcars receive routine technical inspection and minor repair at repair shops throughout the Russian Railways network.

In the six months ended 30 June 2012, the Group’s costs associated with repair and maintenance amounted to USD 41,860 thousand (USD 76,243 thousand in the year ended 31 December 2011). The Group expects its repair and maintenance costs to increase as a result of expansion and ageing of the Group’s fleet.

The Group primarily uses repair facilities owned by Russian Railways, but it also has contracts with privately owned depots. The Group monitors the maintenance schedules for each railcar using the *MC—Slezhenie* system, which can detect a railcar’s proximity to within two to three hours of one of the contracted repair depots or shops, enabling rapid detachment of a railcar requiring regular maintenance or emergency repairs.

The Group maintains a working inventory of essential spare parts, including wheel pairs, side frames, shock absorbers, significantly reducing railcar repair and maintenance idle time. The local offices and individual representatives of the Group assist in securing rapid resolution of any location specific operational issues relating to maintenance and repair.

The Group owns a railcar repair and maintenance depot in Ivanovo, which is conveniently located near to the oil products and oil transportation routes serviced by the Group. As a result, additional transportation time is not required for routine repairs and preventative maintenance that take place at Ivanovo. In addition, the Group owns a locomotive repair depot in Rybinsk. By carrying out its own repair and maintenance of a portion of its rail tank cars in Ivanovo and locomotives in Rybinsk, the Group is better able to control the quality, efficiency and cost of repairs and managed to optimise its repair cost by substituting the most expensive depots with its own repairs. In addition, it is less dependent on Russian Railways for repair services, although Russian Railways does remain responsible for approval of the repaired railcars and locomotives for the public railway infrastructure.

COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT

The Russian freight rail transportation market has become increasingly competitive in recent years. See “*Russian Rail Transportation Market*” for details of the competitive environment in which the Group operates and its key competitors, and “*—Services—Freight rail transportation*” above for details of the Group’s market share of overall Russian freight rail transportation volumes by cargo type.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

The importance and sophistication of the logistics, dispatching, rolling stock tracking and cargo tracing components of the Group’s services offering requires the employment of advanced information technology systems and software that are sufficient for the Group’s current needs and are scalable to support the growth in volume of the Group’s operations.

The Group’s operations are dependent on four specialised software systems, for which the Group has, to the extent required, obtained non-exclusive licences. Each of these software programs has been specifically customised by the Group’s own information technology professionals to the Group’s requirements.

With respect to the data regarding updates on rolling stock locations and movements that the Group receives from Russian Railways, the Group is required to pay Russian Railways a fee per byte of information received.

The Group also uses a range of advanced accounting, management information and banking software systems. The Group intends continuously to develop and upgrade its information systems and software used in line with its business development and expansion plans.

EMPLOYEES

The following table sets forth the Group's employees by department (simplified), as at 30 June 2012, 31 December 2011 and 2010.

Department	As at	As at 31 December	
	30 June	2011	2010
		(unaudited)	
Administrative ⁽¹⁾	377	331	317
Operations ⁽²⁾	829	679	642
Total	1,206	1,009	958

(1) Administrative principally includes employees of the Administration, Accounting and Finance, Public Relations and other departments.

(2) Operations includes Commercial, Transport and Transport Management, IT, Marketing and Development, Russian Railways Liaison and Logistics departments and local offices.

Source: Group management accounts (cannot be directly derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information).

As at 31 December 2011, MIT had 175 employees.

The Group has not experienced any strikes, work stoppages, labour disputes or actions that have materially affected the operation of its business and there are no unions or collective bargaining arrangements in place with its employees, other than at one of BTS' branches. The Group considers its relationship with its workforce to be good. The Group makes mandatory contributions to the government pension scheme in Russia. Beyond the contributions provided by law, the Group has not provided any additional benefits to employees upon their retirement, or afterwards. The Group's employee policy is focused on professional development of employees and the creation of a positive working environment. While the Group's employee salaries are in line with industry average, the Group is implementing a bonus system to reward middle and top management for achieving and exceeding growth targets.

INSURANCE

The rolling stock owned or leased by the Group from third parties under finance leases is insured for loss or damage caused to the rolling stock. The existing legal liability regime attaches all third party liability to the railway carrier company (Russian Railways is the only railway carrier) or the owner of the transported cargo but not on the railcar or locomotive operating companies such as the Group. Under the existing regime, the Group does not believe it has significant exposure to third party liability in the ordinary course of its business. However, BTS and Sevtekhnotrans are required to maintain third-party liability insurance pursuant to certain financing arrangements.

In the future, if the Group expands into locomotive service segments, and assumes the functions of a railway carrier company, the Group may be exposed to third party liability in connection with the transportation of cargo, and the Group intends to acquire and maintain sufficient third party liability insurance in such circumstances.

ENVIRONMENTAL

The Group believes that, in general, its operations do not create potential environmental liabilities. Although its railcars or locomotives operated by its subsidiaries may sometimes transport environmentally sensitive materials, any spillage or leakage of such materials is the responsibility of Russian Railways as it is the only entity recognised as a railway carrier in Russia and bears the risk of environmental liabilities. The Group believes that it is in compliance with applicable environmental legislation. If Russian Railways successfully lobbies for a change in the current environmental liability regime and operators of cars and locomotives are treated equally with Russian Railways, the Group may then be exposed to potential environmental liabilities if it transports environmentally sensitive cargoes.

The Group is not aware of any current, pending or potential material environmental claims against it. The Group did not record any material liabilities associated with, or make any material payments relating to, environmental costs as at or in the six months ended 30 June 2012 or as at or in the years ended 31 December 2011 or 2010.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND SIGNIFICANT LICENCES

The Group has registered the following trademarks: (1) two trademarks for “Novaya Perevozchnaya Kompaniya” (New Forwarding Company) with the Federal Service for Intellectual Property, Patents and Trademarks of the Russian Federation on 12 May 2005 and 16 July 2007 with a trademark priority commencing on 24 May 2004 for the first trademark and on 22 September 2005 for the second. The registration of the two trademarks are valid until 24 May 2014 and 22 September 2015, respectively; (2) six trademarks for the Group with the Registrar of Trademarks Office in Cyprus. These applications were filed on 9 September 2008. Based on these applications, the Group filed an international trademark application at the World Intellectual Property Organisation (*WIPO*). The countries that the Company designated were: Armenia, Belarus, China, European Community, Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, Moldova, the Russian Federation, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, the United States of America and Uzbekistan. The International Registration number for the Company’s trademark is 1008439 dated 28 November 2008.

Other than in respect of these trademarks, the Group does not hold any material intellectual property rights or licences.

LITIGATION AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Group is involved in litigation as a plaintiff or defendant in court proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. Other than as referred to in “*Additional Information*”, the Group does not believe that any of the litigation or proceedings in which the Group has been or is currently involved is likely, individually or in aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on its business or financial condition.

BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MIT

MIT ACQUISITION

In May 2012, the Group acquired a 100 per cent. interest in MIT, the “captive” freight rail transportation operator of Metalloinvest, for USD 540 million on a cash and debt free basis, assuming normalised working capital and no capital commitments. For further details of the MIT Acquisition, see “*Summary—MIT Acquisition*” and for further details of the MIT business, see “*—Business*”. The MIT Acquisition was financed in part by the proceeds of a USD 400 million three-year floating rate secured credit facility provided by Sberbank, entered into in April 2012. For further details of that loan and the related security, see “*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Acquisition facility*”.

In connection with the MIT Acquisition, MIT has entered into the MIT Freight Service Contracts with the Metalloinvest entities that produce and trade iron ores and HBI. These arrangements provide for MIT to manage 100 per cent. of Metalloinvest’s rail transportation volumes for the year ended 31 May 2013 and give MIT a right of first refusal over 60 per cent. of such volumes for each of the years ended 31 May 2014 and 2015, subject to certain conditions. The price of these services is fixed for different cargoes and routes for the year ended 31 May 2013 but will be determined by reference to market prices (established from a tender among large operators) in the subsequent two years. The volume of these cargoes is expected to be larger than the capacity of MIT’s Owned Fleet. As a result, MIT will lease-in rolling stock under operating leases or use Engaged Fleet to service these cargoes in part. For further details of the MIT Freight Service Contracts, see “*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Freight Service Contracts*”. See also “*Risk Factors—Risks Relating to MIT*”.

Since the completion of the MIT Acquisition, the Group has started to integrate MIT’s business into its own, including by appointing new management.

BUSINESS

MIT, specialising in the transportation of iron ore and other metallurgical products in Russia, was formerly owned by Metalloinvest and was its “captive” railway transportation services operator, managing all of that group’s freight rail transportation. As at 31 March 2012, had a total of 8,943 railcars (including Owned Fleet of 8,256 railcars), of which 8,453 were gondola (open top) cars. MIT’s Adjusted Revenue for the year ended 31 December 2011 was RUB 10,122,665 thousand. Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock for the year ended 31 December 2011 was RUB 9,983,095 thousand. Its Adjusted EBITDA for the year ended 31 December 2011 was RUB 4,106,745 thousand.

As the “captive” freight rail operator for Metalloinvest, the largest iron ore producer in Russia, MIT arranged the logistical solutions required to transport iron ore and other metallurgical cargoes produced by Metalloinvest that needed to be transported by rail to steel production facilities and customers. MIT has historically used both its Total Fleet and Engaged Fleet to service these cargoes, and together with the Group’s Owned Fleet, will continue to do so pursuant to the MIT Freight Service Contracts.

The aggregate Transportation Volume for MIT’s Total Fleet and its Engaged Fleet amounted to 44.2 million tonnes in the year ended 31 December 2011 and accounted for approximately 16 per cent. of metallurgical cargo volumes and 23 per cent. of iron ore volumes shipped by rail in Russia in that year, according to Rosstat. In the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010, MIT handled 100 per cent. of Metalloinvest’s cargoes carried by rail, with 38 per cent. (or 16.8 million tonnes) and 39 per cent. (or 16.8 million tonnes), respectively, of MIT’s aggregate Transportation Volume carried by its Total Fleet and the remainder by Engaged Fleet. MIT’s core activity is providing a “one-stop” logistics solution for servicing all of Metalloinvest’s metallurgical cargo flows through its Total Fleet and Engaged Fleet.

MIT is a significant railway operator in Russia by number of gondola (open top) cars in operation. The size of its Total Fleet enables MIT to service a substantial share of Metalloinvest’s rail transportation requirements. The table below sets out information on the number and source of MIT’s Total Fleet by category as at 31 March 2012.

Units	Owned Fleet ⁽¹⁾	Leased-in Fleet ⁽¹⁾ (unaudited)	Total Fleet
Gondola (open top) cars	7,851	602	8,453
Rail tank cars	61	—	61
Hopper cars.....	343	85	428
Flat cars	1	—	1

Total 8,256 687 8,943

(1) For definitions of Owned Fleet and Leased-in Fleet, see “*Presentation of Financial and Other Information—Other Data and Information—Fleet terminology*”.

Since 31 December 2011, MIT has returned the majority of its Leased-in Fleet of gondola (open top) cars.

The average age of MIT’s Owned Fleet as at 31 March 2012 was 9.1 years for its gondola (open top) cars, 7.9 years for its rail tank cars, 6.4 years for its hopper cars and 16.9 years for its flat car.

For Metalloinvest’s metallurgical cargo flows that cannot be accommodated by its Owned Fleet, MIT leases in fleet or arranges logistical solutions through the use of Engaged Fleet. For Engaged Fleet, MIT subcontracts to or engages a third party operator for a single loaded trip from the point of origination to the cargo’s destination, at which point the railcar is then released to its owner. The principal differences between Engaged Fleet and Leased-in Fleet is that the Engaged Fleet is only engaged for a particular loaded trip and not leased for a fixed period of time and there are no Empty Run Costs or repair and maintenance costs in connection with Engaged Fleet.

MIT manages its Total Fleet and Engaged Fleet from its main office in Moscow and four offices in key regions of operation: Zheleznogorsk, Stariy Oskol, Voronezh and Novotroitsk.

Key customers of MIT are Metalloinvest’s entities producing and trading in iron ore and steel, including MGOK, Ural Steel, Metalloinvest Trading AG, LGOK and OEMK, which resulted in the majority of MIT’s revenue being received from Metalloinvest’s entities in the year ended 31 December 2011.

Iron ore raw materials are MIT’s primary cargo, accounting for approximately 50 per cent. of 16.8 million tonnes volumes by or using its Total Fleet in the year ended 31 December 2011.

MIT had 175 employees as at 31 December 2011. MIT’s managers have extensive experience in the railway industry. Under the terms of the MIT Acquisition, although there are certain non-solicitation and non-hiring restrictions, Metalloinvest is permitted to hire certain key employees of MIT in the first three months after the date of the MIT Acquisition Agreement (as defined below). See “*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Material Contracts—MIT Acquisition Agreement*”.

SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION

The following table sets forth the principal components of MIT’s income statement extracted from the MIT Financial Statements included in this Prospectus in Roubles for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010. The information contained in such income statement below the operating profit line is not set out below as the MIT Acquisition has been done on a debt-free basis and accordingly, an analysis of that additional information is not considered relevant to its business in future periods. See “—*MIT Acquisition*” above.

	<u>Years ended 31 December</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue.....	17,163,512	19,769,436
Cost of sales.....	(13,003,196)	(16,330,992)
Gross profit	4,160,316	3,438,444
General and administrative expenses	(327,064)	(199,513)
Other operating expenses.....	(238,422)	(5,220)
Operating profit	<u>3,594,830</u>	<u>3,233,711</u>

Because MIT was acquired by the Group on a debt-free basis and subject to certain adjustments that materially affect its balance sheet as at 31 December 2011, extracts from that balance sheet have not been included in this section. For further information on MIT’s balance sheet, see the MIT Financial Statements.

Additional (Non-GAAP) Financial Information

The following table sets forth additional unaudited non-GAAP financial information for MIT in Roubles for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands, unless otherwise indicated)	
Adjusted Revenue ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	10,122,665	8,779,859
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock—operated fleet ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	6,233,455	4,852,681
Net revenue from engaged fleet ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	3,749,640	3,811,204
EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	4,110,414	3,747,361
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	4,106,745	3,752,581
Adjusted EBITDA Margin (%) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾	40.6%	42.7%
Adjusted Profit for the Period ⁽¹⁾⁽⁷⁾	2,579,886	2,085,079
Empty Run Costs ⁽¹⁾⁽⁸⁾	1,322,205	1,009,013
ROCE (%) ⁽¹⁾⁽⁹⁾	37%	28%

Operating Information

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
Freight Rail Turnover (billion tonnes-km) ⁽¹⁰⁾	34.4	41.6
Transportation Volume (million tonnes) ⁽¹¹⁾	16.8	16.8
Average Price Per Trip (USD) ⁽¹²⁾	859.2	646.5
Empty Run Ratio for gondola (open top) cars ⁽¹³⁾	34	24
Empty Run Ratio for other cars ⁽¹³⁾	33	34
Total Empty Run Ratio ⁽¹⁴⁾	34	24
Share of Empty Run Kilometres Paid by MIT ⁽¹⁵⁾	100	100
Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar ⁽¹⁶⁾	26.3	30.9
Average Distance of Loaded Trips (kilometres) ⁽¹⁷⁾	2,038.3	2,465.0
Average Rolling Stock Operated ⁽¹⁸⁾	9,399	8,005
Owned Fleet (at period end)	8,256	8,266
Leased-in Fleet (at period end)	946	729
Total Fleet (at period end)	9,202	8,995

- (1) Adjusted Revenue, Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock—operated fleet, Net revenue from engaged fleet, EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Profit for the Period, Empty Run Costs and ROCE are non-GAAP measures presented as supplemental measures of MIT's operating performance. These supplemental measures have limitations as analytical tools, and investors should not consider any one of them in isolation, or any combination of them together, as a substitute for analysis of MIT's results as reported under IFRS. See "Presentation of Financial and Other Information". Reconciliations of Adjusted Revenue to revenue, Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock to revenue from transportation services, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to change in net assets attributable to participants, and Adjusted Profit for the Period to change in net assets attributable to participants are set out below.

Reconciliation of Adjusted Revenue to Revenue

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue from transportation services	17,047,726	19,677,154
Other revenue	115,786	92,282
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips*	(2,372,384)	(5,041,905)
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet*	(4,668,463)	(5,947,672)
Adjusted Revenue	10,122,665	8,779,859

* Derived from management accounts.

Reconciliation of Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock and Net Revenue from Engaged Fleet to Revenue from Transportation Services

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue from transportation services	17,047,726	19,677,154
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips*	(2,372,384)	(5,041,905)
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet*	(4,668,463)	(5,947,672)
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock	10,006,879	8,687,577

* Derived from management accounts.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue from transportation services—operated fleet	8,605,839	9,894,586
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips*	(2,372,384)	(5,041,905)
Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock—operated fleet	6,233,455	4,852,681

* Derived from management accounts.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue from transportation services—engaged fleet	8,418,103	9,758,876
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet*	(4,668,463)	(5,947,672)
Net revenue from engaged fleet	3,749,640	3,811,204

Reconciliation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to Change in Net Assets Attributable to Participants

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Change in Net Assets Attributable to Participants	(3,030,436)	2,085,079
<i>Plus (Minus)</i>		
Income tax charge	652,290	532,621
Finance income	(221,144)	(171,003)
Finance costs	6,194,120	787,014
Depreciation	515,584	513,650
EBITDA	4,110,414	3,747,361
<i>Plus (Minus)</i>		
Loss/(gain) on disposal of property, plant and equipment	(4,751)	3,193
Net foreign exchange loss/(gain) on operating activities, net	(15,559)	8,772
Social costs	194	2,953
Other (income)/expenses	16,447	(9,698)
Adjusted EBITDA	4,106,745	3,752,581

Reconciliation of Adjusted Profit for the Period to Change in Net Assets Attributable to Participants

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Change in Net Assets Attributable to Participants	(3,030,436)	2,085,079
<i>Plus</i>		
Distribution to participants	5,610,322	—
Adjusted Profit for the Period	2,579,886	2,085,079

- (2) Adjusted Revenue is calculated as revenue less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips (derived from management accounts) and infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet (derived from management accounts).
- (3) Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock—operated fleet is defined as revenue from transportation services—operated fleet (represented as part of transportation services and derived from management accounts) less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips (represented as part of railway tariff and derived from management accounts).
- (4) Net Revenue from engaged fleet is defined as revenue from transportation services—engaged fleet (represented as part of transportation services and derived from management accounts) less infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet (represented as part of railway tariff and derived from management accounts).
- (5) EBITDA is calculated as the sum of change in net assets attributable to participants, income tax charge, finance income, finance costs and depreciation.
- (6) Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA excluding foreign exchange gain/(loss) on operating activities, net, gain/(loss) on disposal of property, plant and equipment, social costs and other (expenses)/income. Adjusted EBITDA Margin is calculated as Adjusted EBITDA divided by Adjusted Revenue, expressed as a percentage.
- (7) Adjusted Profit for the Period is calculated as change in net assets attributable to participants excluding distribution to participants.
- (8) Empty Run Costs (which show the costs payable to Russian Railways for forwarding empty railcars) is derived from management accounts and presented as part of the railway tariff component of cost of sales.
- (9) ROCE is defined as Adjusted EBITDA less depreciation divided by the sum of average balances between balance sheet dates of total equity, total borrowings and total finance lease liability and total borrowings.
- (10) Freight Rail Turnover is a measure of freight carriage activity over a particular period calculated as the sum of tonnage of each loaded trip multiplied by the distance of each loaded trip, expressed in tonnes-kilometres.
- (11) Transportation Volume is a measure of freight carriage activity over a particular period measuring weight of cargo carried in million tonnes or thousand tonnes.
- (12) Average Price Per Trip is calculated as Net Revenue from Operation of Rolling Stock divided by total number of loaded trips during the relevant period in the applicable currency.
- (13) Empty Run Ratio is calculated as the total of empty trips in kilometres by relevant rolling stock type divided by total loaded trips in kilometres of such rolling stock type. Empty trips are only applicable to rolling stock operated (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out).
- (14) Total Empty Run Ratio is calculated as total kilometres travelled empty divided by total kilometres travelled loaded by the fleet operated by MIT (not including relocation of rolling stock in and from maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) in the relevant period.
- (15) Share of Empty Run Kilometres paid by MIT is defined as the percentage of Empty Run kilometres paid by MIT divided by the total amount of Empty Run kilometres incurred by the fleet operated by MIT (not including relocation of rolling stock in and from maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out) in the relevant period.
- (16) Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar is calculated as the total number of loaded trips in the relevant period divided by Average Rolling Stock Operated.
- (17) Average Distance of Loaded Trip is calculated as the sum of distances of all loaded trips for a period divided by the number of loaded trips for the same period.
- (18) Average Rolling Stock Operated is calculated as the average weighted (by days) number of railcars available for operator services (not including rolling stock in maintenance, purchased rolling stock in transition to its first place of commercial utilisation or rolling stock leased-out).

Recent Developments

In February 2012, a new participant of MIT, a related party at that time, made a cash contribution to MIT in the amount of RUB 1,778,000 thousand. In March 2012, MIT paid RUB 2,117,478 thousand to a lessor of rolling stock and settled certain finance lease liabilities in their entirety ahead of the scheduled maturity using, in part, the proceeds of such cash contribution.

In April 2012, prior to the MIT Acquisition, MIT disposed of a partially constructed railcar depot. This was shown on the balance sheet as at 31 December 2011 with a carrying value of RUB 162,746 thousand as assets held for sale. The profit recognised on the sale was not material.

In July 2012, MIT was renamed to LLC Ferrotrans.

FACTORS AFFECTING MIT'S FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

MIT's financial results have been affected, and results are likely to be affected in the future by a wide variety of factors including the factors affecting the results and future results of the Group described under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations".

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2011 AND 2010

Revenue and Adjusted Revenue

The following tables set forth revenue and adjusted revenue, broken down by type of revenue-generating activity of MIT for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue		
Transportation services.....	17,047,726	19,677,154
Other revenue	115,786	92,282
Total Revenue	<u>17,163,512</u>	<u>19,769,436</u>

Revenue decreased by RUB 2,605,924 thousand, or 13.2 per cent., from RUB 19,769,436 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 17,163,512 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This decrease was primarily due to a change in the terms of MIT's export oriented transportation contracts, whereby the infrastructure and locomotive tariffs were no longer paid by MIT, as also reflected in the table below.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Adjusted Revenue		
Transportation services.....	17,047,726	19,677,154
Other revenue	115,786	92,282
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips*	(2,372,384)	(5,041,905)
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet*	(4,668,463)	(5,947,672)
Adjusted Revenue	<u>10,122,665</u>	<u>8,779,859</u>

* Derived from management accounts.

For the purposes of the below discussion, Adjusted Revenue has been broken down to show, (i) net revenue from operation of rolling stock relating to operated fleet, (ii) net revenue from operation of rolling stock relating to engaged fleet, and (iii) other revenues generated by the Group's non-core business activities, including operating lease of rolling stock, freight forwarding and other.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Net revenue from operation of rolling stock—operated fleet	6,233,455	4,852,681
Net revenue from engaged fleet	3,749,640	3,811,204
Operating leasing of rolling stock	113,660	107,597
Railway transportation—freight forwarding.....	4,961	5,153
Other	20,949	3,224
Adjusted Revenue	<u>10,122,665</u>	<u>8,779,859</u>

Adjusted Revenue increased by RUB 1,342,806 thousand, or 15.3 per cent., from RUB 8,779,859 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 10,122,665 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in net revenue from operation of rolling stock relating to operated fleet of 28.5 per cent. from RUB 4,852,681 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 6,233,455 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue from transportation services—operated fleet.....	8,605,839	9,894,586
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips*	(2,372,384)	(5,041,905)
Net revenue from operation of rolling stock—operated fleet	<u>6,233,455</u>	<u>4,852,681</u>

* Derived from management accounts.

Net revenue from operation of rolling stock—operated fleet is the major component of Adjusted Revenue and accounted for 62 per cent. of Adjusted Revenue in 2011. Net revenue from operation of rolling stock—operated fleet in 2011 increased by RUB 1,380,774 thousand, or 28.5 per cent., from RUB 4,852,681 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 6,233,455 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the average number of rolling stock in operation by 17.4 per cent. or 1,394 railcars for the year ended 31 December 2011 as compared to the year ended 31 December 2010 and an increase in the Average Price Per Loaded Trip of 28.6 per cent. for the year ended 31 December 2011 partially offset by a 14.9 per cent. decrease in the Average Number of Loaded Trips Per Railcar by 4.6 trips to 26.3 loaded trips per railcar for the year ended 31 December 2011.

	<u>Years ended 31 December</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Revenue from transportation services—engaged fleet	8,418,103	9,758,876
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet*	(4,668,463)	(5,947,672)
Net revenue from engaged fleet	<u>3,749,640</u>	<u>3,811,204</u>

* Derived from management accounts.

Net revenue from engaged fleet accounted for 37 per cent. of Adjusted Revenue in 2011. Net revenue from engaged fleet decreased by RUB 61,564 thousand, or 1.6 per cent., from RUB 3,811,204 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 3,749,640 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the total number of loaded trips using Engaged Fleet as a result of a decrease in the use of Engaged Fleet partially offset by an increase in the Average Price Per Loaded Trip for Engaged Fleet.

Revenue from operating leasing of rolling stock increased by or RUB 6,063 thousand, or 5.6 percent., for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase of average lease rates per car per day in 2011 compared to 2010. During this period, the average number of rolling stock leased-out was stable.

Other revenue increased by RUB 17,725 thousand, or 549.8 per cent. from RUB 3,224 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 20,949 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in revenue subleasing surplus office space.

Cost of sales

The following table sets forth a breakdown of cost of sales for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	<u>Years ended 31 December</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Railway tariff	8,363,052	11,998,590
Transportation operator services	2,816,601	3,295,619
Rolling stock operating lease rentals	780,112	35,619
Depreciation	512,955	508,751
Repairs and maintenance	371,172	369,464
Labour costs	107,515	72,260
Property and other taxes	26,567	28,088
Other	25,222	22,601
Total	<u>13,003,196</u>	<u>16,330,992</u>

Cost of sales decreased by RUB 3,327,796 thousand, or 20.4 per cent., from RUB 16,330,992 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 13,003,196 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011.

For the purpose of presenting the dynamics and nature of the Group's cost base, the railway tariff line item of cost of sales has been divided as presented below.

Years ended 31 December

	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: loaded trips*	2,372,384	5,041,905
Infrastructure and locomotive tariffs: engaged fleet*	4,668,463	5,947,672
Empty Run Costs	1,322,205	1,009,013
Railway Tariff	8,363,052	11,998,590

* Derived from management accounts.

Infrastructure and locomotive tariff: loaded trips and infrastructure and locomotive tariff: engaged fleet are “pass-through” cost items for MIT and are reflected in equal amounts in MIT’s revenue as well as in cost of sales. In 2011, the aggregate of these line items decreased by RUB 3,948,730 thousand, or 35.9 per cent., from RUB 10,989,577 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 7,040,847 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This decrease was primarily due to a change in the terms of MIT’s export-oriented transportation contracts, where the infrastructure and locomotive tariffs were no longer paid by MIT.

Empty Run Costs increased by RUB 313,192 thousand, or 31.0 per cent., from RUB 1,009,013 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 1,322,205 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to an 8 per cent. increase in Russian Railways’ regulated tariff for the traction of empty railcars, an increase in Average Rolling Stock Operated by 1,394 railcars, or 17.4 per cent., for the year ended 31 December 2011 as compared to the year ended 31 December 2010, and an increase in the Total Empty Run Ratio from 24 per cent. for the year ended 31 December 2010 to 34 per cent. for the year ended 31 December 2011.

Transportation operator services decreased by RUB 479,018 thousand, or 14.5 per cent. from RUB 3,295,619 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 2,816,601 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in the total number of loaded trips for Engaged Fleet, which was driven by a decrease in the use of Engaged Fleet, partially offset by an increase in the average price of operator services due to market conditions.

Rolling stock operating lease rentals increased by RUB 744,493 thousand or 2,090.2 per cent., from RUB 35,619 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 780,112 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to a significant increase in the average number of leased-in railcars over the period, along with an increase in average lease rates per car per day in 2011 as compared to 2010.

Labour costs increased by RUB 35,255 thousand, or 48.8 per cent., from RUB 72,260 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 107,515 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to staff and management incentive payments related to 2010 and 2011 accrued and paid in 2011, and increase in the average level of salaries and an increase in unified social tax in Russia.

General and administrative expenses

The following table is a breakdown of selling, general and administrative expenses for the years ended 31 December 2011 and 2010.

	Years ended 31 December	
	2011	2010
	(unaudited)	
	(RUB in thousands)	
Management fees	126,000	98,500
Office operating lease rental	70,706	30,067
Labour costs	65,179	39,625
Bank charges	18,410	3,243
Materials and fuel	5,415	3,579
Provision for impairment of accounts receivable	3,626	—
Depreciation	2,629	4,899
Business trips	2,505	2,064
Other	32,594	17,536
Total	327,064	199,513

General and administrative expenses increased by RUB 127,551 thousand, or 63.9 per cent., from RUB 199,513 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 327,064 thousand for the year ended

31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to increases in management fees, office operating lease rental expenses, labour costs and bank charges.

Management fees increased by RUB 27,500 thousand, or 27.9 per cent., from RUB 98,500 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 126,000 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to special arrangements between MIT and its managing company, which is a part of Metalloinvest. Following the MIT Acquisition, this management fee will no longer be incurred. However, similar services relating to the management of MIT, to the extent incurred, will likely be reflected in the Group's employee benefits expense line item, which may increase as a result.

Office operating lease rental expenses increased by RUB 40,639 thousand, or 135.2 per cent., from RUB 30,067 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 70,706 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to MIT's leasing new office space in Moscow in the beginning of 2011. A portion of this office space was sublet, which contributed to the increase in other revenue as discussed above.

Labour costs increased by RUB 25,554 thousand, or 64.5 per cent., from RUB 39,625 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 65,179 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to staff and management incentive payments related to 2010 and 2011 accrued in 2011, an increase in the average level of salaries and an increase in social insurance costs due to changes in the legislation and an increase in the effective tax rate.

Bank charges increased by RUB 15,167 thousand, or 467.7 per cent., from RUB 3,243 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 18,410 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to bank charges incurred in 2011 in relation to the early settlement of a credit facility.

Other operating expenses

Other operating expenses increased by RUB 233,202 thousand, or 4,467.5 per cent., from RUB 5,220 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 238,422 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011. This increase was primarily due to penalties incurred by MIT in 2011, which amounted to RUB 242,091 thousand, as a result of railcars provided by other operators not being loaded on time and staying on stations waiting for the loading, and not providing other operators all the necessary documents to evidence that no VAT should be applied.

Operating profit

MIT's operating profit increased by RUB 361,119 thousand, or 11.2 per cent., from RUB 3,233,711 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2010 to RUB 3,594,830 thousand for the year ended 31 December 2011 as a result of the factors discussed above.

Related party arrangements

MIT is required to report all related party transactions, as defined in IAS 24 "Related Party Disclosures," in accordance with IFRS. During 2011 and 2010, as a part of Metalloinvest, MIT entered into a number of transactions with parties under common control with, or otherwise related to, it at that time and in the ordinary course of business. The Group believes that the freight rail transportation services provided by MIT to the relevant Metalloinvest entities in the period under review were on arm's length terms in all material respects. These related party transactions are described in the MIT Financial Statements.

DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT

DIRECTORS

As at the date of this Prospectus, the membership of the Board of Directors is set out below.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Year of birth</u>	<u>Current position</u>	<u>Since</u>
Mr. Alexander Eliseev	1967	Chairman of the Board of Directors, non-executive director	2008
Mr. Michael Zampelas	1937	Member of the Board of Directors, independent non-executive director.	2008
Dr. Johann Franz Durrer	1938	Member of the Board of Directors, independent non-executive director.	2008
Ms. Elia Nicolaou	1979	Member of the Board of Directors, non-executive director, and company secretary	2008
Mr. Konstantin Shirokov	1974	Member of the Board of Directors, executive director	2008
Mr. Mikhail Loganov	1981	Member of the Board of Directors, executive director	2008
Mr. Sergey Maltsev	1963	Member of the Board of Directors, executive director	2008

The business address for the Directors is Omirou 20, Agios Nikolaos, P.C. 3095, Limassol, Cyprus.

Mr. Alexander Eliseev—Chairman of the Board of Directors

Mr. Eliseev co-founded the Group with Mr. Maltsev in 2004. He has been actively involved in the reform of the Russian rail market as it has moved to introduce market-based principles. Mr. Eliseev was appointed as the Chairman non-executive member of the Board of Directors in March 2008. Mr. Eliseev has also been chairman of the board of directors of New Forwarding Company since 2003 and chairman of the board of directors of Sevtekhnotrans since 2007. Mr. Eliseev has more than 15 years of management experience, with most of this experience in the railway industry. He graduated from the Second Moscow Medical Institute, Russian State Medical University where he studied Biophysics. Prior to joining the Group, Mr. Eliseev worked for OOO MMK-Trans as Chief Accountant from 1999 until 2000, then as Financial Director from 2000 until 2005 and finally as Deputy General Director from 2005 until 2007. In addition, Mr. Eliseev served as a General Director at ZAO KPlus from December 1993 until February 1997, as a Commercial Director at OOO PromSnab from March 1997 until October 1997 and as a General Director at ZAO Evraztrans from November 1997 until February 1999. He was a member of the board of directors at OOO Neokont from August 2004 until February 2006 and a member of the board of directors at OOO Firma Transgarant from October 2004 until September 2006. He also has been the Chairman of the board of directors of ZAO Ural Wagon Repair Company since 2006, a Financial Director of OOO Logistika i Transport since 2007, and a member of the Board of Directors of OAO Financial Company Brisant since 1999 until February 2010.

Mr. Eliseev beneficially owns a 49.0 per cent. interest in EIL, which owns 8.8 per cent. of the Ordinary Shares in the Company. A shareholders' agreement provides that certain decisions of EIL require unanimous approval of EIL's board of directors or shareholders. See also "*Principal Shareholders*". Mr. Eliseev also owns an additional 2.0 per cent. of the Ordinary Shares (including in the form of GDRs) through Litten. Litten has agreed to cast its votes in respect of shares held by it in the same manner as EIL.

Mr. Michael Zampelas—Member of the Board of Directors

Mr. Zampelas was appointed as a senior independent non-executive member of the Board of Directors, as Chairman of its audit committee and as a member of the remuneration and nomination committees in March 2008. Mr. Zampelas has more than 30 years of management experience, including as founding member, chairman and managing partner of accounting and consulting firm, Coopers & Lybrand (which later became PricewaterhouseCoopers), in Cyprus and Athens. He is a chartered accountant and a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

From 2002 until 2006, Mr. Zampelas was the elected mayor of Nicosia and he is currently the Honorary Consul General of Estonia in Cyprus, a role he has held since 1997.

In addition, Mr. Zampelas held the following positions in the periods indicated:

- President of the Nicosia Sewerage Board, from January 2002 to December 2006;
- President of the Kofinou Slaughter House from January 2002 to December 2006;

- President of the Union of Municipalities in Cyprus from January 2002 to December 2004, and
- President of the Institute of Neurology and Genetics from April 1999 to March 2005.

Since March 2008 he has held the Position of Vice-President of the Board of Eurobank EFG Cyprus Limited and the position of the Chairman of the Audit Committee of Eurobank EFG Cyprus Limited.

Dr. Johann Franz Durrer—Member of the Board of Directors

Dr. Durrer was appointed as an independent non-executive member of the Board of Directors and as Chairman of its remuneration and nomination committees in March 2008. Dr. Durrer has more than 30 years of management experience. He graduated from the University of Zürich with a doctorate in economics and is a member of the Swiss Fiduciary Association. He started his career in 1957 with Union Bank of Switzerland in Geneva and became a financial analyst. In 1970 Dr. Durrer founded his own company, Fidura Treuhand AG, which provides bookkeeping, auditing, and financial services to real estate companies. Dr. Durrer is the former president of the oil company Montafan AG, and a former board member of the transport company IMT-Dienst AG. He is currently an executive board member of several privately held companies.

Dr. Durrer beneficially owns 0.06 per cent. of the Ordinary Shares in the Company in the form of GDRs.

Ms. Elia Nicolaou—Member of the Board of Directors

Ms. Nicolaou was appointed as a non-executive director member of the Board of Directors in March 2008, and has been a member of the Audit Committee since March 2008. She also acts as the company secretary. Ms. Nicolaou is currently the Managing Director of Amicorp (Cyprus) Ltd. Ms. Nicolaou has more than nine years of legal, corporate administration and management experience in a number of companies. She graduated from the University of Nottingham, UK, where she was awarded a bachelors of laws, before gaining a masters of laws in commercial and corporate law from University College, London, UK, and an MBA from the Cyprus International Institute of Management. Prior to joining Amicorp (Cyprus) Ltd, Ms. Nicolaou worked as the head of the corporate legal department at Polakis Sarris LLC from July 2003 until March 2007 and as a lawyer at C. Patsalides LLC from 2002 until 2003. She also sits on various boards of the Cyprus Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Konstantin Shirokov—Member of the Board of Directors

Mr. Shirokov has served as an executive member of the Board of Directors and as the Company’s internal auditor since March 2008. He is currently Financial Manager for TIHL and a member of the internal audit committee for the TIHL group of companies, which positions he has held since 2005 and 2007, respectively. Mr. Shirokov is a non-executive member of the board of directors of Global Ports Investments Plc and a member of its audit committee. Mr. Shirokov has more than eight years of management experience. He graduated from the Finance Academy of the Russian Federation where he studied International Economic Relations. Mr. Shirokov has also completed a course in Business Management at the business school of Oxford Brookes University, UK. Prior to joining TIHL, Mr. Shirokov worked in various management positions including as a Deputy Financial Director at OAO Mechel and as an economist at Glencore International AG’s Moscow office.

Mr. Mikhail Loganov—Member of the Board of Directors

Mr. Loganov has served as an executive member of the Board of Directors and as a member of the nomination committee since March 2008. He is currently Finance Manager for Leverrett Holding Ltd, a position he has held since May 2006. Mr. Loganov also holds the position of non-executive member of the board of directors of Global Ports Investments PLC, Cyprus, and is a member of its audit and risk committee. Mr. Loganov joined the Group in June 2004 as a finance manager at Sevtkhnотrans. Mr. Loganov has more than nine years of financial experience. He graduated from the University of Brighton, East Sussex and has a BA honors degree in Business Studies with Finance. Prior to joining the Group, Mr. Loganov worked as a financial analyst for American Express (Europe) Ltd in the UK from June 2001 until May 2004.

Mr. Sergey Maltsev—Member of the Board of Directors

Mr. Maltsev co-founded the Group with Mr. Eliseev in 2004 and has been one of the key individuals in the development of the private freight rail market in Russia. Mr. Maltsev has served as an executive member of the Board of Directors since March 2008 and has been the Chief Executive Officer of the Group since March 2008. Mr. Maltsev has more than 25 years of management experience, with most of this experience in the railway armed forces and railway industry and has been recognised for his contribution to the development of the Russian rail industry by the Russian Transport Ministry, which awarded him the “Honoured Railwayman of Russia” Award in

2006, the Commemorative pin “200 Years of Waterborne and Land Transport Management” in 2009 in recognition for his role in the reform of the Russian rail market and in the improvement of railway operation management processes and in April 2012, the “Honored Transportman of the Commonwealth of Independent States” Award. He graduated from the Leningrad Frunze Higher school of railway forces and military communications where he obtained a degree as an engineer on the operation of railways. Prior to election to the Board of the Company in 2008, Mr. Maltsev served in the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation (Soviet Union), worked for ZAO Petra from 1993 until 1997 as Head of the Transportations Department, then in the same position at OOO PromSnab from 1997 until 1999. In addition, Mr. Maltsev served as a Counsellor to the General Director of OOO MMK-trans, from 1999 until 2007.

Mr. Maltsev currently serves as Chairman of Presidium of the non-profit partnership Council of the Market of Railway Rolling Stock Operators Services.

Mr. Maltsev beneficially owns a 51.0 per cent. interest in EIL, which owns 8.8 per cent. of the Ordinary Shares (including in the form of GDRs) in the Company. A shareholders’ agreement provides that certain decisions of EIL require unanimous approval of EIL’s board of directors or shareholders. See also “*Principal Shareholders*”.

Mr. Alexander Eliseev and Mr. Sergey Maltsev have a beneficial interest in OOO MMK-Trans a company engaged in freight rail transportation. The Group has in the past engaged, and is currently engaging in, transactions with OOO MMK-Trans, including transactions in the ordinary course of business (see also “*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Related Party Transactions—Sale of goods and services*” and “*Material Contracts and Related Party Transactions—Related Party Transactions—Purchase of goods and services*”). As a result of the different interests of the Group and OOO MMK-Trans potential conflicts of interest could arise.

Other than as described above in relation to OOO MMK-Trans, there are no potential conflicts between the private interests and duties of the members of the Board of Directors and the duties of those persons to the Group. In the previous five years, no member of the Board of Directors (a) has had any convictions in relation to fraudulent offences; (b) served as a director, partner, founder or senior manager of any organisation that had any bankruptcies, receiverships or liquidations; (c) was subject to any official public incrimination and/or sanctions by statutory or regulatory authorities, including designated professional bodies, or has been disqualified by a court from acting as a member of the administrative, management or supervisory bodies of an issuer or from acting in the management or conduct of the affairs of any issuer.

SENIOR MANAGEMENT

As at the date of this Prospectus, the senior management, by function, of the Group is set out below.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Year of birth</u>	<u>Current position</u>	<u>Since</u>
Mr. Sergey Maltsev	1963	Chief Executive Officer	2008
Mr. Valery Shpakov	1956	First Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer of New Forwarding Company	2007
Mr. Vladimir Prokofiev	1949	Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer of BTS	1999
Ms Irina Alexandrova	1973	Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer of Sevtekhnotrans	2006
Mr. Vyacheslav Stanislavskiy	1963	Deputy Chief Executive Officer	2010
Mr. Alexander Shenets	1978	Chief Financial Officer	2003
Mr. Roman Goncharov	1970	Head of Treasury	2007

The business address for the senior management is Omirou 20, Agios Nikolaos, P.C. 3095, Limassol, Cyprus. The biographies of the senior management of the Group, as at the date of this Prospectus, are set out below to the extent that they are not members of the Board of Directors of the Company and set out above.

Mr. Valery Shpakov—First Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer of New Forwarding Company

Mr. Shpakov joined New Forwarding Company in 2003 and has served as its CEO since 2007. Mr. Shpakov has more than 30 years of industry experience. He graduated from the Leningrad Frunze Higher school of railway forces and military communications where he obtained a degree as an engineer on the operation of railways. Prior to joining the Group, Mr. Shpakov worked at OOO MMK Trans between 2000 and 2002 as head of Internal Transportation Department and between 2002 and 2003 as head of Railway Wagons Department. Prior

to joining the Group he also worked at OOO Transgarant NPK in 2003 as General Director. Between 2002 and 2003, prior to his appointment, he worked for New Forwarding Company as Executive Director between 2003 and 2005 and as First Deputy General Director between 2005 and 2007.

In 2006, the Russian Transport Ministry awarded Mr. Shpakov the “Honoured Railwayman of Russia” Award in recognition of his important contribution to the development of the Russian rail transport sector. The Transport Ministry has also awarded Mr. Shpakov with the Commemorative pin “200 Years of Waterborne and Land Transport Management”, in recognition for his role in the reform of the Russian rail market and in the improvement of railway operation management processes and in April 2012, the “Honored Transportman of the Commonwealth of Independent States” Award.

Mr. Shpakov beneficially owns 109,029 Ordinary Shares in the Company in the form of GDRs.

Mr. Vladimir Prokofiev—Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer of BTS

Mr. Prokofiev has served as CEO of BTS since its establishment in 1999 and as a member of the board of directors of the New Forwarding Company and Sevtekhnotrans since 2010. With more than 42 years experience in the rail sector he is one of the industry’s most experienced managers. He began his career as a railway worker subsequently advancing to railway station manager and then chief rail engineer. In 1991, he joined the private sector and in 1997 he was one of the founders and CEO of the pioneering private oil freight rail operator in Russia. He is a recipient of the “Honoured Railwayman of Russia” Award in recognition for his contribution to the rail industry. Mr. Prokofiev has been actively involved in the development and regulation of the rail market for many years. In 2001, he was behind the establishment of the Association of Carriers and Rolling Stock Operators of Russia, which now has over 20 members. He holds a degree in rail engineering.

Mr. Prokofiev beneficially owns 6,387 Ordinary Shares in the Company in the form of GDRs.

Ms Irina Alexandrova—Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer of Sevtekhnotrans

Ms Alexandrova, the Group’s Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Chief Executive Officer of Sevtekhnotrans, has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Sevtekhnotrans since September 2006. Ms. Alexandrova has more than ten years’ of management experience in the railroad industry. She studied at the Sholokhov Moscow State Open Pedagogic University and has a degree in mathematics, informatics and computer engineering. In 2002 and 2003 Ms. Alexandrova completed a course on “Logistics of industrial enterprises and railway transport in Germany” and a course on “Studies of German freight forwarding experience” in the German Management Academy of Lower Saxony. Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer of Sevtekhnotrans, Ms Alexandrova was deputy head of the railroad department of ZAO Severstaltrans from June 1996 until September 2006 and prior to that she worked as a leading specialist in the railroad department of TOO Rosinturtrans.

Mr. Vyacheslav Stanislavskiy—Deputy Chief Executive Officer

Mr. Stanislavskiy, the Group’s Deputy Chief Executive Officer, has served as Deputy Chief Executive Officer of New Forwarding Company since 2010. Mr. Stanislavskiy previously held positions as vice-president at transportation company “Novotrans” from June 2005 until June 2010 and as deputy chief executive officer, in charge of the transportation segment, at LLC “Transgroup A.S.” from 2002 until 2005. Mr. Stanislavskiy graduated from the Railway Armed Forces Academy in Leningrad as an officer with higher military education, engineer of railway operations. In 1999, he also graduated from the State Technical University in Irkutsk with a degree in business management. For his efforts in the field of railway transportation, Mr. Stanislavskiy, has been awarded with commemorative lapel badge “in honour of 200 years of water and railway management” by Transport Ministry in September 2009.

Mr. Stanislavskiy beneficially owns 48,424 Ordinary Shares in the Company in the form of GDRs.

Mr. Alexander Shenets—Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Shenets is the Chief Financial Officer of the Group and has served in this capacity since the Group’s establishment. He acted as head of the finance department at Sevtekhnotrans between June 2003 and October 2003. Mr. Shenets has more than nine years’ experience in finance, with more than eight of these years in the railway industry. Mr. Shenets graduated from the faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics, Moscow State University and has a degree in Applied Mathematics. He also has a Master of Business Administration degree from the Graduate School of Business Administration, Moscow State University and a Candidate of Philosophy degree obtained at Bauman Moscow State Technical University.

Mr. Roman Goncharov—Head of Treasury

Mr. Goncharov, Head of Treasury of the Group, has served as Chief Financial Officer of New Forwarding Company since September 2007. Mr. Goncharov has more than thirteen years of management experience in finance. He studied at the Plekhanov Academy where he obtained a diploma with honours, and at the Russian Economic Academy where he obtained a diploma in finance and credit. Mr. Goncharov also has an MBA from the Moscow International School of Business. Prior to joining the Group, Mr. Goncharov worked as Finance Director of OOO Firma Transgarant from December 2005 until June 2007, and prior to this he worked for ZAO Severstaltrans as Head of the Finance Department from March 1998 until November 2005.

Mr. Goncharov beneficially owns 10,669 Ordinary Shares in the Company in the form of GDRs.

Other than as described above under “—*Directors*” in relation to Mr. Sergey Maltsev and OOO MMK-Trans, there are no current or potential conflicts between the private interests and duties of the members of senior management of the Group and the duties of those persons to the Group.

In the previous five years, no member of the senior management of the Group (a) has had any convictions in relation to fraudulent offences; (b) served as a director, partner, founder or senior manager of any organisation that had any bankruptcies, receiverships or liquidations; (c) was subject to any official public incrimination and/or sanctions by statutory or regulatory authorities, including designated professional bodies, or has been disqualified by a court from acting as a member of the administrative, management or supervisory bodies of an issuer or from acting in the management or conduct of the affairs of any issuer.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS AND KEY MANAGERS

The aggregate amount of compensation paid by the Group to its key managers, including members of the Board of Directors, for their services to the Group for the years ended 31 December 2011 (14 persons), 2010 (21 persons) and 2009 (21 persons) was USD 21,065 thousand, USD 17,952 thousand and USD 9,282 thousand, respectively, including USD 2,388 thousand, USD 1,396 thousand and USD 731 thousand, respectively, remuneration for members of the Board of Directors. There are no amounts set aside or accrued by the Company or its subsidiaries to provide pension, retirement or similar benefits to such persons.

No director or senior manager is a party to any service contract with the Group where such contract provides for benefits upon termination of employment.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

As the Ordinary Shares are not listed on the Cyprus stock exchange, the Group, despite the incorporation in Cyprus of the Company, is not required to, and consequently does not, comply with the corporate governance regime relating to companies listed on the Cyprus stock exchange, but the Group does comply with the corporate governance regime relating to companies incorporated in Cyprus. The Company has currently appointed two independent non-executive directors and has established audit, nomination and remuneration committees of the Board of Directors.

Board of Directors

The Company has established three committees: an audit committee, a nomination committee and a remuneration committee. The members of these committees are appointed principally from among the independent directors. A brief description of the terms of reference of the Committees is set out below.

Audit committee

The audit committee comprises two directors, one of whom is independent, and meets at least four times each year. Currently the audit committee is chaired by Mr. Zampelas, and Ms. Nicolaou is the other member. The audit committee is responsible for considering, among other matters: (i) the integrity of the Company’s consolidated financial statements, including its annual and interim accounts, and the effectiveness of the Company’s internal controls and risk management systems; (ii) auditors’ reports; and (iii) the terms of appointment and remuneration of the auditor. The committee supervises and monitors, and advises the Board of Directors on, risk management and control systems and the implementation of codes of conduct. In addition, the audit committee supervises the submission by the Company of financial information and a number of other audit-related issues and assesses the efficiency of the work of the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Nomination committee

The nomination committee comprises at least three directors, the majority of whom are independent directors, and meets at least once each year with two meetings scheduled to take place in 2012. Currently the nomination committee is chaired by Mr. Durrer and the other members are Messrs. Zampelas and Loganov. The committee's role is to prepare selection criteria and appointment procedures for members of the Board of Directors and to review on a regular basis the structure, size and composition of the Board of Directors. In undertaking this role, the committee refers to the skills, knowledge and experience required of the Board of Directors given the Company's stage of development and makes recommendations to the Company's Board of Directors as to any changes. The committee also considers future appointments in respect of the composition of the Board of Directors as well as making recommendations regarding the membership of the audit and remuneration committees.

Remuneration committee

The remuneration committee comprises at least three directors, the majority of whom are independent directors, and meets at least once each year with two meetings scheduled to take place in 2012. Currently the remuneration committee is chaired by Mr. Durrer and Messrs. Zampelas and Eliseev are members. The remuneration committee is responsible for determining and reviewing, among other matters, the Company's remuneration policies. The remuneration of independent directors is a matter for the chairman of the Board of Directors and the executive directors. No director or manager may be involved in any decisions as to his/her own remuneration.

Internal auditor

The Company's internal audit function is currently performed by Mr. Shirokov. The internal auditor is responsible for the recommendation of an auditing plan to the audit committee of the Board of Directors. The internal auditor carries out auditing assignments in accordance with such plan and oversees and reports on the Company's compliance with the plan's recommendations. The internal auditor also files a quarterly report with the audit committee and the Board of Directors and must be available for any meetings of the audit committee or the Board of Directors.

For details of the procedures for appointment and removal of directors of the Company, see "*Description of Share Capital and Applicable Cypriot Law—Articles of Association—Directors*".

DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY

The Company was incorporated as a private limited liability company limited by shares and was registered in Cyprus on 20 May 2004 under the name Globaltrans Investment Limited, pursuant to the certificate of incorporation issued by the Office of the Registrar of Companies in Cyprus, and has conducted business since that date. The principal legislation under which the Company operates, and under which the Ordinary Shares are created, is the Companies Law, Cap. 113 of Cyprus (as amended) (the *Cyprus Companies Law*). The shareholders of the Company resolved on 19 March 2008 that Globaltrans Investment Limited be converted into a public company and that its name be changed to Globaltrans Investment PLC. The formal registration of the change of name with the Registrar of Companies in Cyprus occurred on 15 April 2008. In May 2008, upon admission by the FSA in its capacity as competent authority under the Financial Services and Markets Act (*FSMA*) to the official list maintained by the FSA and to the regulated main market of the London Stock Exchange of up to 116,959,064 global depositary receipts representing Ordinary Shares to be issued from time to time against the deposit of Ordinary Shares with the depositary, the Company conducted the IPO, an offering of GDRs on the London Stock Exchange. In connection with such offering, the Company sold 16,959,064 GDRs, and TIHL and EIL together sold 18,543,791 GDRs, including GDRs sold pursuant to an over-allotment option granted by EIL in May 2008 in the course of the IPO. In December 2009, the Company sold 11,764,705 GDRs and TIHL sold 8,782,352 GDRs, including GDRs sold pursuant to an over-allotment option granted by TIHL in December 2009. In March 2010, the Company listed up to 41,176,469 GDRs on the London Stock Exchange to be issued against the deposit of Ordinary Shares from time to time. In July 2012, the Company sold 24,242,500 GDRs and TIHL sold 7,257,500 GDRs, including GDRs sold pursuant to an over allotment option granted by TIHL. The GDRs trade under the symbol “GLTR”. The Company’s registered number is 148623, and its registered office is at Omirou 20, Agios Nicolaos, CY-3095, Limassol, Cyprus. The telephone number of the Company’s registered office is +357 255 83 125. The Company’s principal place of business is located in Cyprus at City House, 3rd floor, Office 308, 6 Karaiskakis Street Limassol CY-3032, Cyprus and the telephone number at the principal place of business is +357 255 03 153.

PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of the Ordinary Shares of the Company by its principal shareholders.

Shareholder	Number of Ordinary Shares	Percentage
Transportation Investments Holding Limited ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	61,711,992	34.5%
Envesta Investments Ltd. ⁽³⁾	15,728,116	8.8%
Other entities controlled by Directors of the Company ⁽⁴⁾	3,772,738	2.2%

(1) Transportation Investments Holding Limited (**TIHL**) is a company organised and existing under the laws of Cyprus with its registered office and principal place of business at 20 Omirou, Agios Nikolaos, P.C. 3095, Limassol, Cyprus. TIHL is ultimately controlled by a company the beneficial owners of which are Nikita Mishin, Konstantin Nikolaev and Andrey Filatov. Such persons, by virtue of their holdings in TIHL, also have indirect interests in a number of other companies forming the largest privately-held transportation group in Russia and known by the brand name of NTrans.

(2) Includes 5 Ordinary Shares held by companies affiliated with TIHL and wholly owned by the beneficial owners of TIHL.

(3) Envesta Investments Ltd. (**EIL**) is a company organised and existing under the laws of Cyprus with its registered office and principal place of business at 20 Omirou, Agios Nikolaos, P.C. 3095, Limassol, Cyprus. Sergey Maltsev beneficially owns 51 per cent. and Alexander Eliseev beneficially owns 49 per cent. of EIL. A shareholders' agreement provides that certain decisions of EIL require unanimous approval of EIL's board of directors or shareholders. Of the Ordinary Shares beneficially owned by EIL, 1,807,086 are held in the form of GDRs.

(4) See "*Directors and Senior Management*". This includes 3,612,132 Ordinary Shares (including in the form of GDRs) held by Litten, a company beneficially owned by Mr. Eliseev. This also includes 160,606 GDRs beneficially owned by Dr. Durrer.

The free float is approximately 54.5 per cent. of the Ordinary Shares.

TIHL has a significant influence in the Company, and EIL has a significant influence in the Company as a result of its ultimate beneficial owners being members of the Company's senior management. There is not a relationship agreement or any other measure in place to ensure such significant influence is not abused, and the Company does not anticipate any such agreement or similar measure being put in place with respect to either TIHL or EIL as there have not been any instances of either significant shareholder abusing its influence in the past.

The Company is not aware of any arrangements between the significant shareholders or any other shareholder which may at a subsequent date result in a change of significant shareholding in the Company.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

The Group believes that it has no material contracts (not being contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business) other than the contracts described below.

2012 Underwriting Agreement

In connection with the 2012 GDR Offering in July 2012, the Company and TIHL entered into an underwriting agreement (the **2012 Underwriting Agreement**) with Deutsche Bank AG, London Branch, J.P. Morgan Securities plc, Morgan Stanley International Co. plc and SIB (Cyprus) Limited, as joint global coordinators and joint bookrunners (the **Joint Bookrunners**). The 2012 Underwriting Agreement and related arrangements provided for the issue and sale by the Company of 24,242,500 GDRs and the sale by TIHL of 4,227,200 GDRs and a further 3,030,300 GDRs pursuant to an over-allotment option, the payment to the Joint Bookrunners of customary fees and expenses and the reimbursement of certain of the Joint Bookrunners expenses. In the 2012 Underwriting Agreement, the Company and TIHL gave certain representation and warranties to the Joint Bookrunners in relation to the Ordinary Shares, the GDRs offered in the 2012 GDR Offering, the Group's business, and the contents of the offering memorandum for the 2012 GDR Offering as well as customary indemnities in relation to the 2012 GDR Offering. In addition, in connection with the 2012 GDR Offering, each of the Company, TIHL, EIL and Litten agreed that, until 13 January 2013, neither it nor any person acting on its behalf would, without the prior written consent of the Joint Bookrunners, sell, pledge or encumber the Ordinary Shares or, in the case of the Company, issue new Ordinary Shares, subject to certain exceptions.

MIT Acquisition Agreement

On 26 April 2012, New Forwarding Company entered into an agreement with Metalloinvest Transport (Cyprus) Limited (the **Vendor**) in relation to the acquisition of 100 per cent. of the participatory interests in MIT (the **MIT Acquisition Agreement**). The MIT Acquisition Agreement contemplates the acquisition of 99.44 per cent. of the shares in MIT from the Vendor and of the remaining 0.56 per cent. from JSC "HC "Metalloinvest" (**HC Metalloinvest**). Title to the participatory interests in MIT was transferred to New Forwarding Company on 15 May 2012 (the **Completion**). On the date of the Completion, New Forwarding Company was required to pay a lump sum of USD 540 million to the Vendor and HC Metalloinvest (in the relevant proportions) as the consideration under the MIT Acquisition Agreement (the **Base Consideration**). The MIT Acquisition Agreement requires that, within 60 calendar days following 31 May 2012, the Vendor determine the adjusted consideration based on a number of factors, including MIT's net debt, any deviation of working capital from normalised levels and material capital commitments (in each case, calculated pursuant to the MIT Acquisition Agreement) during this period (the **Adjusted Consideration**). If the Adjusted Consideration exceeds the Base Consideration, New Forwarding Company will be required to pay the difference to the Vendor (and, if requested by it, to HC Metalloinvest). Similarly, if the Adjusted Consideration is less than the Base Consideration, the Vendor will (and, if necessary, will procure that HC Metalloinvest will), pay the difference to New Forwarding Company. The MIT Acquisition Agreement also provides for an adjustment to the Base Consideration to the extent that more than 10 units of the agreed amount of rolling stock have been disposed of and not replaced. The Company has guaranteed certain of New Forwarding Company's obligations under the MIT Acquisition Agreement.

Pursuant to the MIT Acquisition Agreement, the Vendor has agreed that no member of its corporate group will, for a period of 18 months following the date of the MIT Acquisition Agreement, directly or indirectly solicit, induce or seek to induce away from MIT any employees with a view to engage them in any business. If any person ceases to be an employee of MIT at his or her own discretion (but not at the discretion of MIT or upon the agreement between such person and MIT), any member of the Vendor's corporate group may employ him/her at any time upon the expiry of eighteen months of such person ceasing to be an employee of MIT in the case of a person who has been employed and based in Moscow, or six months of such person ceasing to be an employee of MIT in the case of a person who has been employed and based in other regions of Russia (or in each case, such shorter period if agreed between New Forwarding Company and the Vendor). It is agreed, however, that certain of MIT's employees, including heads of certain departments, chief traffic controller and a number of lead specialists, are exempted from this restriction and may be engaged by any member of the Vendor's corporate group within three months following Completion. Those exempted employees who have not been engaged during such three month period will become subject to the 18-month limitation period described above.

MIT Freight Service Contracts

In connection with the MIT Acquisition, on 26 April 2012, MIT signed several new freight rail service contracts (the **MIT Individual Freight Service Contracts**) with Metalloinvest's main production entities

(collectively, the *Metalloinvest Customers*), including JSC “Lebedinskiy GOK”, JSC “Mikhailovsky GOK”, JSC “OEMK”, JSC “Ural Steel” and Metalloinvest Trading AG (the *Guarantor*). Pursuant to each MIT Individual Freight Service Contract, MIT has agreed to provide domestic and international railway transportation services, and certain ancillary services, including traffic management, to Metalloinvest Customers. Each MIT Individual Freight Service Contract provides for a 100 per cent. pre-payment of all fees by Metalloinvest Customers and is valid until 31 May 2015, and includes the pricing terms for the first year.

Also in connection with the MIT Acquisition, New Forwarding Company entered into a deed of guarantee and indemnity with, among others, the Guarantor (the *Purchaser Guarantee*), and the Company entered into a deed of guarantee and indemnity with the Vendor (the *Vendor Guarantee*). Pursuant to Purchaser Guarantee, the Guarantor has agreed, among other matters, to procure that, starting from 1 June 2012, each Metalloinvest Customer (including the Guarantor): (a) during the year ending 31 May 2013, dispatches 100 per cent. of its cargoes, and (b) during the second and the third year following the Completion, dispatches at least 60 per cent. of its cargoes, under the MIT Individual Freight Service Contracts. Pursuant to the Vendor Guarantee, the Company has agreed, among other matters, to procure that MIT provides a sufficient number of railcars to comply with the transportation volumes of each Metalloinvest Customer agreed in the Deed of Guarantee, subject in the second and third years to agreement upon the pricing terms as described below.

Both deeds provide that, upon the expiration of the first and the second year following Completion, the Vendor will hold a tender to identify the railway operator offering the best pricing terms, and notify MIT accordingly. The tender will be held among railway operators with a fleet of at least 8,000 gondola (open top) cars. MIT and the Metalloinvest Customers will only be obliged to comply with the agreed transportation volumes under the MIT Individual Freight Service Contracts during the second and the third year following Completion if MIT is willing to accept pricing terms that are similar to those of the winning bidder or are more favourable for the Metalloinvest Customers.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Group is required to report all related party transactions, as defined in IAS 24 “Related Party Disclosures”, in accordance with EU IFRS. In addition, the Group’s Russian subsidiaries are required to comply with applicable Russian law with respect to related party transactions. During the periods covered by the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information, and to the date of this Prospectus, the Group has entered into a number of transactions with related parties. See Note 30 of the Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011, Note 31 of the Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2010, Note 31 of the Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2009, and Note 22 of the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the six months ended 30 June 2012. The following selections describe the related party transactions considered to be significant by the Group.

Sale of goods and services

Sales of goods and services to related parties are set out in the table below for the periods indicated.

	For the six months ended 30 June 2012 (unaudited)	For the year ended 31 December		
		2011	2010	2009
		(audited) (USD in thousands)		
Associate	—	—	3	—
The Parent	—	—	—	10
Other related parties:				
Entities under control of the Parent	22,098	29,215	23,599	28,845
Entities under significant influence of the Parent	14	13,281	27,618	28,256
Entities under significant influence of members of key management	60,598	113,896	83,201	61,706
	82,710	156,392	134,421	118,817

In the year ended 31 December 2009, sales of services to related parties primarily consisted of rail transportation and ancillary services provided to Intergate AG (*Intergate*), a company under common control with TIHL, OOO MMK-Trans (*MMK-Trans*), a company under significant influence of members of key management of the Group and OOO Transoil (*Transoil*), an associate company of TIHL until November 2011, as well as rolling stock operating leasing services to Transoil. In the year ended 31 December 2010, sales of services to related parties primarily consisted of rail transportation and ancillary services provided to Intergate, MMK-Trans, Transoil and OOO MMK-Transfinans (*MMK-Transfinans*), a company under significant influence of members of key management of the Group, as well as rolling stock operating leasing services to Transoil. In December 2010, MMK-Transfinans ceased to be a related party of the Group.

In the year ended 31 December 2011, sales of services to related parties primarily consisted of rail transportation and ancillary services provided to Intergate and MMK-Trans, as well as rolling stock operating leasing services to Transoil. In November 2011, Transoil ceased to be a related party of the Group.

In the six months ended 30 June 2012, sales of services to related parties primarily consisted of rail transportation and ancillary services provided to Intergate and MMK-Trans.

The Group believes that the services were provided to the related parties described above were on arm's length terms in all material aspects.

Purchase of goods and services

Purchases of goods and services from related parties are set out in the table below for the periods indicated.

	For the six months ended 30 June 2012 (unaudited)	For the year ended 31 December		
		2011	2010	2009
		(audited) (USD in thousands)		
Associate	—	398	324	391
Other related parties:				
Entities under control of the Parent	3,847	4,475	3,484	3,383
Entities under control by parties with significant influence over the Group	523	1,089	1,053	1,007
Entities under significant influence of the Parent	—	457	2,399	466
Entities under significant influence of members of key management	11,664	21,432	25,524	21,916
	16,034	27,851	32,784	28,163

In the year ended 31 December 2009, purchases of goods and services from related parties primarily consisted of rented office space from Elokhovskiy Business Center (*Elokhovskiy*), a company under significant influence of members of key management of the Group, leased rolling stock from MMK-Trans and maintenance and repair works on property plant and equipment by OOO New Wagonrepair Company (*NWC*), a company under significant influence of members of key management of the Group, and ZAO Ural Wagonrepair Company (*UWC*), a company controlled by TIHL and under significant influence of MMK-Trans.

In the year ended 31 December 2010, purchases of goods and services from related parties primarily consisted of rented office space from Elokhovskiy, leased rolling stock from MMK-Trans, leased rolling stock from MMK-Transfinans and maintenance and repair works on property plant and equipment by NWC and UWC.

In the year ended 31 December 2011, purchases of goods and services from related parties primarily consisted of leased rolling stock from MMK-Trans and maintenance and repair works on property plant and equipment by NWC and UWC.

In the six months ended 30 June 2012, purchases of goods and services from related parties primarily consisted of leased rolling stock from MMK-Trans.

The Group believes that the purchases of goods and services involving the related parties described above were on arm's length terms in all material aspects.

Additions and disposals of property plant and equipment

Additions and disposals of property plant and equipment to/from related parties are set out in the table below for the periods indicated.

	For the six months ended 30 June 2012 (unaudited)	For the year ended 31 December		
		2011	2010	2009
		(audited)		
		(USD in thousands)		
<i>Additions:</i>				
Other related parties:				
Entities under control of the Parent	540	1,737	882	373
Entities under significant influence of members of key management	290	216	415	319
	830	1,953	1,297	692
<i>Disposals:</i>				
Other related parties: entities under significant influence of members of key management.....	—	—	8	17

In the periods shown above, acquisitions and disposals of property plant and equipment primarily related to purchases of spare parts and other property plant and equipment from UWC and NWC. The Group believes that the purchase was conducted on arm's length terms in all material respects.

Directors and key management compensation

Key management salaries and other short term employee benefits for the Group are set out in the table below for the periods indicated.

	For the six months ended 30 June 2012 (unaudited)	For the year ended 31 December		
		2011	2010	2009
		(audited)		
		(USD in thousands)		
Key management salaries and other short term employee benefits	14,657 ⁽¹⁾	21,065 ⁽²⁾	17,952 ⁽³⁾	9,282 ⁽⁴⁾

(1) Includes directors' remuneration of USD 7,188 thousand.

- (2) Includes directors' remuneration of USD 2,388 thousand.
- (3) Includes directors' remuneration of USD 1,396 thousand.
- (4) Includes directors' remuneration of USD 731 thousand.

Other transactions with related parties

In January 2012, the Company, in accordance with a decision of the extraordinary general meeting of shareholders on 20 December 2011, completed the purchase of 3,637,117 of its own ordinary shares from EIL at a price of USD 11.87 per share for a total consideration of USD 43,172,579.

In December 2011, the Company acquired an additional stake of 4.25 per cent. of shares in Spacecom, a subsidiary of the Company, from TIHL for a total consideration of USD 7,200 thousand bringing its shareholding in Spacecom to 65.25 per cent. The carrying amount of the non-controlling interest acquired on the date of acquisition was USD 5,073 thousand. The Group de-recognised non-controlling interests of USD 5,073 thousand and recorded a decrease in equity attributable to owners of the Company of USD 2,127 thousand.

In September 2011, the Company received EUR 4,455 thousand (USD6,345 thousand) from TIHL as compensation of 61 per cent. of losses of Spacecom suffered as a result of a legal claim which were not previously recognised in the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011.

In November 2009 the Company entered into a contribution and subscription agreement with TIHL. The contribution and subscription agreement provided for the transfer to the Company by TIHL of an effective 55.56 per cent. share in Ingulana Holdings Limited, a Cypriot holding company, owning an effective 90 per cent. economic interest and a majority controlling interest in BTS in exchange for 29,411,764 new ordinary shares issued by the Company with a total value of USD 250,000 thousand.

In September 2009, the Company concluded an additional agreement with TIHL whereby the schedule of payments of consideration for the purchase from TIHL of the Group's share in Spacecom and Spacecom Trans (formerly AS Intopex Trans), originally entered into in 2008 was amended as the Group was able to partially re-finance this interest-bearing liability on more favourable terms. Pursuant to the amended payment schedule, in September 2009 the Company settled USD 25,000 thousand which was due in 2010 plus interest accrued on that amount and a further payment of USD 7,000 thousand plus interest accrued on that amount was made in October 2009. According to the amended schedule, the remaining balance of USD 7,000 thousand plus interest was payable by 1 May 2010. This early repayment did not result in a significant gain or loss for the Company except for the saving on the future interest that would have been accrued on such liability. In accordance with the share purchase agreements regarding the purchase of shares in Spacecom and Spacecom Trans (formerly AS Intopex Trans) and amendments thereto, in April 2010, the Company settled in full its liability to TIHL including accrued interest.

In February 2009 the Company entered into additional agreements to the share purchase agreements regarding the purchase of shares in Spacecom and Spacecom Trans with TIHL. Pursuant to those additional agreements the Company received the right to settle instalments ahead of schedule. These early repayments resulted in a gain of USD 216 thousand for the Company.

Loans from related parties

Loans from related parties are set out in the table below for the periods indicated.

	For the six months ended 30 June 2012 (unaudited)	For the year ended 31 December		
		2011	2010	2009
		(audited) (USD in thousands)		
The Parent				
Beginning of year	—	—	—	18,379
Loans advanced during the year	—	—	—	30,000
Loans repaid during the year	—	—	—	(46,265)
Interest charged	—	—	—	638
Interest repaid	—	—	—	(3,376)
Foreign exchange gain	—	—	—	624
End of year	—	—	—	—

The balance of the loan from TIHL at the beginning of 2009 carried an average interest of 10.43 per cent. per annum and was repayable in June 2012. All of the loans were settled in 2009 ahead of their stated maturity schedule. No penalties or premiums were incurred as a result of such early settlement (except for the benefit of interest not accrued). The loan advanced in 2009 was for USD 30,000 thousand and carried an interest of 1 per cent. per annum and was provided for up to 10 days.

Guarantees by related parties

	<u>For the year ended 31 December</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
	(audited)		
	(USD in thousands)		
<i>Borrowings guaranteed by:</i>			
The Parent and entities with significant influence over the Group	—	—	18,491
The Parent.....	—	—	29,699
	—	—	48,190
<i>Finance lease and sale and leaseback contracts guaranteed by:</i>			
The Parent and entities with significant influence over the Group	—	—	19,919
The Parent and other related parties	—	—	3,373
The Parent.....	—	—	37,390
	—	—	60,682

In the year ended 31 December 2009, the Group had bank borrowings and finance lease arrangements guaranteed by TIHL, EIL and other entities in the TIHL group. These arrangements were terminated in that year.

Interest income and expenses

The Group recognised interest income and expenses in connection with related parties for the periods indicated below as follows. See also “—Loans from related parties” above.

	<u>For the six months ended 30 June 2012 (unaudited)</u>	<u>For the year ended 31 December</u>		
		<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
		(audited)		
		(USD in thousands)		
Interest expense:				
The Parent.....	—	—	(899)	(5,360)
	—	—	(899)	(5,360)

In the year ended 31 December 2010, the interest expense related to interest on the consideration payable for the acquisition of Spacecom and Spacecom Trans and the unwinding of the discounting effect which arose as the initial consideration was recognised at fair value by discounting the expected future payments of the deferred acquisition price to their present value at the time of the acquisition. In the year ended 31 December 2009, the interest expense primarily related to interest on the consideration payable for the acquisition of Spacecom and Spacecom Trans and the unwinding of the discounting effect on such liability, as well as the interest expense on the loans provided to the Group by TIHL.

REGULATION OF RAILWAY TRANSPORTATION IN RUSSIA

Set forth below are certain key provisions of Russian legislation relating to railway transportation, which apply to the Group's business activities. However, this description is not comprehensive and is qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable Russian law.

APPLICABLE LEGISLATION

The regulation of railway transportation in Russia is primarily based on the following key laws and regulations.

- The Civil Code of the Russian Federation, as amended (the *Civil Code*):

The Civil Code regulates proprietary and certain non-proprietary relations between parties of any type, including individuals and legal entities. In particular the Civil Code establishes (i) the rules for obtaining and transferring ownership of movable and immovable property; (ii) the basic rules for concluding, amending, performing and terminating contracts; and (iii) the material terms and conditions of a number of types of contracts (including, but not limited to, forwarding contracts, transportation (carriage) agreements, service agreements, lease agreements, and loan and credit agreements).

- Federal Law "On Railway Transport in the Russian Federation" No. 17-FZ dated 10 January 2003, as amended (the *Railway Transport Law*):

The Railway Transport Law establishes the legal framework for the functioning of railway transport in relation to:

- (i) principles and aims of the state regulation of railway transport;
- (ii) regulatory requirements applicable to organisations working in the railway transport sector and to railway transport facilities;
- (iii) safety requirements; and
- (iv) other issues.

- Federal Law "Charter of Railway Transport of Russian Federation" No. 18-FZ dated 10 January 2003, as amended (the *Railway Transport Charter*):

The Railway Transport Charter regulates relations between shippers, passengers, consignors, consignees, owners of railway transport infrastructure and other participants in railway transport services, including the principal terms and conditions for transportation services, liability issues for each of the participants and certain procedures for claims and for dispute resolution.

- Federal Law "On Natural Monopolies" No 147-FZ dated 17 August 1995, as amended (the *Natural Monopolies Law*):

The Natural Monopolies Law defines the legal basis of the federal policy with respect to natural monopolies in the Russian Federation and aims at achieving a balance of interests of consumers and natural monopolies. In accordance with this law the core services provided by Russian Railways are classified as belonging to the natural monopoly sector and the prices (tariffs) charged by Russian Railways for such services are regulated by the state.

- Federal Law "On Forwarding Services" No. 87-FZ dated 30 June 2003, as amended (the *Forwarding Law*):

The Forwarding Law specifies the rules applicable to freight forwarding services. This law defines the rights and obligations of the parties to a freight forwarding contract, sets out liability triggers and provides for certain rules in relation to claims and the resolution of disputes arising out of a freight forwarding contract.

- Federal Law "On Licensing of Certain Types of Activities" No. 99-FZ dated 4 May 2011, as amended (the *Law on Licensing*):

The Law on Licensing is discussed below (see “—*Licensing*”).

- Government Regulation “On Approval of the Rules on Forwarding Services” No. 554 dated 8 September 2006, as amended (the ***Forwarding Services Rules***):

The Forwarding Services Rules set out the list of forwarding documents which constitute integral parts of contracts, the procedure for rendering forwarding services, and the requirements as to the quality of these services.

- Government Regulation “On the Programme of Structural Reform of Railway Transport” No. 384 dated 18 May 2001, as amended (the ***Programme***):

The main provisions of the Programme are described below (see “—*Structural Reform of Railway Transportation in the Russian Federation—Restructuring the railways system in the Russian Federation*”).

- Government Regulation “On State Regulation and Control of Tariffs, Fees and Duties in Relation to Works (Services) Provided by Natural Monopolies Entities in the Sphere of Railway Transportation” No 643, dated 5 August 2009 (the ***Regulation on Natural Monopolies Tariff***):

This regulation determines: (a) the aims, principles and methods of the state regulation of tariffs for the services provided by Russian Railways as a natural monopoly; (b) the rules for determination of tariffs; and (c) the supervision over the establishment and application of tariffs.

- Federal Energy Commission Regulation “On Approval of the Price List No. 10-01 “Tariffs for Freight Transportation and Infrastructure Services Provided by Russian Railways” No. 47-t/5 dated 17 June 2003, as amended (the ***Tariff 10-01***):

The main provisions of the Tariff 10-01 are described below (see “—*The Pricing Policy*”).

- Government Regulation “On Licensing of Certain Activities in Railway Transport” No. 221 dated 21 March 2012.

This regulation contains rules establishing licensing procedures with respect to railway transportation of passengers (suburban, long-distance, express and high-speed), hazardous freight, as well as with respect to loading and unloading of hazardous freight on railway transport.

- Ministry of Railway Transport Instruction “On Work Performance for the Establishment of Certification System” No. 166u dated 12 November 1996, as amended (the ***Railway Transport Certification Rules***), which approves Rules of Certification of the Federal Railway Transport of Russian Federation, Main Provisions (P SSFZT 01-96).

The main provisions of the Railway Transport Certification Rules are provided below (see “—*Certification of Cars*”).

- Government Regulation “On Approval of the Rules on Rendering the Services on Common Railway Carrier Infrastructure” No. 703 dated 20 November 2003 and the Ministry of Transport Order “On Approval of Rules for Freight Transportation in the Trains Composed of Locomotives and Cars Owned or otherwise possessed by Dispatchers, Cargo Consignees or Other Legal Entities or Individuals Which are not Railway Carriers Themselves” No. 150 dated 22 October 2007 (the ***Rules for Freight Transportation***):

The Rules for Freight Transportation regulate the procedure and terms of cargo railway transportation by trains formed of locomotives and cars which are not owned by the railway carrier and provide legal grounds for the use of the railway infrastructure by railway operators.

- The Tax Code of the Russian Federation, as amended (the ***Tax Code***):

The Tax Code regulates the taxation of legal entities and individuals in Russia.

There are a number of other orders and decrees issued by the Ministry of Transport of Russia, the Federal Agency for Railway Transport and Russian Railways and the Ministry of Railways of Russia (now dissolved, but some of

its orders remain in force) which provide detailed regulation of the relationships between the carriers, dispatches, cargo consignees and Russian Railways and set out a contractual framework for such relationships.

INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS

Russia is party to international agreements governing railway transportation. In particular, the Agreement on International Railway Cargo Communication applies in Russia, which provides for a direct railway communication for transportation of cargoes among the railways of 23 countries of Europe and Asia. In February 1993, Russia joined the Tariff Agreement of CIS Railway Administrations, as amended (the *Tariff Agreement*), which sets out a general procedure for the annual approval of maximum freight tariff levels for international transit through the CIS territory. The Tariff Agreement regulates relations between CIS railway administrations, such as Russian Railways in Russia. Only railcars directly owned by Russian Railways fall under this Tariff Agreement. Also, in July 2009 Russia joined the Convention concerning International Carriage by Rail (*COTIF*), the provisions of which apply on certain routes.

THE REGULATORY BODIES

The principal regulatory bodies

At the federal level, regulatory powers over the Russian railway industry are divided between several ministries:

- the Ministry of Transport, which is responsible for the development of governmental policy and legal and regulatory standards in the transport sector of the Russian economy;
- the Ministry of Economic Development, which approves: (i) strategic plans for social and economic development; (ii) the list and order of determination of the indexes of economical efficiency for federal state unitary enterprises and open joint-stock companies whose shares are owned by the Russian Federation, to which Russian Railways belongs; and (iii) opinions on drafts of the legal acts which regulate the relationships between business entities or their relationships with the Russian Federation and which also affect macroeconomic indicators of the Russian Federation; and
- the Ministry of Finance, which determines the state taxation policy of the Russian Federation, considers the provision of subsidies for the development of railway infrastructure and allocates subsidies to regional budgets.

However, the federal ministries in Russia do not have the authority to exercise control over management of state property or to provide state services. These matters are under the jurisdiction of federal services and agencies. The Russian railway industry is influenced by a number of federal services and agencies and primarily by:

- the Federal Agency for Railway Transport, which implements government policies in the railway transportation sector of the Russian economy, monitors legal and regulatory standards, manages state property in the railroad sector, maintains the registers of rolling stock and decides on suspension of freight transportation on certain routes;
- the Federal Transport Supervision Service, which carries out licensing and governmental supervision of railway transport;
- the FTS, which determines and implements state regulation of tariffs and regulates the pricing of natural monopolies in the Russian Federation; and
- the Federal Antimonopoly Service (the *FAS*), which performs a monitoring and supervision role over compliance by railway transport companies, including Russian Railways, with the antimonopoly legislation of the Russian Federation. In particular, the FAS monitors compliance by legal entities dominating on a particular market with the restriction on creating discriminatory access to its products and services.

The federal services and agencies listed above directly regulate and supervise the Russian railway industry. There are certain other government bodies which, together with their subdivisions, exercise general regulatory functions, in the spheres of emergency procedures, customs, taxation and others, and thereby may affect the Group's business.

At the level of the CIS, the Commonwealth Railway Transportation Council coordinates railway transport activity and provides recommendations with respect to pricing rates and technical policy within the territory of the CIS countries.

Russian Railways also performs some regulatory functions in the Russian railway industry: it issues approvals for the use of locomotives on particular routes, prohibits the transportation of certain cargo in certain types of railway cars, determines the procedure for submitting and agreeing freight carriage applications etc.

STRUCTURAL REFORM OF RAILWAY TRANSPORTATION IN THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Restructuring the railways system in the Russian Federation

The Russian railway system has been undergoing reform aimed at improving the quality of the services offered to users. The reform commenced in 2001 with the enactment of the Programme. The main objectives of the reform set out in the Programme were to:

- satisfy the growing demand of the Russian economy for transportation services, both in traffic volume and in quality;
- renew and upgrade assets;
- increase efficiency of asset utilisation;
- provide better incentives to industry employees;
- attract investments for the renovation of the railcar and locomotive fleet; and
- increase efficiency of infrastructure.

The Programme envisaged that the reform of the rail industry (the *Reform*) would comprise three stages: (i) a preparatory stage and the establishment of Russian Railways, which was completed in 2003; (ii) the set up of subsidiaries of Russian Railways and the spin-off of its competitive businesses to such subsidiaries; this stage is not yet completed; and (iii) the development of competition and the attraction of investments to the newly-developed subsidiaries. While the Programme contemplated completion of the third stage by 2010, that stage's implementation has not been completed. Further, according to the media, there is some uncertainty concerning its full implementation or the prolongation of its term.

First stage: preparation for the reform

The first stage of the Reform assumed the separation of the regulatory and the business functions of the Russian railway system, and the creation of the necessary legal framework. The Ministry for Railway Transport carried out both of these functions until the completion of the first stage in 2003, when Russian Railways was created and the number of private companies participating in the freight rail market was increased.

Second stage: corporate build-up and encouragement of competition

The second stage of the Reform involves restructuring Russian Railways with a view to separating out activities, which may become competing businesses, into newly-established subsidiaries of Russian Railways, has yet to be completed, although significant progress has been made with the creation of two freight rail operators, Freight One and Freight Two, a container transportation subsidiary, TransContainer, a long-distance passenger operator, Federal Passenger Company, and other subsidiaries such as local suburban passenger operators, those performing repairs and maintenance services, and some non-core services.

The Reform provided that the first activities to be split off at this stage would be transit, intermodal and refrigerator freight transportation services, followed by long-distance and suburban passenger transportation services, repairs and maintenance of rolling stock and passenger services. The subsidiaries operating construction, telecommunications and real estate businesses are to be divested closer to the completion stage.

The Reform also provides for the development and implementation of measures necessary to enhance the effectiveness of passenger transportation operations and to decrease cross-subsidies at the expense of freight traffic. The aim of these measures is to create a competitive environment in passenger and freight transportation and to decrease the existing cost burden on the Russian economy by reducing the growth rates of freight traffic tariffs.

The Programme aims to create conditions under which private operators can own and operate their own locomotives, and to increase the percentage of freight cars owned by private operators to 50 per cent. or more of the total number of freight cars in Russia (a goal which the Group estimates to have been achieved with the sale of a controlling stake in Freight One into private ownership). The purpose of the second stage is to create conditions to encourage free market pricing in the competitive sectors of the Russian railway industry.

Third stage: formation and development of a competitive market

The final stage of the Reform outlined in the Programme assumes the further development of competition in passenger and freight transportation. This development includes an increase in the percentage of freight cars owned by private operators to over 70 per cent. or more of the total number of freight cars in Russia, achieved primarily by way of sale of controlling stake in Freight One, and the issuance of licences to operators that can provide long-distance and suburban passenger transportation services. Furthermore, the Programme provides for the partial sale of the shares of subsidiaries of Russian Railways which operate repair facilities and other non-core businesses.

In April 2011, the Government Commission for Transport and Communications approved a step plan for, among other matters, improvement of the railfleet management system and tariff deregulation. In line with this step plan and to support competitive environment on the Russian railway operators market, in May 2012 the Federal Tariff Service amended several of its regulations. These amendments purport to unify Empty Run tariffs into a single tariff applicable to gondola (open top) railcars and flatwagons (subject to certain exemptions), irrespective of the class and nature of cargo last transported. In its press release, the FTS noted that further amendments will be put in place to unify Empty Run tariffs applicable to other types of railcars. As unification of Empty Run tariffs is likely to necessitate extensive amendments to the existing railway operators tariff and logistic policies, as well as to their contractual arrangements with customers, the relevant amendments will come into force with effect from 1 November 2012.

ROLLING STOCK OPERATORS

The Group's business includes providing rolling stock for use on the Russian railway system, which is regulated by the Railway Transport Law.

Under the Railway Transport Law, a rolling stock operator is a legal entity or an individual entrepreneur that owns or otherwise possesses railcars, and which provides its rolling stock for use on the basis of an agreement with a carrier. Rolling stock operators may also provide either their own or leased locomotives, or may rely on locomotives provided by Russian Railways. The number of private locomotive operators is currently limited because of a deficit of available locomotives on the market, a lack of a well developed traffic controlling system and insufficient skill in transportation of the significant volume of cargo in traffic.

Current Russian legislation does not clearly specify the characteristics of rolling stock operators' activities. This causes some uncertainty in determining whether a company holds the legal status of a rolling stock operator. Current legislation draws a distinction between rolling stock operators, which own cars, and carriers, which assume an obligation to move cargoes from one point to another on the railway network. Rolling stock operators are also differentiated from the owners of infrastructure such as railway tracks and railway stations. The Group is primarily classified as a rolling stock operator. Russian Railways currently owns most of the railway infrastructure and remains the leading railway carrier in Russia.

In addition to providing its rolling stock to customers, the Group facilitates the creation of transportation agreements between its customers and Russian Railways. As a monopoly carrier, Russian Railways cannot refuse to conclude carriage agreements with the clients of other rolling stock operators, such as the Group, or to prefer its own clients to those of other rolling stock operators.

CERTIFICATION OF CARS

The Railway Transport Law also requires the certification or declaration of rolling stock, which must comply with safety requirements, including health and labour safety, fire safety and environmental protection rules. The Railway Transport Certification Rules specify particular types of rolling stock which must be certified. During the term of a certificate, the rolling stock must be inspected at least once a year to ensure the compliance of certified rolling stock with the applicable legal requirements. Inspection control findings are documented in an official act of inspection. If a breach of certification rules or legal requirements is determined to have occurred, the certificate will be suspended until the certificate holder is able to cure the problem. If the problem is not cured in due course, the certificate may be revoked.

LOCOMOTIVE USE AND OPERATION ACTIVITIES

Russian legislation on the use of locomotives owned by private rolling stock operators consists of several general and special laws together with subordinate acts. This legislation is inconsistent, incomplete and ambiguous in relation to procedures for the private operators to use their own locomotives.

Private rolling stock operators may own, lease from third parties or otherwise possess locomotives. A locomotive may be used only if a conformity certificate has been issued for it under the respective certification system of federal railway transport of the Russian Federation.

In order to access the public railways infrastructure, the owner of a private (non-public) railway and the owner of railway cars and locomotives must agree with Russian Railways (as the owner of public railways) on the technological operating conditions for the private train formation. The locomotive owner must obtain a consent to use the relevant railway of Russian Railways for each trip, or series of trips, made by its private locomotive. Also, the locomotive owner must conclude agreements with Russian Railways on technical maintenance, on-going repairs and locomotive operation.

Russian Railways provides crews for locomotives and locomotive repairs. The tariffs for both services are not regulated by FTS but are set out in the Regulation of Russian Railways No. 2328r dated 30 December 2005.

In line with the Reform and with a view to enhancing the development of independent local carriers, the FTS introduced an infrastructure tariff in 2011. Notwithstanding Russian Railways has indicated that it does not support the introduction of private locomotive operators. Based on media reports, the Group understands that regulations establishing the legal framework for private locomotive operator activities, including those giving effect to the calculation of the infrastructure tariff, are likely to be adopted by 2013.

The Group is considering developing its locomotive fleet (it already has 56 locomotives) to expand the range of its services and position itself to gain a share of the independent locomotive operator market when permitted by the Reform.

ROLLING STOCK LEASING

The business activities of the Group include leasing rolling stock such as locomotives. Leasing activities in Russia are regulated by the Civil Code, which provides that rolling stock is movable property and may be leased pursuant to a lease agreement. Russian law does not require the registration of leases of rolling stock and the commercial terms and conditions of such leases are not subject to state regulation.

The Group's Estonian Subsidiaries are involved only in the leasing of railcars, which, under Estonian law, does not require any specific consents, certificates, licenses or permits. Furthermore, except for the general competition law rules on pricing, Estonia does not regulate the pricing of leasing of railcars.

FREIGHT FORWARDING SERVICES

Forwarding services are regulated by the Civil Code, the Forwarding Law, the Forwarding Services Rules and the state standards adopted by Russian governmental authorities. The Russian Forwarders Association, a non-governmental organisation, has also adopted non-binding general terms of forwarding.

Forwarding services include organising cargo transportation, facilitating carriage agreements, supporting cargo shipments and receipts and certain other services ancillary to cargo transportation. The Forwarding Law imposes liability on a forwarder for failure to perform or improper performance of its obligations. This liability is limited in most cases to the actual damage resulting from such failure to perform or improper performance. The law also provides for a one-year limitation period on claims in relation to forwarding services. Prices for forwarding services are currently not state-regulated.

LICENSING

The Law on Licensing sets the requirements for obtaining licences in respect of certain activities, including railway transportation of dangerous freight and transportation of passengers. Railway transportation of cargoes (except dangerous cargoes) is no longer subject to licensing. In the absence of appropriate legal regulation and of a practice of interaction between license holders and Russian Railways, the license holders cannot complete agreements with Russian Railways to allow access to the railway infrastructure and do not actually operate as carriers.

THE PRICING POLICY

According to the Programme, the main aim of the tariff policy for the Russian railway industry is to ensure the development of competition in the provision of railway transport service providers and to ensure non-discriminatory access to the railway infrastructure. Tariffs should ensure a reasonable profit and include projections for renovation and rehabilitation of the infrastructure. Tariffs are set annually but may be reviewed at any time at the discretion of the FTS or the Russian Ministry of Transport (in case of international transit), or as a response to a request from the Russian Ministry of Transport, a state authority or a company.

Generally, the tariffs which apply to the transportation-related services provided by Russian Railways are established by the FTS, except for international transit tariffs set by the Russian Ministry of Transport. The freight tariff structure for the Russian railway sector is divided into two main parts: (a) a general infrastructure and locomotive charge and (b) a railcar charge.

While the infrastructure and locomotive component of the tariff is set by the FTS for all market participants, including Russian Railways and private operators, the railcar component established by the FTS applies only to Russian Railways. Accordingly, private operators such as the Group are free to set their own rates of railcar charges.

The infrastructure and locomotive tariff varies depending on whether the train formation has a private locomotive or a locomotive owned by Russian Railways. In circumstances where the locomotive is owned by a private operator, the tariff imposed is less than the tariff imposed when the locomotive is owned by Russian Railways.

The tariffs charged by Russian Railways primarily depend on the distance, cargo class and type of destination, such as whether the freight is being transported from Russia abroad by rail, or whether the freight is being transported within Russia, including transportation to and from the sea ports. There are three classes of cargo, whereby Class 1 cargo attracts the lowest tariff and Class 3 cargo attracts the highest one. The cargoes transported by the Group fall mostly into Class 2 (oil products and oil) and Class 3 (metals). See “*Russian Rail Transportation Market—Pricing in the Russian railcar sector*”.

The Russian government periodically approves certain parameters for economic growth, including a yearly tariff adjustment for the services of natural monopolies such as Russian Railways. The formation of Freight One and Freight Two have improved the competitive environment in the railway transportation sector and has led to the liberalisation and to subsequent privatisation of price setting. Neither Freight One nor Freight Two are subject to FTS regulation of railcar charges. As a result, the tariffs charged for railway transportation are now more aligned to market rates and, on their own, do not serve as benchmarks for price competition.

DESCRIPTION OF SHARE CAPITAL AND APPLICABLE CYPRIOT LAW

Set forth below is a description of the Company's Share Capital, the material provisions of the Company's memorandum and articles of association in effect on the date of this Prospectus and certain requirements of Cypriot legislation. Holders of GDRs will be able to exercise their rights with respect to the Ordinary Shares underlying the GDRs only in accordance with the provisions of the Deposit Agreement and the Deed Poll (see "*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*") and the relevant requirements of Cypriot law.

PURPOSE

The Company's purpose includes, among other things, the carrying on of investments and trade. The Company's objects are set forth in full in Clause 3 of its Memorandum of Association.

SHARE CAPITAL

The Company was incorporated as Globaltrans Investment Limited, a private company limited by shares on 20 May 2004 with an authorised share capital of USD 5,000,000 divided into 5,000,000 ordinary shares of USD 1 each out of which all 5,000,000 ordinary shares were allotted fully paid; 1,500,000 ordinary shares were allotted to EIL and 3,500,000 ordinary shares were allotted to Leverret Holding Limited (*LHL*). On 11 November 2004, LHL transferred its entire shareholding to TIHL. On 23 May 2005, the authorised share capital of the Company was increased to USD 10,000,000 by the creation of 5,000,000 ordinary shares of USD 1 each. On 31 May 2005, 3,500,000 ordinary shares were issued fully paid and transferred to TIHL and 1,500,000 ordinary shares were issued fully paid to EIL.

On 19 March 2008, by way of a written resolution of the shareholders of the Company, the authorised share capital of the Company was subdivided into 100,000,000 ordinary shares of USD 0.10 each and, on the same day the authorised share capital of the Company was increased to USD 11,695,906.40 divided into 116,959,064 ordinary shares of USD 0.10.

On 7 May 2008, in connection with the admission to the official list maintained by the FSA and to the regulated main market of the London Stock Exchange of global depositary receipts to be issued from time to time against the deposit of Ordinary Shares with the depositary, the Company issued 16,959,064 shares to BNY (Nominees) Limited.

On 23 November 2009, the Company increased its authorised share capital from USD 11,695,906.40 divided into 116,959,064 ordinary shares with a par value of USD 0.10 per share to USD 23,391,812.80 divided into 233,918,128 ordinary shares with a par value of USD 0.10 per share.

On 4 May 2012, the Company resolved that the pre-emption rights of existing members of the Company in relation to the 75,782,595 ordinary shares in the Company valued at USD 0.10 each be disapplied in accordance with Article 16 of the Articles of Association, and Section 60B.(5) of the Companies Law, CAP.113 (as amended), for a period of twelve months from the date of the resolution, and that those shares be available to be allotted to such persons as the board of directors of the Company may choose from time to time, in order to give effect to the Company's investment programme and for general corporate and working capital purposes, and for such consideration as the board of directors of the Company may choose.

The Ordinary Shares are in registered form.

As at the date of this Prospectus, the Company's issued share capital consisted of 178,740,916 Ordinary Shares, which are fully paid. The Company's authorised share capital consists of 233,918,128 Ordinary Shares. The Company does not have in issue any listed or unlisted securities not representing its share capital.

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries (nor any party on its behalf) holds any of its Ordinary Shares, except that as at the date of this Prospectus, the Company holds 3,637,117 Ordinary Shares in treasury which were purchased from EIL following a special shareholders' resolution passed on 20 December 2011.

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries has any outstanding convertible securities, exchangeable securities or securities with warrants or any relevant acquisition rights or obligations over the Company's or either of the subsidiaries' authorised but unissued capital or undertakings to increase its issued share capital.

The Company's articles of association and the Companies Law, Cap 113 (as amended), to the extent not disapplied by shareholders' resolution, confer on shareholders certain rights of pre-emption in respect of the allotment of equity securities which are, or are to be, paid up in cash and, will apply to the Company's authorised

but unissued share capital upon expiration of the said twelve-months' disapplication period, unless the Company resolves otherwise. Subject to certain limited exceptions, unless the approval of the Company's shareholders in a general meeting is obtained, the Company must offer shares to be issued for cash to holders of shares on a pro rata basis. None of the Company's shares are currently in issue with a fixed date on which entitlement to a dividend arises and there are no arrangements in force whereby future dividends are waived or agreed to be waived.

ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

In this section *Law* means the Companies Law, Cap. 113 of Cyprus and any successor statute or as the same may from time to time be amended. The Company's current articles of association were adopted on 19 March 2008, with additional amendments made by a shareholders' resolution dated 20 December 2011.

The following is a brief summary of certain material provisions of the Company's articles of association as will be in effect on and immediately prior to the Closing Date.

Rights attaching to Ordinary Shares

All Ordinary Shares have the same rights attaching to them, a summary of which is set forth below.

Issue of shares

As a matter of Law the Ordinary Shares shall be at the disposal of the directors who, upon complying with the provisions of the articles of association and Sections 60A and 60B of the Law, may allot or otherwise dispose of any unissued shares in the appropriate manner as regards the persons, the time and, in general, the terms and conditions as the directors may decide, provided that no share shall be issued at a discount.

Pre-emption rights

Unless otherwise determined by resolution approved at a general meeting of the Company in accordance with the provisions of Section 60B of the Law, all new shares and/or other securities which are convertible into shares in the Company that are to be issued for cash, shall be offered to the existing shareholders of the Company on a pro-rata basis to the participation of each shareholder in the capital of the Company. Any such offer shall be made upon written notice to all the shareholders specifying the number of the shares and/or other securities convertible into shares in the Company, which the shareholder is entitled to acquire and the time periods (which must not be less than fourteen days from the dispatch of the written notice) within which the offer, if not accepted, shall be deemed to have been rejected. If, until the expiry of the said time period, no notification is received from the person to whom the offer is addressed that such person accepts the offered shares or other securities which are convertible into shares of the Company, the directors may dispose of them in any manner as they deem more advantageous for the Company.

Voting rights

Subject to any special rights or restrictions as to voting attached to shares (of which there are none at present), every holder of shares who is present in person or by proxy or (if a corporation) by a representative not himself being a member, shall have one vote and on a poll every holder who is present (if a natural person) in person or by proxy shall have one vote for each share held by him or her. A corporate member may, by resolution of its directors or other governing body, authorise a person to act as its representative at general meetings and that person may exercise the same powers as the corporate shareholder could exercise if it were an individual member.

No shareholder shall be entitled to vote at any general meeting unless all calls or other sums presently owed by him in respect of his shares in the Company have been paid.

Dividend and distribution rights

The Company may in a general meeting of shareholders declare dividends, but no dividend shall exceed the amount recommended by the directors. The directors may from time to time and subject to the provisions of Section 169C of the Law pay to shareholders such interim dividends as appear to the directors to be justified by the Company's profits but no dividend will be paid otherwise than out of profits.

The directors may set aside out of the Company's profits such sums as they think proper as a reserve or reserves which shall, at the discretion of the directors, be applicable for any purpose to which the Company's profits may properly be applied, and pending such application may, at the directors' discretion, either be employed in the Company's business or be invested in such investments (other than the Company's shares) as the directors may

from time to time think fit. The directors may also, without placing the same in the reserve, carry forward to the next year any profits which they may think prudent not to distribute.

Variation of rights

If at any time the share capital is divided into different classes of shares, the rights attached to any class may, subject to the provisions of Sections 59A and 70 of the Law, whether or not the Company is being wound up, be amended or abolished with the sanction of a resolution approved in accordance with the provisions of Section 59A of the Law at a separate general meeting of the holders of the shares of the class. The decision shall be taken by a two-thirds majority of the votes, unless at least half of the issued capital is represented, in which case a simple majority shall be sufficient.

Alteration of capital

The Company may by resolution taken in accordance with the provisions of Section 59A of the Law:

- (a) increase its share capital by such sum, to be divided into shares of such amount, as the resolution shall prescribe;
- (b) consolidate and divide all or any of its share capital into shares of larger amounts than its existing shares;
- (c) subdivide its existing shares, or any of them, into shares of a smaller amount than is fixed by the memorandum of association subject, nevertheless, to the provisions of Section 60(1)(d) of the Law; and
- (d) cancel any shares which, at the date of the passing of the resolution, have not been taken nor agreed to be taken by any person.

The Company may also, by special resolution, reduce its share capital, any capital redemption reserve fund or any share premium account in any manner and subject to any terms required by the Law (including court approval).

Redemption of shares

Subject to the provisions of Section 57 of the Law, any preference shares may, with the sanction of an ordinary resolution, be issued on the condition that they are, or at the option of the Company are liable to be, redeemed on such terms and in such manner as the Company, prior to the issue of such shares, may by special resolution determine.

Winding up

If the Company shall be wound up, the liquidator, may, with the sanction of an extraordinary resolution of the Company's shareholders, and any other sanction required by the Law:

- (a) divide among the shareholders in kind or in specie all or part of the assets of the Company (whether they shall consist of property of the same kind or not) and may, for such purpose, set such value as the liquidator deems fair upon any property to be divided as aforesaid and may determine how such division shall be carried out as between the shareholders or different classes of shareholders; and
- (b) vest the whole or any part of such assets in trustees upon such trusts for the benefit of the contributories as the liquidator shall think fit, but so that no shareholder shall be compelled to accept any shares or other securities whereon there is any liability.

Form and transfer of shares

The instrument of transfer of any share shall be executed by or on behalf of the transferor and the transferee, and the transferor shall be deemed to be the holder of the share until the name of the transferee is entered into the register of members in relation to such share.

Directors

Number of directors

The minimum number of directors shall be two and there shall be no maximum. The Company may, from time to time, by ordinary resolution of the shareholders, increase or reduce the number of directors, provided that such number shall not be smaller than the minimum number of directors as provided in the articles of association.

Board of Directors

The quorum necessary for the transaction of the business of the directors shall be at least half of all the directors of the Company, including (according to the terms of reference of the board of directors) at least one independent non-executive director. Additionally, a resolution in writing, signed and approved by letter, telegram, telefax, electronic mail or by any other means of transmission of written documents by all the directors shall be as valid and effective for all purposes as if the same had been passed at a meeting of the directors duly convened and held.

The simultaneous connection through telephone or other means of communication of a number of directors constituting a quorum, even if one or more of these directors are outside Cyprus, shall be deemed to constitute a meeting of the directors, provided that (i) all the directors shall be entitled to receive notice of a meeting by means of a telephone or other means of communication and to be connected by telephone or other such means of communication for the purposes of such meeting and (ii) each director participating at the meeting must be able to hear each one of the other directors participating at the meeting. The Company's terms of reference of the board of directors provide that notice of a meeting be provided to directors at least seven days in advance, and that the supporting materials and agenda be provided at least five days in advance of a proposed meeting of the board.

Questions arising at any meeting of the Board of Directors shall be decided by a majority of votes. In the case of equality of votes, the chairman shall not have a second or casting vote. A director may, and the secretary on the requisition of a director shall, at any time, summon a meeting of the directors.

The directors may resolve to form committees with power to review and consider, and supervise over the matters delegated to the relevant committee (including without limitation the accounts, financial controls and governance controls and matters within the competency of the audit, nomination and remuneration committees) and to provide advice to the Board and/or shareholders in relation to such matters (but not any of the other powers of the Board).

Appointment of directors

No person may be elected as a director at any general meeting unless proposed by the directors, or unless a written notice, signed by a shareholder who is entitled to attend and vote at the said meeting of the Company is delivered to the registered office of the Company, stating his or her intention to propose the said person for election, along with a written notice signed by the said person, stating his readiness to be elected, at least three and no more than twenty-one days before the date fixed for the meeting.

The Company may by ordinary resolution of the shareholders, of which special notice has been given in accordance with Section 136 of the Law, remove any director before the expiration of his period of office notwithstanding anything in the articles of association or in any agreement between the Company and such director. Such removal shall be without prejudice to any claim such director may have for damages for breach of any contract of service between him and the Company.

The shareholders of the Company may, at any time and from time to time appoint by ordinary resolution any person as director either to fill a causal vacancy or as an additional director and specify the period during which the said person shall hold this position.

The office of director shall be vacated if the director:

- (a) becomes bankrupt or makes any arrangement or composition with his creditors generally; or
- (b) becomes prohibited from being a director by reason of any court order made under Section 180 (disqualification from holding the position of director on the basis of fraudulent or other conduct) of the Law; or
- (c) becomes of unsound mind; or

- (d) resigns his office by notice in writing to the Company; or
- (e) shall have been absent, for reasons which are not related to the business of the Company, for more than six months, from at least three consecutive meetings of the board of directors which were duly convened and held, without the permission of the board.

Directors' interests

A director who is in any way directly or indirectly interested in a contract or proposed contract with the Company shall declare the nature of his interest at a meeting of the directors in accordance with Section 191 of the Law. Directors who have an interest in any contract, agreement or settlement proposed to be concluded between the Company and a third party may attend the meeting at which the matter is discussed but shall not have the right to vote (and if he does vote, his vote shall not be counted and he shall not be counted in the quorum at the meeting), but none of these restrictions shall apply in relation to:

- (a) any arrangement for the provision to any director, of any security or guarantee in relation to money which he paid or obligations which he undertook in favour of the Company; or
- (b) any arrangement for the provision by the Company of any security to third parties in relation to a liability or obligation of the Company for which the director himself assumed responsibility whether wholly or in part pursuant to any guarantee or by the deposit of any security; or
- (c) any contract for the countersignature or subscription by any director in relation to shares or debentures of the Company; or
- (d) any contract or arrangement with any other company in which he is interested only as officer of the Company or as holder of shares or other securities.

The above-mentioned restrictions may at any time be suspended or varied to any extent, only by the Company in general meeting.

A director who to his knowledge is in any way, whether directly or indirectly, interested in a contract with the Company shall declare the nature of his interest at the meeting of the directors at which the question of entering into the contract is first taken into consideration, if he knows his interest then exists, or in any other case at the first meeting of the directors after he knows that he is or has become so interested.

The directors may hold any other office or profit making position in the Company along with the office of director (other than the office of an independent auditor) for such period and on such terms (as to remuneration and other matters) as the directors may determine; and no director or prospective director shall be disqualified on the grounds of holding such office, from contracting with the Company whether with regard to his tenure or any such other office or place of profit or as a vendor, purchaser or otherwise; nor shall any such contract, or any contract or settlement concluded by or on behalf of the Company in which any director has, in any way, interest, be liable to be avoided; nor shall any director so contracting or having such an interest be liable to account to the Company for any profit realised by any such contract or settlement by reason of such director holding that office or of the fiduciary relationship thereby established.

The directors may act either personally or in a professional capacity for the Company, and the director or his firm shall be entitled to remuneration for professional services as if he were not a director; provided that a director or his firm shall not act as auditor to the Company.

The remuneration of the directors shall be determined from time to time by the shareholders of the Company in a general meeting. In addition to and independently of such remuneration, any managing directors shall receive such remuneration as the directors may determine from time to time. The directors may also be paid all travelling, hotel and other expenses properly incurred by them in attending and returning from meetings of the directors or in connection with the business of the Company.

A director need not be a registered holder of shares in the Company to be a director. On 20 August 2008, the Company adopted the Code of Practice on Dealings in Securities which regulates insider dealings in GDRs. This code requires that when directors intend to purchase GDRs in the Company, they must first seek clearance from the chairman (or the director appointed by the board for this purpose). The chairman or the chief executive officer of the Company must seek such clearance from the board. Dr. Johann Franz Durrer obtained such clearance and acquired 100, 000 GDRs, all of which are currently held by him.

Directors' powers

The business of the Company shall be managed by the directors, who may exercise all such powers of the Company as are not, by the Law or by the articles of association, required to be exercised by the shareholders in general meeting, subject nevertheless to any provisions of the articles of association, of the Law and of any regulations (which are not in conflict with the articles of association or the provisions of the Law) as may be prescribed by the Company in general meeting; but no regulation made by the Company in general meeting shall invalidate any prior act of the directors which would have been valid if that regulation had not been made.

Meetings of shareholders

The first annual general meeting must be held within 18 months of incorporation, and thereafter not more than 15 months shall elapse between the date of one annual general meeting and the next.

The directors may, whenever they think fit, decide by a majority vote to convene an extraordinary general meeting. Extraordinary general meetings shall also be convened on requisition or, in default, they may be convened by such requisitionists as provided by Section 126 of the Law, i.e. shareholders holding at least 10 per cent. of the issued share capital of the Company. If at any time there are not, within Cyprus, sufficient directors capable of forming a quorum, any director or any two shareholders may convene an extraordinary general meeting in the same manner or as approximately as possible as such meetings would be convened by the directors.

The annual general meeting and a meeting called for the passing of a special resolution shall be called by at least twenty-one days' written notice. The Company's other meetings shall be called by fourteen days' written notice at least, provided the requirements of section 127 of the Law are complied with. In case of special business, the notice shall specify the general nature of that business.

A notice convening a general meeting must be sent to each of the shareholders, provided that the accidental failure to give notice of a meeting to, or the non-receipt of notice of a meeting by any person entitled to receive notice, shall not invalidate the proceedings at that meeting to which such notice refers. All shareholders are entitled to attend the general meeting or be represented by a proxy authorised in writing. Subject to any rights or restrictions for the time being attached to any class or classes of shares, on a show of hands, every member present (if a natural person) in person or by proxy or (if a corporation) by a representative not himself being a member, shall have one vote, and on a poll, every member shall have one vote for each share of which he is the holder (which may be given personally or by proxy).

The quorum for a general meeting will consist of at least one shareholder, representing at least 50 per cent. of the issued share capital of the Company, present in person or by proxy. If within half an hour from the time appointed for the meeting a quorum is not formed, the meeting, if convened upon the requisition of members, shall be dissolved. In any other case, it shall stand adjourned on the same day the following week, at the same time and place or on such other day and at such other time and place as the directors may determine and specify and if at the adjourned meeting a quorum is not formed within half an hour from the time appointed for the meeting, members present shall form a quorum.

Subject to the provisions of the Law, a resolution in writing which bears the signature or has been passed by letter, facsimile, electronic mail, telegram or other means of transmission of written documents by each shareholder, who has the right to receive notice of the holding of general meetings, attend and vote (or in the case of legal persons the signature of their authorised representatives), is valid and has the same legal effect as if the resolution had been passed at a meeting of the Company duly convened and held.

CYPRriot LAW

General

The principal legislation under which the shares have been created and under which the Company was formed and now operate is the Cyprus Companies Law. The liability of shareholders is limited. Under the Cyprus Companies Law, Cap 113 (as amended), a shareholder of a company is not personally liable for the acts of the company, save that a shareholder may become personally liable by reason of his or her own acts.

According to Cyprus law, whenever shares and/or other securities which are convertible into shares will be issued by a public company in exchange for a cash consideration, the shareholders have pre-emption rights with respect to such issuance of shares. These pre-emption rights may be disapplied by a resolution of the general meeting which is passed by a two thirds majority if less than half of all the votes are represented at the meeting and by an ordinary resolution if at least half of all the votes are represented at the meeting. The directors have an

obligation to present to the relevant general meeting a written report which explains the reasons for the disapplication of the pre-emption rights and justifies the proposed allotment price of the shares.

Takeover bids

As a company with its registered office in Cyprus whose securities represented by GDRs are listed on a regulated market in the United Kingdom, any offer for such GDRs will be subject to the provisions of the United Kingdom City Code on Takeovers and Mergers (the *City Code*) in respect of consideration, disclosure requirements and procedural matters applicable to the offer, while Cypriot law would apply to such an offer in relation to company law matters, including the threshold for a mandatory bid.

Pursuant to Article 5(1) of Directive 2004/25/EC of the Parliament and Council of the European Union dated 21 April 2004 on takeover bids (the *Takeover Directive*), all member states of the European Union are required to introduce legislation requiring any person who, together with those acting in concert with him, acquires “control” of a company having its registered office in that member state, to make a mandatory offer to all holders of securities of the company. Pursuant to the Takeover Directive, the percentage of voting rights conferring “control” is to be determined by the rules of the member state in which the company has its registered office. Currently applicable Cyprus law contains provisions relating to mandatory offers requiring any person who acquires shares in a company to which such law applies, which together with the shares already held by him and by persons acting in concert with him, carry 30 per cent. or more of such company’s voting rights, to make a general offer for that company’s entire issued share capital. However, these provisions are expressed to apply only to companies listed on a regulated market in Cyprus. Accordingly, notwithstanding the requirements of the Takeover Directive, it appears there would currently be no requirement for any person acquiring control of the Company to make an offer to acquire the GDRs or Ordinary Shares held by other holders. Nonetheless, the Cyprus Securities and Exchange Commission (the *CySEC*) may take the view that the relevant provisions of the Cypriot legislation implementing the Takeover Directive, which is the Public Take Over Bids Law, law no. 41(I)/2007 as amended (the *Cyprus Takeover Law*), will apply to a Cypriot company listed on a regulated market in other EU member states.

Section 13 of the Cyprus Takeover Law provides that, where a person, as a result of acquisition by himself or by persons acting in concert with him, holds securities of a company which, added to any existing holdings by him or by persons acting in concert with him, directly or indirectly give him 30 per cent. or more of the existing voting rights in such company at the date of the acquisition, such a person is under an obligation to immediately make a bid to all holders of such securities for their entire holding at a fair price. Such mandatory bid obligation will apply in each of the following set of circumstances, which is a non-exhaustive list:

- (i) where such person does not hold any securities in such company at all or holds securities representing less than 30 per cent. of the voting rights and the acquisition gives such person 30 per cent. or more of the voting rights in such company; or
- (ii) where such person already has a holding of 30 per cent. or more, but less than 50 per cent. of such company’s voting rights, and proposes to increase his holding.

Section 14 of the Cyprus Takeover Law provides that, where a person already holds more than 50 per cent. of the voting rights in a company, further acquisition of securities in such company does not trigger an obligation for a mandatory bid provided the CySEC has granted an exemption (which may be granted only if such acquisition does not affect the rights of minority holders).

Under section 36 of the Cyprus Takeover Law, where the offeror has made a bid to all the holders of such securities for their entire holding, the offeror has the right to require the holders of any remaining securities to sell such securities to him in any of the following situations:

- (i) where the offeror holds securities representing at least 90 per cent. of all securities carrying voting rights and at least 90% of the voting rights in the company; or
- (ii) where the offeror holds or has irrevocably agreed to acquire, following the acceptance of a takeover bid, securities in the company representing at least 90 per cent. of all securities carrying voting rights and at least 90 per cent. of the voting rights included in the takeover bid.

The offeror may exercise such right within three months from the end of the period allowed for acceptance of the bid, by submitting an application to the CySEC, in which the consideration for the securities must be specified. The consideration must take the same form as and be at least equal to the consideration offered in the bid. A cash alternative is permitted, if accepted by the recipient.

Furthermore, section 37 of the Cyprus Takeover Law provides that a holder of any remaining securities in any of the two situations described above has the right to require the offeror to buy his securities at a fair price, being at least equal to and taking the same form as the consideration offered in the bid, including a cash alternative if acceptable to the recipient, provided that such right is exercised within three months from the end of the period allowed for acceptance of the bid.

The Cyprus Companies Law also contains provisions in respect of squeeze out and sell out rights. The effect of these provisions is that, where a company (the “offeror company”) makes a take-over bid for all the shares or for the whole of any class of shares of a Cypriot company, and the offer is accepted within four months after the making of the offer by the holders of not less than 90 per cent. in value of the shares concerned (other than shares already held by or on behalf of the offeror company), the offeror company can, within two months from the expiration of the said four months, upon the same terms acquire the shares of shareholders who have not accepted the offer, unless such persons can, within one month from the date on which the notice was given, persuade the court not to permit the acquisition. If the offeror company already holds more than 10 per cent. in value of the shares concerned, additional requirements need to be met before the minority can be squeezed out. If the offeror company acquires sufficient shares to aggregate, together with those already held by it or on its behalf, 90 per cent. or more in value of the shares concerned then, within one month of the date of the transfer which gives the 90 per cent., it must give notice of the fact to the remaining shareholders and such shareholders may, within three months of the notice, require the offeror company to acquire their shares and the offeror company shall be bound to do so upon the same terms as in the offer or as may be agreed between them or upon such terms as the court may order.

There have been no public takeover bids by third parties for all or any part of the Company’s equity share capital since its date of incorporation.

Disclosure obligations

GDR holders may be subject to the following disclosure requirements under the law on Transparency Requirements (Securities Traded on a Regulated Market), Law No.190(I)/2007 (the *Cyprus Transparency Law*) in circumstances which relate to the acquisition or disposal of voting rights.

Pursuant to such requirements, a GDR holder would have to notify the CySEC and the Company each time the percentage of Company voting rights held by such holder reaches or falls below the thresholds of 5 per cent., 10 per cent., 15 per cent., 20 per cent., 25 per cent., 30 per cent., 50 per cent. or 75 per cent. of the Company’s total voting rights, as a result of an acquisition or disposal of GDRs.

When calculating the percentage of voting rights held by the holder, voting rights which such holder is entitled to acquire, dispose of or exercise in the following circumstances must also be taken into account:

- voting rights held by a third person with whom the holder has entered into an agreement obliging the parties to adopt, through the co-ordinated exercise of their voting rights, a lasting common policy as to the management of the Company;
- voting rights held by a third person with whom the holder has entered into an agreement, which provides for the temporary transfer, for consideration, of the exercise of those voting rights;
- voting rights attaching to shares which have been deposited with the holder as security, provided the holder controls the voting rights and has declared its intention to exercise them;
- voting rights attaching to shares of which the holder is beneficial owner for life;
- voting rights which are held or can be exercised in the manner described under the previous four bullet points, by an enterprise “controlled” by the holder;
- voting rights attaching to shares that have been deposited with the holder and which the holder can exercise at its discretion, in the absence of specific instructions by their holder;
- voting rights held by a third person in his own name but for the account of the holder; and
- voting rights, which the holder is entitled to exercise at its discretion, as an attorney for the registered holder, in the absence of specific instructions from the registered holder.

In this context, an enterprise “controlled” by the holder is an enterprise in which the holder:

- has the majority of voting rights; or
- has the “right to appoint or dismiss” the majority of the members of the enterprise’s administrative, managerial or supervisory organ and is simultaneously a shareholder or a partner in the enterprise; or
- is a shareholder or partner and controls by itself, pursuant to an agreement entered into with the other shareholders or partners of the enterprise, the majority of the voting rights of the shareholders or partners; or
- has power to exercise or de facto exercises dominant influence or control.

The holder will be deemed to have the “right to appoint or dismiss” where such a right belongs to:

- an enterprise controlled by the holder; or
- a person acting in its own name but for the account of the holder or an enterprise controlled by the holder.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE GLOBAL DEPOSITARY RECEIPTS

The following terms and conditions (subject to completion and amendment and excepting sentences in italics) will apply to the Global Depositary Receipts, and will be endorsed on each Global Depositary Receipt certificate:

The Global Depositary Receipts (**GDRs**) represented by this certificate are each issued in respect of one Ordinary Share of nominal value USD 0.10 (the **Shares**) in Globaltrans Investment PLC (the **Company**) pursuant to and subject to an agreement dated 7 May 2008, and made between the Company and The Bank of New York Mellon in its capacity as depositary (the **Depositary**) for the “Regulation S Facility” and for the “Rule 144A Facility” (such agreement, as amended from time to time, being hereinafter referred to as the **Deposit Agreement**). Pursuant to the provisions of the Deposit Agreement, the Depositary has appointed BNY (Nominees) Limited, as Custodian to receive and hold on its behalf any relevant documentation respecting certain Shares (the **Deposited Shares**) and all rights, interests and other securities, property and cash deposited with the Custodian which are attributable to the Deposited Shares (together with the Deposited Shares, the **Deposited Property**). The Depositary shall hold Deposited Property for the benefit of the Holders (as defined below) as bare trustee in proportion to their holdings of GDRs. In these terms and conditions (the **Conditions**), references to the “Depositary” are to The Bank of New York Mellon and/or any other depositary which may from time to time be appointed under the Deposit Agreement, references to the “Custodian” are to BNY (Nominees) Limited, or any other custodian from time to time appointed under the Deposit Agreement and references to the “Main Office” mean, in relation to the relevant Custodian, its head office in the city of London or such other location of the head office of the Custodian in the United Kingdom as may be designated by the Custodian with the approval of the Depositary (if outside the city of London) or the head office of any other custodian from time to time appointed under the Deposit Agreement.

The GDRs will upon issue be represented by interests in a Regulation S Master GDR, evidencing Regulation S GDRs, and by interests in a Rule 144A Master GDR, evidencing Rule 144A GDRs (as each such term is defined in the Deposit Agreement). The GDRs are exchangeable in the circumstances set out in “Summary of Provisions Relating to the Global Depositary Receipts While in Master Form” for a certificate in definitive registered form in respect of GDRs representing all or part of the interest of the holder in the Master GDR.

References in these Conditions to the **Holder** of any GDR shall mean the person or persons registered on the books of the Depositary maintained for such purpose (the **Register**) as holder. These Conditions include summaries of, and are subject to, the detailed provisions of the Deposit Agreement, which includes the forms of the certificates in respect of the GDRs. Copies of the Deposit Agreement are available for inspection at the specified office of the Depositary and each Agent (as defined in Condition 17) and at the Main Office of the Custodian. Terms used in these Conditions and not defined herein but which are defined in the Deposit Agreement have the meanings ascribed to them in the Deposit Agreement. **Holders of GDRs are not party to the Deposit Agreement and thus, under English Law, have no contractual rights against, or obligations to, the Company or Depositary. However, the Deed Poll executed by the Company in favour of the Holders provides that, if the Company fails to perform the obligations imposed on it by certain specified provisions of the Deposit Agreement, any Holder may enforce the relevant provisions of the Deposit Agreement as if it were a party to the Deposit Agreement and was the Depositary in respect of that number of Deposited Shares to which the GDRs of which he is the Holder relate. The Depositary is under no duty to enforce any of the provisions of the Deposit Agreement on behalf of any Holder of a GDR or any other person.**

1. Withdrawal of Deposited Property and Further Issues of GDRs
 - 1.1 Any Holder may request withdrawal of, and the Depositary shall thereupon relinquish, the Deposited Property attributable to any GDR upon production of such evidence of the entitlement of the Holder to the relative GDR as the Depositary may reasonably require, at the specified office of the Depositary or any Agent accompanied by:
 - (i) a duly executed order (in a form approved by the Depositary) requesting the Depositary to cause the Deposited Property being withdrawn to be delivered at the Main Office of the Custodian, or (at the request, risk and expense of the Holder, and only if permitted by applicable law from time to time) at the specified office located in New York, London or Cyprus of the Depositary or any Agent, or to the order in writing of, the person or persons designated in such order;
 - (ii) the payment of such fees, taxes, duties, charges and expenses as may be required under these Conditions or the Deposit Agreement;

- (iii) the surrender (if appropriate) of GDR certificates in definitive registered form properly endorsed in blank or accompanied by proper instruments of transfer satisfactory to the Depository to which the Deposited Property being withdrawn is attributable; and
- (iv) the delivery to the Depository of a duly executed and completed certificate substantially in the form set out in Schedule 4, Part B, to the Deposit Agreement (or as amended by the Depository in accordance with Clause 3.10 of the Deposit Agreement and Condition 1.8), if Deposited Property is to be withdrawn or delivered in respect of surrendered Rule 144A GDRs.

1.2 Upon production of such documentation and the making of such payment as aforesaid for withdrawal of the Deposited Property in accordance with Condition 1.1, the Depository will direct the Custodian, by tested telex, facsimile or SWIFT message, within a reasonable time after receiving such direction from such Holder, to deliver at its Main Office to, or to the order in writing of, the person or persons designated in the accompanying order:

- (i) a certificate (if any) for, or other appropriate instrument of title (if any) to or evidence of a book-entry transfer in respect of the relevant Deposited Shares, registered in the name of the Depository or its nominee and accompanied by such instruments of transfer in blank or to the person or persons specified in the order for withdrawal and such other documents, if any, as are required by law for the transfer thereof; and
- (ii) all other property forming part of the Deposited Property attributable to such GDR, accompanied, if required by law, by one or more duly executed endorsements or instruments of transfer in respect thereof; provided however that the Depository may make delivery at its specified office in New York of any Deposited Property which is in the form of cash;

PROVIDED THAT the Depository (at the request, risk and expense of any Holder so surrendering a GDR):

- (a) will direct the Custodian to deliver the certificates for, or other instruments of title to, or book-entry transfer in respect of, the relevant Deposited Shares and any document relative thereto and any other documents referred to in sub-paragraphs 1.2(i) and (ii) of this Condition (together with any other property forming part of the Deposited Property which may be held by the Custodian or its agent and is attributable to such Deposited Shares); and/or
- (b) will deliver any other property forming part of the Deposited Property which may be held by the Depository and is attributable to such GDR (accompanied, if required by law, by one or more duly executed endorsements or instruments of transfer in respect thereof);

in each case to the specified office located in New York or London of the Depository (if permitted by applicable law from time to time) or at the specified office in Cyprus of any Agent as designated by the surrendering Holder in the order accompanying such GDR.

1.3 Delivery by the Depository, any Agent and the Custodian of all certificates, instruments, dividends or other property forming part of the Deposited Property as specified in this Condition will be made subject to any laws or regulations applicable thereto.

1.4 The Depository may, in accordance with the terms of the Deposit Agreement and upon delivery of a duly executed order (in a form reasonably approved by the Depository) and a duly executed certificate substantially in the form of (a) Schedule 3 of the Deposit Agreement (*which is described in the following paragraph*) (or as amended by the Depository in accordance with Clause 3.10 of the Deposit Agreement and Condition 1.8) by or on behalf of any investor who is to become the beneficial owner of the Regulation S GDRs or (b) Schedule 4, Part A of the Deposit Agreement (*which is described in the second following paragraph*) (or as amended by the Depository in accordance with Clause 3.10 of the Deposit Agreement and Condition 1.8) by or on behalf of any investor who is to become the beneficial owner of Rule 144A GDRs from time to time execute and deliver further GDRs having the same terms and conditions as the GDRs which are then outstanding in all respects (or the same in all respects except for the first dividend payment on the Shares corresponding to such further GDRs) and, subject to the terms of the Deposit Agreement, the Depository shall accept for deposit any further Shares in connection therewith, so that such further GDRs shall form a single series with the already outstanding GDRs. References in these Conditions to the GDRs include (unless the context requires otherwise) any further GDRs issued pursuant to this Condition and forming a single series with the already outstanding GDRs.

The certificate to be provided in the form of Schedule 3 of the Deposit Agreement certifies, among other things, that the person providing such certificate is located outside the United States (as defined in Regulation S under the Securities Act) and will comply with the restrictions on transfer set forth under “Selling and Transfer Restrictions—Transfer Restrictions”.

The certificate to be provided in the form of Schedule 4, Part A, of the Deposit Agreement certifies, among other things that the person providing such certificate is a QIB or is acting for the account of another person and such person is a QIB and, in either case, will comply with the restrictions on transfer set forth under “Selling and Transfer Restrictions—Transfer Restrictions”.

- 1.5 Any further GDRs issued pursuant to Condition 1.4 which (i) represent Shares which have rights (whether dividend rights or otherwise) which are different from the rights attaching to the Shares represented by the outstanding GDRs, or (ii) are otherwise not fungible (or are to be treated as not fungible) with the outstanding GDRs, will be represented by a separate temporary Master Regulation S GDR and/or temporary Master Rule 144A GDR. Upon becoming fungible with outstanding GDRs, such further GDRs shall be evidenced by a Master Regulation S GDR and/or a Master Rule 144A GDR (by increasing the total number of GDRs evidenced by the relevant Master Regulation S GDR or Master Rule 144A GDR by the number of such further GDRs, as applicable).
- 1.6 The Depositary may issue GDRs against rights to receive Shares from the Company (or any agent of the Company recording Share ownership). No such issue of GDRs will be deemed a “Pre-Release” as defined in Condition 1.7.
- 1.7 Unless requested in writing by the Company to cease doing so, and notwithstanding the provisions of Condition 1.4, the Depositary may execute and deliver GDRs or issue interests in a Master Regulation S GDR or a Master Rule 144A GDR, as the case may be, prior to the receipt of Shares (a **Pre-Release**). The Depositary may, pursuant to Condition 1.1, deliver Shares upon the receipt and cancellation of GDRs, which have been Pre-Released, whether or not such cancellation is prior to the termination of such Pre-Release or the Depositary knows that such GDR has been Pre-Released. The Depositary may receive GDRs in lieu of Shares in satisfaction of a Pre-Release. Each Pre-Release will be (a) preceded or accompanied by a written representation from the person to whom GDRs or Deposited Property is to be delivered (the **Pre-Releasee**) that such person, or its customer, (i) owns or represents the owner of the corresponding Deposited Property or GDRs to be remitted (as the case may be), (ii) assigns all beneficial right, title and interest in such Deposited Property or GDRs (as the case may be) to the Depositary in its capacity as such and for the benefit of the Holders, (iii) will not take any action with respect to such GDRs or Deposited Property (as the case may be) that is inconsistent with the transfer of beneficial ownership (including without the consent of the Depositary, disposing of such Deposited Property or GDRs, as the case may be), other than in satisfaction of such Pre-Release, (b) at all times fully collateralised with cash or such other collateral as the Depositary determines in good faith will provide substantially similar liquidity and security, (c) terminable by the Depositary on not more than five (5) business days’ notice, and (d) subject to such further indemnities and credit regulations as the Depositary deems appropriate. The number of GDRs which are outstanding at any time as a result of Pre-Release will not normally represent more than thirty per cent. of the total number of GDRs then outstanding; provided, however, that the Depositary reserves the right to change or disregard such limit from time to time as it deems appropriate and may, with the prior written consent of the Company, change such limits for the purpose of general application. The Depositary will also set dollar limits with respect to such transactions hereunder with any particular Pre-Releasee hereunder on a case by case basis as the Depositary deems appropriate. The collateral referred to in sub-paragraph (b) above shall be held by the Depositary as security for the performance of the Pre-Releasee’s obligations in connection herewith, including the Pre-Releasee’s obligation to deliver Shares and/or other securities or GDRs upon termination of a transaction anticipated hereunder (and shall not, for the avoidance of doubt, constitute Deposited Property hereunder).

The Depositary may retain for its own account any compensation received by it in connection with the foregoing including, without limitation, earnings on the collateral.

The person to whom a Pre-Release of Rule 144A GDRs or Rule 144A Shares is to be made pursuant to this Condition 1.7 shall be required to deliver to the Depositary a duly executed and completed certificate substantially in the form set out in Schedule 4 Part A of the Deposit Agreement (or as amended by the Depositary in accordance with Clause 3.10 of the Deposit Agreement and Condition 1.8). The person to whom any Pre-Release of Regulation S GDRs or Regulation S Shares is to be made pursuant to this paragraph shall be required to deliver to the Depositary a duly executed and completed certificate substantially in the form set out in Schedule 3 of the Deposit Agreement (or as amended by the Depositary in accordance with Clause 3.10 of the Deposit Agreement and Condition 1.8).

1.8 The Depository may make such amendments to the certificates contained in the Deposit Agreement in Schedule 3 and in Schedule 4 Parts A and B as it may determine are required in order for the Depository to perform its duties under the Deposit Agreement, or to comply with any applicable law or with the rules and regulations of any securities exchange, market or automated quotation system upon which the GDRs may be listed or traded, or to comply with the rules or requirements of any book entry system by which the GDRs may be transferred, or to confirm compliance with any special limitations or restrictions to which any particular GDRs are subject.

2. Suspension of Issue of GDRs and of Withdrawal of Deposited Property

The Depository shall be entitled, at its reasonable discretion, at such times as it shall determine, to suspend the issue or transfer of GDRs (and the deposit of Shares) generally or in respect of particular Shares. In particular, to the extent that it is in its opinion practicable for it to do so, the Depository will refuse to accept Shares for deposit, to execute and deliver GDRs or to register transfers of GDRs if it has been notified by the Company in writing that the Deposited Shares or GDRs or any depository receipts corresponding to Shares are listed on a US securities exchange or quoted on a US automated inter dealer quotation system unless accompanied by evidence satisfactory to the Depository that any such Shares are eligible for resale pursuant to Rule 144A. Further, the Depository may suspend the withdrawal of Deposited Property during any period when the Register, or the register of shareholders of the Company is closed or, generally or in one or more localities, suspend the withdrawal of Deposited Property or deposit of Shares if deemed necessary or desirable or advisable by the Depository in good faith at any time or from time to time, in order to comply with any applicable law or governmental or stock exchange regulations or any provision of the Deposit Agreement or for any other reason. The Depository shall (unless otherwise notified by the Company) restrict the withdrawal of Deposited Shares where the Company notifies the Depository in writing that such withdrawal would result in ownership of Shares exceeding any limit under any applicable law, government resolution or the Company's constitutive documents or would otherwise violate any applicable laws.

3. Transfer and Ownership

The GDRs are in registered form, each corresponding to one Share. Title to the GDRs passes by registration in the Register and accordingly, transfer of title to a GDR is effective only upon such registration. The Depository will refuse to accept for transfer any GDRs if it reasonably believes that such transfer would result in violation of any applicable laws. The Holder of any GDR will (except as otherwise required by law) be treated by the Depository and the Company as its beneficial owner for all purposes (whether or not any payment or other distribution in respect of such GDR is overdue and regardless of any notice of ownership, trust or any interest in it or any writing on, or theft or loss of any certificate issued in respect of it) and no person will be liable for so treating the Holder.

Interests in Rule 144A GDRs corresponding to the Master Rule 144A GDR may be transferred to a person whose interest in such Rule 144A GDRs is subsequently represented by the Master Regulation S GDR only upon receipt by the Depository of written certifications (in the forms provided in the Deposit Agreement) from the transferor and the transferee to the effect that such transfer is being made in accordance with Rule 903 or Rule 904 of Regulation S under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the *Securities Act*).

4. Cash Distributions

Whenever the Depository shall receive from the Company any cash dividend or other cash distribution on or in respect of the Deposited Shares (including any amounts received in the liquidation of the Company) or otherwise in connection with the Deposited Property, the Depository shall, as soon as practicable, convert the same into United States dollars in accordance with Condition 8. The Depository shall, if practicable in the opinion of the Depository, give notice to the Holders of its receipt of such payment in accordance with Condition 23, specifying the amount per Deposited Share payable in respect of such dividend or distribution and the earliest date, determined by the Depository, for transmission of such payment to Holders and shall as soon as practicable distribute any such amounts to the Holders in proportion to the number of Deposited Shares corresponding to the GDRs so held by them respectively, subject to and in accordance with the provisions of Conditions 9 and 11; PROVIDED THAT:-

- (a) in the event that the Depository is aware that any Deposited Shares are not entitled, by reason of the date of issue or transfer or otherwise, to such full proportionate amount, the amount so distributed to the relative Holders shall be adjusted accordingly; and

- (b) the Depositary will distribute only such amounts of cash dividends and other distributions as may be distributed without attributing to any GDR a fraction of the lowest integral unit of currency in which the distribution is made by the Depositary, and any balance remaining shall be retained by the Depositary beneficially as an additional fee under Condition 16.1(iv).

5. Distributions of Shares

Whenever the Depositary shall receive from the Company any distribution in respect of Deposited Shares which consists of a dividend or free distribution of Shares, the Depositary shall cause to be distributed to the Holders entitled thereto, in proportion to the number of Deposited Shares corresponding to the GDRs held by them respectively, additional GDRs corresponding to an aggregate number of Shares received pursuant to such distribution. Such additional GDRs shall be distributed by an increase in the number of GDRs corresponding to the Master GDRs or by an issue of certificates in definitive registered form in respect of GDRs, according to the manner in which the Holders hold their GDRs; PROVIDED THAT, if and in so far as the Depositary deems any such distribution to all or any Holders not to be reasonably practicable (including, without limitation, due to the fractions which would otherwise result or to any requirement that the Company, the Custodian or the Depositary withhold an amount on account of taxes or other governmental charges) or to be unlawful, the Depositary shall (either by public or private sale and otherwise at its discretion, subject to all applicable laws and regulations) sell such Shares so received and distribute the net proceeds of such sale as a cash distribution pursuant to Condition 4 to the Holders entitled thereto.

6. Distributions other than in Cash or Shares

Whenever the Depositary shall receive from the Company any dividend or distribution in securities (other than Shares) or in other property (other than cash) on or in respect of the Deposited Property, the Depositary shall distribute or cause to be distributed such securities or other property to the Holders entitled thereto, in proportion to the number of Deposited Shares corresponding to the GDRs held by them respectively, in any manner that the Depositary may deem equitable and practicable for effecting such distribution; PROVIDED THAT, if and in so far as the Depositary deems any such distribution to all or any Holders not to be reasonably practicable (including, without limitation, due to the fractions which would otherwise result or to any requirement that the Company, the Custodian or the Depositary withhold an amount on account of taxes or other governmental charges) or to be unlawful, the Depositary shall deal with the securities or property so received, or any part thereof, in such way as the Depositary may determine to be equitable and practicable, including, without limitation, by way of sale (either by public or private sale and otherwise at its discretion, subject to all applicable laws and regulations) and shall (in the case of a sale) distribute the resulting net proceeds as a cash distribution pursuant to Condition 4 to the Holders entitled thereto.

7. Rights Issues

If and whenever the Company announces its intention to make any offer or invitation to the holders of Shares to subscribe for or to acquire Shares, securities or other assets by way of rights, the Depositary shall as soon as practicable give notice to the Holders, in accordance with Condition 23, of such offer or invitation, specifying, if applicable, the earliest date established for acceptance thereof, the last date established for acceptance thereof and the manner by which and time during which Holders may request the Depositary to exercise such rights as provided below or, if such be the case, specifying details of how the Depositary proposes to distribute the rights or the proceeds of any sale thereof. The Depositary will deal with such rights in the manner described below:-

- (i) if and to the extent that the Depositary shall, at its discretion, deem it to be lawful and reasonably practicable, the Depositary shall make arrangements whereby the Holders may, upon payment of the subscription price in US Dollars or other relevant currency together with such fees, taxes, duties, charges, costs and expenses as may be required under the Deposit Agreement and completion of such undertakings, declarations, certifications and other documents as the Depositary may reasonably require, request the Depositary to exercise such rights on their behalf with respect to the Deposited Shares and to distribute the Shares, securities or other assets so subscribed or acquired to the Holders entitled thereto by an increase in the numbers of GDRs corresponding to the Master GDRs or an issue of certificates in definitive registered form in respect of GDRs, according to the manner in which the Holders hold their GDRs; or

- (ii) if and to the extent that the Depositary shall at its discretion, deem it to be lawful and reasonably practicable, the Depositary will distribute such rights to the Holders entitled thereto in such manner as the Depositary may at its discretion determine; or
- (iii) if and to the extent that the Depositary deems any such arrangement and distribution as is referred to in paragraphs (i) and (ii) above to all or any Holders not to be lawful and reasonably practicable (including, without limitation, due to the fractions which would otherwise result or to any requirement that the Company, the Custodian or the Depositary withhold an amount on account of taxes or other governmental charges) or to be unlawful, the Depositary (a) will, PROVIDED THAT Holders have not taken up rights through the Depositary as provided in (i) above, sell such rights (either by public or private sale and otherwise at its discretion subject to all applicable laws and regulations) or (b) may, if such rights are not transferable, in its discretion, arrange for such rights to be exercised and the resulting Shares or securities sold and, in each case, distribute the net proceeds of such sale as a cash distribution pursuant to Condition 4 to the Holders entitled thereto.
- (iv) (a) Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that the Depositary offers rights pursuant to Condition 7(i) (the **Primary GDR Rights Offering**), if authorised by the Company to do so, the Depositary may, in its discretion, make arrangements whereby in addition to instructions given by a Holder to the Depositary to exercise rights on its behalf pursuant to Condition 7(i), such Holder is permitted to instruct the Depositary to subscribe on its behalf for additional rights which are not attributable to the Deposited Shares represented by such Holder's GDRs (**Additional GDR Rights**) if at the date and time specified by the Depositary for the conclusion of the Primary GDR Rights Offering (the **Instruction Date**) instructions to exercise rights have not been received by the Depositary from the Holders in respect of all their initial entitlements. Any Holder's instructions to subscribe for such Additional GDR Rights (**Additional GDR Rights Requests**) shall specify the maximum number of Additional GDR Rights that such Holder is prepared to accept (the **Maximum Additional Subscription**) and must be received by the Depositary by the Instruction Date. If by the Instruction Date any rights offered in the Primary GDR Rights Offering have not been subscribed by the Holders initially entitled thereto (**Unsubscribed Rights**), subject to Condition 7(iv)(c) and receipt of the relevant subscription price in US Dollars or other relevant currency, together with such fees, taxes, duties, charges, costs and expenses as it may deem necessary, the Depositary shall make arrangements for the allocation and distribution of Additional GDR Rights in accordance with Condition 7(iv)(b).
 - (b) Holders submitting Additional GDR Rights Requests shall be bound to accept the Maximum Additional Subscription specified in such Additional GDR Rights Request but the Depositary shall not be bound to arrange for a Holder to receive the Maximum Additional Subscription so specified but may make arrangements whereby the Unsubscribed Rights are allocated *pro rata* on the basis of the extent of the Maximum Additional Subscription specified in each Holder's Additional GDR Rights Request.
 - (c) In order to proceed in the manner contemplated in this Condition 7(iv), the Depositary shall be entitled to receive such opinions from Cypriot counsel and US counsel as in its discretion it deems necessary which opinions shall be in a form and provided by counsel satisfactory to the Depositary and at the expense of the Company and may be requested in addition to any other opinions and/or certifications which the Depositary shall be entitled to receive under the Deposit Agreement and these Conditions. For the avoidance of doubt, save as provided in these Conditions and the Deposit Agreement, the Depositary shall have no liability to the Company or any Holder in respect of its actions or omissions to act under this Condition 7(iv) and, in particular, the Depositary will not be regarded as being negligent, acting in bad faith, or in wilful default if it elects not to make the arrangements referred to in Condition 7(iv)(a).

The Company has agreed in the Deposit Agreement that it will, unless prohibited by applicable law or regulation, give its consent to, and if requested use all reasonable endeavours (subject to the next paragraph) to facilitate, any such distribution, sale or subscription by the Depositary or the Holders, as the case may be, pursuant to Conditions 4, 5, 6, 7 or 10 (including the obtaining of legal opinions from counsel reasonably satisfactory to the Depositary concerning such matters as the Depositary may reasonably specify).

If the Company notifies the Depositary that registration is required in any jurisdiction under any applicable law of the rights, securities or other property to be distributed under Condition 4, 5, 6, 7 or 10 or the

securities to which such rights relate in order for the Company to offer such rights or distribute such securities or other property to the Holders or owners of GDRs and to sell the securities corresponding to such rights, the Depositary will not offer such rights or distribute such securities or other property to the Holders or sell such securities unless and until the Company procures the receipt by the Depositary of an opinion from counsel reasonably satisfactory to the Depositary that a registration statement is in effect or that the offering and sale of such rights or securities to such Holders or owners of GDRs are exempt from registration under the provisions of such law. Neither the Company nor the Depositary shall be liable to register such rights, securities or other property or the securities to which such rights relate and they shall not be liable for any losses, damages or expenses resulting from any failure to do so.

If at the time of the offering of any rights, at its discretion, the Depositary shall be satisfied that it is not lawful or practicable (for reasons outside its control) to dispose of the rights in any manner provided in paragraphs (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) above, the Depositary shall permit the rights to lapse. The Depositary will not be responsible for any failure to determine that it may be lawful or feasible to make such rights available to Holders or owners of GDRs in general or to any Holder or owner of a GDR or Holders or owners of GDRs in particular.

8. Conversion of Foreign Currency

Whenever the Depositary shall receive any currency other than United States dollars by way of dividend or other distribution or as the net proceeds from the sale of securities, other property or rights, and if at the time of the receipt thereof the currency so received can in the judgement of the Depositary be converted on a reasonable basis into United States dollars and distributed to the Holders entitled thereto, the Depositary shall as soon as practicable itself convert or cause to be converted by another bank or other financial institution, by sale or in any other manner that it may reasonably determine, the currency so received into United States dollars. If such conversion or distribution can be effected only with the approval or licence of any government or agency thereof, the Depositary shall make reasonable efforts to apply, or procure that an application be made, for such approval or licence, if any, as it may deem desirable. If at any time the Depositary shall determine that in its judgement any currency other than United States dollars is not convertible on a reasonable basis into United States dollars and distributable to the Holders entitled thereto, or if any approval or licence of any government or agency thereof which is required for such conversion is denied or, in the opinion of the Depositary, is not obtainable, or if any such approval or licence is not obtained within a reasonable period as determined by the Depositary, the Depositary may distribute such other currency received by it (or an appropriate document evidencing the right to receive such other currency) to the Holders entitled thereto to the extent permitted under applicable law, or the Depositary may in its discretion hold such other currency for the benefit of the Holders entitled thereto. If any conversion of any such currency can be effected in whole or in part for distribution to some (but not all) Holders entitled thereto, the Depositary may at its discretion make such conversion and distribution in United States dollars to the extent possible to the Holders entitled thereto and may distribute the balance of such other currency received by the Depositary to, or hold such balance for the account of, the Holders entitled thereto, and notify the Holders accordingly.

9. Distribution of any Payments

9.1 Any distribution of cash under Condition 4, 5, 6, 7 or 10 will be made by the Depositary to Holders on the record date established by the Depositary for that purpose (such date to be as close to the record date set by the Company as is reasonably practicable) and, if practicable in the opinion of the Depositary, notice shall be given promptly to Holders in accordance with Condition 23, in each case subject to any laws or regulations applicable thereto and (subject to the provisions of Condition 8) distributions will be made in United States dollars by cheque drawn upon a bank in New York City or, in the case of the Master GDRs, according to usual practice between the Depositary and Clearstream, Euroclear or DTC, as the case may be. The Depositary or the Agent, as the case may be, may deduct and retain from all moneys due in respect of such GDR in accordance with the Deposit Agreement all fees, taxes, duties, charges, costs and expenses which may become or have become payable under the Deposit Agreement or under applicable law or regulation in respect of such GDR or the relative Deposited Property.

9.2 Delivery of any securities or other property or rights other than cash shall be made as soon as practicable to the Holders on the record date established by the Depositary for that purpose (such date to be as close to the record date set by the Company as is reasonably practicable), subject to any laws or regulations applicable thereto. If any distribution made by the Company with respect to the Deposited Property and received by the Depositary shall remain unclaimed at the end of three years from the first date upon which such distribution is made available to Holders in accordance with the Deposit Agreement, all rights of the Holders to such distribution or the proceeds of the sale thereof shall be extinguished and the Depositary

shall (except for any distribution upon the liquidation of the Company when the Depositary shall retain the same) return the same to the Company for its own use and benefit subject, in all cases, to the provisions of applicable law or regulation.

10. Capital Reorganisation

Upon any change in the nominal or par value, sub-division, consolidation or other reclassification of Deposited Shares or any other part of the Deposited Property or upon any reduction of capital, or upon any reorganisation, merger or consolidation of the Company or to which it is a party (except where the Company is the continuing corporation), the Depositary shall as soon as practicable give notice of such event to the Holders and at its discretion may treat such event as a distribution and comply with the relevant provisions of Conditions 4, 5, 6 and 9 with respect thereto, or may execute and deliver additional GDRs in respect of Shares or may require the exchange of existing GDRs for GDRs which reflect the effect of such change.

Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts

11. Withholding Taxes and Applicable Laws

11.1 Payments to Holders of dividends or other distributions on or in respect of the Deposited Shares will be subject to deduction of Cypriot and other withholding taxes, if any, at the applicable rates.

11.2 If any governmental or administrative authorisation, consent, registration or permit or any report to any governmental or administrative authority is required under any applicable law in Cyprus in order for the Depositary to receive from the Company Shares or other securities to be deposited under these Conditions, or in order for Shares, other securities or other property to be distributed under Condition 4, 5, 6 or 10 or to be subscribed under Condition 7 or to offer any rights or sell any securities represented by such rights relevant to any Deposited Shares, the Company has agreed to apply for such authorisation, consent, registration or permit or file such report on behalf of the Holders within the time required under such laws. In this connection, the Company has undertaken in the Deposit Agreement to the extent reasonably practicable to take such action as may be required in obtaining or filing the same. The Depositary shall not be obliged to distribute GDRs representing such Shares, Shares, other securities or other property deposited under these Conditions or make any offer of any such rights or sell any securities corresponding to any such rights with respect to which such authorisation, consent, registration or permit or such report has not been obtained or filed, as the case may be, and shall have no duties to obtain any such authorisation, consent, registration or permit, or to file any such report.

12. Voting Rights

12.1 Holders will have voting rights with respect to the Deposited Shares. The Company has agreed to notify the Depositary of any resolution to be proposed at a General Meeting of the Company and the Depositary will vote or cause to be voted the Deposited Shares in the manner set out in this Condition 12.

The Company has agreed with the Depositary that it will promptly provide to the Depositary sufficient copies, as the Depositary may reasonably request, of notices of meetings of the shareholders of the Company and the agenda therefor as well as written requests containing voting instructions by which each Holder may give instructions to the Depositary to vote for or against each and any resolution specified in the agenda for the meeting, which the Depositary shall send to any person who is a Holder on the record date established by the Depositary for that purpose (which shall be the same as the corresponding record date set by the Company or as near as practicable thereto) as soon as practicable after receipt of the same by the Depositary in accordance with Condition 23. The Company has also agreed to provide to the Depositary appropriate proxy forms to enable the Depositary to appoint a representative to attend the relevant meeting and vote on behalf of the Depositary.

12.2 In order for each voting instruction to be valid, the voting instructions form must be completed and duly signed by the respective Holder (or in the case of instructions received from the clearing systems should be received by authenticated SWIFT message) in accordance with the written request containing voting instructions and returned to the Depositary by such record date as the Depositary may specify.

12.3 The Depositary will exercise or cause to be exercised the voting rights in respect of the Deposited Shares so that a portion of the Deposited Shares will be voted for and a portion of the Deposited Shares will be voted against any resolution specified in the agenda for the relevant meeting in accordance with the voting instructions it has received.

- 12.4 If the Depositary is advised in the opinion referred to in Condition 12.7 below that it is not permitted by Cypriot law to exercise the voting rights in respect of the Deposited Shares differently (so that a portion of the Deposited Shares may be voted for a resolution and a portion of the Deposited Shares may be voted against a resolution) the Depositary shall, if the opinion referred to in Condition 12.7 below confirms it to be permissible under Cypriot law, calculate from the voting instructions that it has received from all Holders (x) the aggregate number of votes in favour of a particular resolution and (y) the aggregate number of votes opposed to such resolution and cast or cause to be cast in favour of or opposed to such resolution the number of votes representing the net positive difference between such aggregate number of votes in favour of such resolution and such aggregate number of votes opposed to such resolution.
- 12.5 The Depositary will only endeavour to vote or cause to be voted the votes attaching to Shares in respect of which voting instructions have been received, except that if no voting instructions are received by the Depositary (either because no voting instructions are returned to the Depositary or because the voting instructions are incomplete, illegible or unclear) from a Holder with respect to any or all of the Deposited Shares represented by such Holder's GDRs on or before the record date specified by the Depositary, such Holder shall be deemed to have instructed the Depositary to give a discretionary proxy to a person designated by the Company with respect to such Deposited Shares, and the Depositary shall give a discretionary proxy to a person designated by the Company to vote such Deposited Shares, PROVIDED THAT no such instruction shall be deemed given, and no such discretionary proxy shall be given, with respect to any matter as to which the Company informs the Depositary (and the Company has agreed to provide such information in writing as soon as practicable) that (i) the Company does not wish such proxy to be given, or (ii) such matter materially and adversely affects the rights of holders of Shares.
- 12.6 If the Depositary is advised in the opinion referred to in Condition 12.7 below that it is not permissible under Cypriot law or the Depositary determines that it is not reasonably practicable to vote or cause to be voted such Deposited Shares in accordance with Conditions 12.3, 12.4 or 12.5 the Depositary shall not vote or cause to be voted such Deposited Shares.
- 12.7 Where the Depositary is to vote in respect of each and any resolution in the manner described in Conditions 12.3, 12.4 or 12.5 above the Depositary shall notify the Chairman of the Company and appoint a person designated by him as a representative of the Depositary to attend such meeting and vote the Deposited Shares in the manner required by this Condition. The Depositary is entitled to request the Company to provide to the Depositary, and where such request has been made shall not be required to take any action required by this Condition 12 unless it shall have received, an opinion from the Company's legal counsel (such counsel being reasonably acceptable to the Depositary) at the expense of the Company to the effect that such voting arrangement is valid and binding on Holders under Cypriot law and the statutes of the Company and that the Depositary is permitted to exercise votes in accordance with the provisions of this Condition 12 but that in doing so the Depositary will not be deemed to be exercising voting discretion.
- 12.8 By continuing to hold GDRs, all Holders shall be deemed to have agreed to the provisions of this Condition as it may be amended from time to time in order to comply with applicable Cypriot law.
- 12.9 The Depositary shall not, and the Depositary shall ensure that the Custodian and its nominees do not, vote or attempt to exercise the right to vote that attaches to the Deposited Shares, other than in accordance with instructions given in accordance with this Condition.
13. Recovery of Taxes, Duties and Other Charges, and Fees and Expenses due to the Depositary
- The Depositary shall not be liable for any taxes, duties, charges, costs or expenses which may become payable in respect of the Deposited Shares or other Deposited Property or the GDRs, whether under any present or future fiscal or other laws or regulations, and such part thereof as is proportionate or referable to a GDR (the **Charges**) shall be payable by the Holder thereof to the Depositary at any time on request or may be deducted from any amount due or becoming due on such GDR in respect of any dividend or other distribution. The Depositary may sell (whether by way of public or private sale and otherwise at its discretion, subject to all applicable laws and regulations) for the account of the Holder an appropriate number of Deposited Shares or amount of other Deposited Property and will discharge out of the proceeds of such sale any Charges, and any fees or expenses due to the Depositary from the Holder pursuant to Condition 16, and subsequently pay any surplus to the Holder. Any request by the Depositary for the payment of Charges shall be made by giving notice pursuant to Condition 23.

14. Liability

- 14.1 In acting hereunder the Depositary shall have only those duties, obligations and responsibilities expressly specified in the Deposit Agreement and these Conditions and, other than holding the Deposited Property for the benefit of Holders as bare trustee, does not assume any relationship of trust for or with the Holders or owners of GDRs or any other person.
- 14.2 Neither the Depositary, the Custodian, the Company, any Agent, nor any of their agents, officers, directors or employees shall incur any liability to any other of them or to any Holder or owner of a GDR or any other person with an interest in any GDRs if, by reason of any provision of any present or future law or regulation of Cyprus or any other country or of any relevant governmental authority, or by reason of the interpretation or application of any such present or future law or regulation or any change therein, or by reason of any other circumstances beyond their control, or in the case of the Depositary, the Custodian, any Agent or any of their agents, officers, directors or employees, by reason of any provision, present or future, of the constitutive documents of the Company, any of them shall be prevented, delayed or forbidden from doing or performing any act or thing which the terms of the Deposit Agreement or these Conditions provide shall or may be done or performed; nor shall any of them incur any liability to any Holder or owner of GDRs or any other person with an interest in any GDRs by reason of any exercise of, or failure to exercise, any voting rights attached to the Deposited Shares or any of them or any other discretion or power provided for in the Deposit Agreement. Any such party may rely on, and shall be protected in acting upon, any written notice, request, direction or other document believed by it to be genuine and to have been duly signed or presented (including a translation which is made by a translator believed by it to be competent or which appears to be authentic).
- 14.3 Neither the Depositary nor any Agent shall be liable (except for its own wilful default, negligence or bad faith or that of its agents, officers, directors or employees) to the Company or any Holder or owner of GDRs or any other person, by reason of having accepted as valid or not having rejected any certificate for Shares or GDRs or any signature on any transfer or instruction purporting to be such and subsequently found to be forged or not authentic or for its failure to perform any obligations under the Deposit Agreement or these Conditions.
- 14.4 The Depositary and its agents may engage or be interested in any financial or other business transactions with the Company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates, or in relation to the Deposited Property (including without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing, the conversion of any part of the Deposited Property from one currency to another), may at any time hold or be interested in GDRs for its own account, and shall be entitled to charge and be paid all usual fees, commissions and other charges for business transacted and acts done by it as a bank, and not in the capacity of Depositary, in relation to matters arising under the Deposit Agreement (including, without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing, charges on the conversion of any part of the Deposited Property from one currency to another and on any sales of property) without accounting to Holders or any other person for any profit arising therefrom.
- 14.5 The Depositary shall endeavour to effect any such sale as is referred to or contemplated in Condition 5, 6, 7, 10, 13 or 21 or any such conversion as is referred to in Condition 8 in accordance with the Depositary's normal practices and procedures but shall have no liability (in the absence of its own wilful default, negligence or bad faith or that of its agents, officers, directors or employees) with respect to the terms of such sale or conversion or if such sale or conversion shall not be reasonably practicable.
- 14.6 The Depositary shall not be required or obliged to monitor, supervise or enforce the observance and performance by the Company of its obligations under or in connection with the Deposit Agreement or these Conditions.
- 14.7 The Depositary shall have no responsibility whatsoever to the Company, any Holders or any owner of GDRs or any other person as regards any deficiency which might arise because the Depositary is subject to any tax in respect of the Deposited Property or any part thereof or any income therefrom or any proceeds thereof.
- 14.8 In connection with any proposed modification, waiver, authorisation or determination permitted by the terms of the Deposit Agreement, the Depositary shall not, except as otherwise expressly provided in Condition 22, be obliged to have regard to the consequence thereof for the Holders or the owners of GDRs or any other person.
- 14.9 Notwithstanding anything else contained in the Deposit Agreement or these Conditions, the Depositary may refrain from doing anything which could or might, in its opinion, be contrary to any law of any

jurisdiction or any directive or regulation of any agency or state or which would or might otherwise render it liable to any person and the Depositary may do anything which is, in its opinion, necessary to comply with any such law, directive or regulation.

- 14.10 The Depositary may, in relation to the Deposit Agreement and these Conditions, act or take no action on the advice or opinion of, or any certificate or information obtained from, any lawyer, valuer, accountant, banker, broker, securities company or other expert whether obtained by the Company, the Depositary or otherwise, and shall not be responsible or liable for any loss or liability occasioned by so acting or refraining from acting or relying on information from persons presenting Shares for deposit or GDRs for surrender or requesting transfers thereof.
- 14.11 Any such advice, opinion, certificate or information (as discussed in Condition 14.10 above) may be sent or obtained by letter, telex, facsimile transmission, telegram or cable and the Depositary shall not be liable for acting on any advice, opinion, certificate or information purported to be conveyed by any such letter, telex or facsimile transmission although (without the Depositary's knowledge) the same shall contain some error or shall not be authentic.
- 14.12 The Depositary may call for and shall be at liberty to accept as sufficient evidence of any fact or matter or the expediency of any transaction or thing, a certificate, letter or other communication, whether oral or written, signed or otherwise communicated on behalf of the Company by a director of the Company or by a person duly authorised by a director of the Company or such other certificate from persons specified in Condition 14.10 above which the Depositary considers appropriate and the Depositary shall not be bound in any such case to call for further evidence or be responsible for any loss or liability that may be occasioned by the Depositary acting on such certificate.
- 14.13 The Depositary shall have no obligation under the Deposit Agreement except to perform its obligations as are specifically set out therein without wilful default, negligence or bad faith.
- 14.14 The Depositary may delegate by power of attorney or otherwise to any person or persons or fluctuating body of persons, whether being a joint Depositary of the Deposit Agreement or not and not being a person to whom the Company may reasonably object, all or any of the powers, authorities and discretions vested in the Depositary by the Deposit Agreement and such delegation may be made upon such terms and subject to such conditions, including power to sub-delegate and subject to such regulations as the Depositary may in the interests of the Holders think fit, provided that no objection from the Company to any such delegation as aforesaid may be made to a person whose financial statements are consolidated with those of the Depositary's ultimate holding company and further provided that the Depositary shall exercise reasonable care in selection of any delegate. Any delegation by the Depositary shall be on the basis that the Depositary is acting on behalf of the Holders and the Company in making such delegation. The Company shall not in any circumstances and the Depositary shall not (provided that it shall have exercised reasonable care in the selection of such delegate) be bound to supervise the proceedings or be in any way responsible for any loss, liability, cost, claim, action, demand or expense incurred by reason of any misconduct or default on the part of any such delegate or sub-delegate. However, the Depositary shall, if practicable, and if so requested by the Company, pursue (at the Company's expense and subject to receipt by the Depositary of such indemnity and security for costs as the Depositary may reasonably require) any legal action it may have against such delegate or sub-delegate arising out of any such loss caused by reason of any such misconduct or default. The Depositary shall, within a reasonable time of any such delegation or any renewal, extension or termination thereof, give notice thereof to the Company. Any delegation under this Condition which includes the power to sub-delegate shall provide that the delegate shall, within a specified time of any sub-delegation or amendment, extension or termination thereof, give notice thereof to the Company and the Depositary.
- 14.15 The Depositary may, in the performance of its obligations hereunder, instead of acting personally, employ and pay an agent, whether a solicitor or other person, to transact or concur in transacting any business and do or concur in doing all acts required to be done by such party, including the receipt and payment of money.
- 14.16 The Depositary shall be at liberty to hold or to deposit the Deposit Agreement and any deed or document relating thereto in any part of the world with any banking company or companies (including itself) whose business includes undertaking the safe custody of deeds or documents or with any lawyer or firm of lawyers of good repute, and the Depositary shall not (in the case of deposit with itself, in the absence of its own negligence, wilful default, or bad faith or that of its agents, directors, officers or employees) be responsible for any losses, liability or expenses incurred in connection with any such deposit.

- 14.17 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Deposit Agreement or these Conditions, the Depositary shall not be liable in respect of any loss or damage which arises out of or in connection with its performance or non-performance or the exercise or attempted exercise of, or the failure to exercise any of, its powers or discretions under the Deposit Agreement, except to the extent that such loss or damage arises from the wilful default, negligence or bad faith of the Depositary or that of its agents, officers, directors or employees. Without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing, in no circumstances shall the Depositary have any liability for any act or omission of any securities depository, clearing agency or settlement system in connection with or arising out of book-entry settlement of Deposited Shares or otherwise.
- 14.18 No provision of the Deposit Agreement or these Conditions shall require the Depositary to expend or risk its own funds or otherwise incur any financial liability in the performance of any of its duties or in the exercise of any of its rights or powers, if it shall have reasonable grounds for believing that repayment of such funds or adequate indemnity and security against such risk of liability is not assured to it.
- 14.19 For the avoidance of doubt, the Depositary shall be under no obligation to check, monitor or enforce compliance with any ownership restrictions in respect of GDRs or Shares under any applicable Cypriot law as the same may be amended from time to time. Notwithstanding the generality of Condition 3, the Depositary shall refuse to register any transfer of GDRs or any deposit of Shares against issuance of GDRs if notified by the Company, or the Depositary becomes aware of the fact, that such transfer or issuance would result in a violation of the limitations set forth above.
- 14.20 No disclaimer of liability under the Securities Act is intended by any provision of the Deposit Agreement.
- 14.21 Nothing in this Agreement shall exclude any liability for loss or damage caused by fraud on the part of the Depositary.
15. Issue and Delivery of Replacement GDRs and Exchange of GDRs

Subject to the payment of the relevant fees, taxes, duties, charges, costs and expenses and such terms as to evidence and indemnity as the Depositary may require, replacement GDRs will be issued by the Depositary and will be delivered in exchange for or replacement of outstanding lost, stolen, mutilated, defaced or destroyed GDRs upon surrender thereof (except in the case of the destruction, loss or theft) at the specified office of the Depositary or (at the request, risk and expense of the Holder) at the specified office of any Agent.

16. Depositary's Fees, Costs and Expenses

- 16.1 The Depositary shall be entitled to charge the following remuneration and receive the following remuneration and reimbursement (such remuneration and reimbursement being payable on demand) from the Holders in respect of its services under the Deposit Agreement:
- (i) for the issue of GDRs or the cancellation of GDRs upon the withdrawal of Deposited Property: USD 5.00 or less per 100 GDRs (or portion thereof) issued or cancelled;
 - (ii) for issuing GDR certificates in definitive registered form in replacement for mutilated, defaced, lost, stolen or destroyed GDR certificates: a sum per GDR certificate which is determined by the Depositary to be a reasonable charge to reflect the work, costs and expenses involved;
 - (iii) for issuing GDR certificates in definitive registered form (other than pursuant to (ii) above): the greater of USD 1.50 per GDR certificate (plus printing costs) or such other sum per GDR certificate which is determined by the Depositary to be a reasonable charge to reflect the work plus costs (including but not limited to printing costs) and expenses involved;
 - (iv) for receiving and paying any cash dividend or other cash distribution on or in respect of the Deposited Shares: a fee of USD 0.02 or less per GDR for each such dividend or distribution;
 - (v) in respect of any issue of rights or distribution of Shares (whether or not evidenced by GDRs) or other securities or other property (other than cash) upon exercise of any rights, any free distribution, stock dividend or other distribution: USD 5.00 or less per 100 outstanding GDRs (or portion thereof) for each such issue of rights, dividend or distribution;
 - (vi) for transferring interests from and between the Regulation S Master GDR and the Rule 144A Master GDR: a fee of USD 0.05 or less per GDR;

- (vii) a fee of USD 0.02 or less per GDR (or portion thereof) per annum for depositary services which shall be payable as provided in paragraph (viii) below; and
- (viii) any other charge payable by the Depositary, any of the Depositary's agents, including the Custodian, or the agents of the Depositary's agents, in connection with the servicing of Deposited Shares or other Deposited Property (which charge shall be assessed against Holders as of the date or dates set by the Depositary and shall be payable at the sole discretion of the Depositary by billing such Holders for such charge or deducting such charge from one or more cash dividends or other cash distributions),

together with all expenses (including currency conversion expenses), transfer and registration fees, taxes, duties and charges payable by the Depositary, any Agent or the Custodian, or any of their agents, in connection with any of the above.

16.2 The Depositary is entitled to receive from the Company the fees, taxes, duties, charges costs and expenses as specified in a separate agreement between the Company and the Depositary. 17. Agents

17.1 The Depositary shall be entitled to appoint one or more agents (the *Agents*) for the purpose, inter alia, of making distributions to the Holders.

17.2 Notice of appointment or removal of any Agent or of any change in the specified office of the Depositary or any Agent will be duly given by the Depositary to the Holders.

18. Listing

The Company has undertaken in the Deposit Agreement to use its reasonable endeavours to maintain, so long as any GDR is outstanding, a listing for the GDRs on the official list maintained by the Financial Services Authority (the "Official List") and admission to trading on the market for listed securities of the London Stock Exchange.

For that purpose the Company will pay all fees and sign and deliver all undertakings required by the Financial Services Authority and the London Stock Exchange in connection with such listings. In the event that the listing on the Official List and admission to trading on the market for listed securities of the London Stock Exchange is not maintained, the Company has undertaken in the Deposit Agreement to use its reasonable endeavours with the reasonable assistance of the Depositary (provided at the Company's expense) to obtain and maintain a listing of the GDRs on any other internationally recognised stock exchange in Europe.

19. The Custodian

The Depositary has agreed with the Custodian that the Custodian will receive and hold (or appoint agents approved by the Depositary to receive and hold) all Deposited Property for the account and to the order of the Depositary in accordance with the applicable terms of the Deposit Agreement which include a requirement to segregate the Deposited Property from the other property of, or held by, the Custodian PROVIDED THAT the Custodian shall not be obliged to segregate cash comprised in the Deposited Property from cash otherwise held by the Custodian. The Custodian shall be responsible solely to the Depositary PROVIDED THAT, if and so long as the Depositary and the Custodian are the same legal entity, references to them separately in these Conditions and the Deposit Agreement are for convenience only and that legal entity shall be responsible for discharging both functions directly to the Holders and the Company. The Custodian may resign or be removed by the Depositary by giving prior notice, except that if a replacement Custodian is appointed which is a branch or affiliate of the Depositary, the Custodian's resignation or discharge may take effect immediately on the appointment of such replacement Custodian. Upon the removal of or receiving notice of the resignation of the Custodian, the Depositary shall promptly appoint a successor Custodian (approved (i) by the Company, such approval not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed, and (ii) by the relevant authority in Cyprus, if any), which shall, upon acceptance of such appointment, and the expiry of any applicable notice period, become the Custodian. Whenever the Depositary in its discretion determines that it is in the best interests of the Holders to do so, it may, after prior consultation with the Company, terminate the appointment of the Custodian and, in the event of any such termination, the Depositary shall promptly appoint a successor Custodian (approved (i) by the Company, such approval not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed, and (ii) by the relevant authority in Cyprus, if any), which shall, upon acceptance of such appointment, become the Custodian under the Deposit Agreement on the effective date of such termination. The Depositary shall notify Holders of such change immediately upon such change taking effect in accordance with Condition 23. Notwithstanding the

foregoing, the Depositary may temporarily deposit the Deposited Property in a manner or a place other than as therein specified; PROVIDED THAT, in the case of such temporary deposit in another place, the Company shall have consented to such deposit, and such consent of the Company shall have been delivered to the Custodian. In case of transportation of the Deposited Property under this Condition, the Depositary shall obtain appropriate insurance at the expense of the Company if and to the extent that the obtaining of such insurance is reasonably practicable and the premiums payable are of a reasonable amount.

20. Resignation and Termination of Appointment of the Depositary

20.1 The Company may terminate the appointment of the Depositary under the Deposit Agreement by giving at least 120 days' prior notice in writing to the Depositary and the Custodian, and the Depositary may resign as Depositary by giving at least 120 days' prior notice in writing to the Company and the Custodian. Within 30 days after the giving of either such notice, notice thereof shall be duly given by the Depositary to the Holders in accordance with Condition 23 and to the Financial Services Authority and the London Stock Exchange.

The termination of the appointment or the resignation of the Depositary shall take effect on the date specified in such notice; PROVIDED THAT no such termination of appointment or resignation shall take effect until the appointment by the Company of a successor depositary under the Deposit Agreement and the acceptance of such appointment to act in accordance with the terms thereof and of these Conditions, by the successor depositary. The Company has undertaken in the Deposit Agreement to use its reasonable endeavours to procure the appointment of a successor depositary with effect from the date of termination specified in such notice as soon as reasonably possible following notice of such termination or resignation. Upon any such appointment and acceptance, notice thereof shall be duly given by the Depositary to the Holders in accordance with Condition 23 and to the Financial Services Authority and the London Stock Exchange.

20.2 Upon the termination of appointment or resignation of the Depositary and against payment of all fees and expenses due to the Depositary from the Company under the Deposit Agreement, the Depositary shall deliver to its successor as depositary sufficient information and records to enable such successor efficiently to perform its obligations under the Deposit Agreement and shall deliver and pay to such successor depositary all property and cash held by it under the Deposit Agreement. The Deposit Agreement provides that, upon the date when such termination of appointment or resignation takes effect, the Custodian shall be deemed to be the Custodian thereunder for such successor depositary, and the Depositary shall thereafter have no obligation under the Deposit Agreement or the Conditions (other than liabilities accrued prior to the date of termination of appointment or resignation or any liabilities stipulated in relevant laws or regulations).

21. Termination of Deposit Agreement

21.1 Either the Company or the Depositary but, in the case of the Depositary, only if the Company has failed to appoint a replacement Depositary within 90 days of the date on which the Depositary has given notice pursuant to Condition 20 that it wishes to resign, may terminate the Deposit Agreement by giving 90 days' prior notice to the other and to the Custodian. Within 30 days after the giving of such notice, notice of such termination shall be duly given by the Depositary to Holders of all GDRs then outstanding in accordance with Condition 23.

21.2 During the period beginning on the date of the giving of such notice by the Depositary to the Holders and ending on the date on which such termination takes effect, each Holder shall be entitled to obtain delivery of the Deposited Property relative to each GDR held by it, subject to the provisions of Condition 1.1 and upon compliance with Condition 1, payment by the Holder of the charge specified in Condition 16.1(i) and Clause 10.1.1(a) of the Deposit Agreement for such delivery and surrender, and payment by the Holder of any sums payable by the Depositary and/or any other expenses incurred by the Depositary (together with all amounts which the Depositary is obliged to pay to the Custodian) in connection with such delivery and surrender, and otherwise in accordance with the Deposit Agreement.

21.3 If any GDRs remain outstanding after the date of termination, the Depositary shall as soon as reasonably practicable sell the Deposited Property then held by it under the Deposit Agreement and shall not register transfers, shall not pass on dividends or distributions or take any other action, except that it will deliver the net proceeds of any such sale, together with any other cash then held by it under the Deposit Agreement, pro rata to Holders of GDRs which have not previously been so surrendered by reference to that proportion of the Deposited Property which is represented by the GDRs of which they are the Holders. After making

such sale, the Depositary shall be discharged from all obligations under the Deposit Agreement and these Conditions, except its obligation to account to Holders for such net proceeds of sale and other cash comprising the Deposited Property without interest.

22. Amendment of Deposit Agreement and Conditions

All and any of the provisions of the Deposit Agreement and these Conditions (other than this Condition 22) may at any time and from time to time be amended by agreement between the Company and the Depositary in any respect which they may deem necessary or desirable. Notice of any amendment of these Conditions (except to correct a manifest error) shall be duly given to the Holders by the Depositary, and any amendment (except as aforesaid) which shall increase or impose fees payable by Holders or which shall otherwise, in the opinion of the Depositary, be materially prejudicial to the interests of the Holders (as a class) shall not become effective so as to impose any obligation on the Holders until the expiration of three months after such notice shall have been given. During such period of three months, each Holder shall be entitled to obtain, subject to and upon compliance with Condition 1, delivery of the Deposited Property relative to each GDR held by it upon surrender thereof, payment of the charge specified in Condition 16.1(i) for such delivery and surrender and otherwise in accordance with the Deposit Agreement and these Conditions. Each Holder at the time when such amendment so becomes effective shall be deemed, by continuing to hold a GDR, to approve such amendment and to be bound by the terms thereof in so far as they affect the rights of the Holders. In no event shall any amendment impair the right of any Holder to receive, subject to and upon compliance with Condition 1, the Deposited Property attributable to the relevant GDR.

For the purposes of this Condition 22, an amendment shall not be regarded as being materially prejudicial to the interests of Holders if its principal effect is to permit the creation of GDRs in respect of additional Shares to be held by the Depositary which are or will become fully consolidated as a single series with the other Deposited Shares PROVIDED THAT temporary GDRs will represent such Shares until they are so consolidated.

23. Notices

23.1 Any and all notices to be given to any Holder shall be duly given if personally delivered, or sent by mail (if domestic, first class, if overseas, first class airmail) or air courier, or by telex or facsimile transmission confirmed by letter sent by mail or air courier, addressed to such Holder at the address of such Holder as it appears on the transfer books for GDRs of the Depositary, or, if such Holder shall have filed with the Depositary a written request that notices intended for such Holder be mailed to some other address, at the address specified in such request.

23.2 Delivery of a notice sent by mail or air courier shall be effective three days (in the case of domestic mail or air courier) or seven days (in the case of overseas mail) after despatch, and any notice sent by telex transmission, as provided in this Condition, shall be effective when the sender receives the answerback from the addressee at the end of the telex and any notice sent by facsimile transmission, as provided in this Condition, shall be effective when the intended recipient has confirmed by telephone to the transmitter thereof that the recipient has received such facsimile in complete and legible form. The Depositary or the Company may, however, act upon any telex or facsimile transmission received by it from the other or from any Holder, notwithstanding that such telex or facsimile transmission shall not subsequently be confirmed as aforesaid.

23.3 So long as GDRs are listed on the Official List and admitted to trading on the London Stock Exchange and the rules of the Financial Services Authority or the London Stock Exchange so require, all notices to be given to Holders generally will also be published in a leading daily newspaper having general circulation in the UK (which is expected to be the *Financial Times*).

24. Reports and Information on the Company

24.1 The Company has undertaken in the Deposit Agreement (so long as any GDR is outstanding) to furnish the Depositary with six copies in the English language (and to make available to the Depositary, the Custodian and each Agent as many further copies as they may reasonably require to satisfy requests from Holders) of:

- (i) in respect of the financial year ending on 31 December 2007 and in respect of each financial year thereafter, the consolidated balance sheets as at the end of such financial year and the consolidated statements of income for such financial year in respect of the Company, prepared in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards, as adopted for use in the European

Union and reported upon by independent public accountants selected by the Company, as soon as practicable (and in any event within 180 days) after the end of such year;

- (ii) if the Company publishes semi-annual financial statements for holders of Shares, such semi-annual financial statements of the Company, as soon as practicable, after the same are published and in any event no later than three months after the end of the period to which they relate; and
- (iii) if the Company publishes quarterly financial statements for holders of Shares, such quarterly financial statements, as soon as practicable after the same are published.

24.2 The Depository shall upon receipt thereof give due notice to the Holders that such copies are available upon request at its specified office and the specified office of any Agent.

24.3 For so long as any of the GDRs remain outstanding and are “restricted securities” within the meaning of Rule 144(a)(3) under the Securities Act, if at any time the Company is neither subject to and in compliance with the reporting requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, nor exempt from such reporting requirements by complying with the information furnishing requirements of Rule 12g3-2(b) thereunder, the Company has agreed in the Deposit Agreement to supply to the Depository such information, in the English language and in such quantities as the Depository may from time to time reasonably request, as is required to be delivered to any Holder or beneficial owner of GDRs or to any holder of Shares or a prospective purchaser designated by such Holder, beneficial owner or holder pursuant to a Deed Poll executed by the Company in favour of such persons and the information delivery requirements of Rule 144A(d)(4) under the Securities Act, to permit compliance with Rule 144A thereunder in connection with resales of GDRs or Shares or interests therein in reliance on Rule 144A under the Securities Act and otherwise to comply with the requirements of Rule 144A(d)(4) under the Securities Act. Subject to receipt, the Depository will deliver such information, during any period in which the Company informs the Depository it is subject to the information delivery requirements of Rule 144(A)(d)(4), to any such holder, beneficial owner or prospective purchaser but in no event shall the Depository have any liability for the contents of any such information.

25. Copies of Company Notices

The Company has undertaken in the Deposit Agreement to transmit to the Custodian and the Depository on or before the day when the Company first gives notice, by mail, publication or otherwise, to holders of any Shares or other Deposited Property, whether in relation to the taking of any action in respect thereof or in respect of any dividend or other distribution thereon or of any meeting or adjourned meeting of such holders or otherwise, such number of copies of such notice and any other material (which contains information having a material bearing on the interests of the Holders) furnished to such holders by the Company (or such number of English translations of the originals if the originals were prepared in a language other than English) in connection therewith as the Depository may reasonably request. If such notice is not furnished to the Depository in English, either by the Company or the Custodian, the Depository shall, at the Company’s expense, arrange for an English translation thereof (which may be in such summarised form as the Depository may deem adequate to provide sufficient information) to be prepared. Except as provided below, the Depository shall, as soon as practicable after receiving notice of such transmission or (where appropriate) upon completion of translation thereof, give due notice to the Holders which notice may be given together with a notice pursuant to Condition 9.1, and shall make the same available to Holders in such manner as it may determine.

26. Moneys held by the Depository

The Depository shall be entitled to deal with moneys paid to it by the Company for the purposes of the Deposit Agreement in the same manner as other moneys paid to it as a banker by its customers and shall not be liable to account to the Company or any Holder or any other person for any interest thereon, except as otherwise agreed and shall not be obliged to segregate such moneys from other moneys belonging to the Depository.

27. Severability

If any one or more of the provisions contained in the Deposit Agreement or in these Conditions shall be or become invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions contained therein or herein shall in no way be affected, prejudiced or otherwise disturbed thereby.

28. Governing Law

- 28.1 The Deposit Agreement and the GDRs are governed by, and shall be construed in accordance with, English law except that the certifications set forth in Schedules 3 and 4 to the Deposit Agreement and any provisions relating thereto shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York. The rights and obligations attaching to the Deposited Shares will be governed by Cypriot law. The Company has submitted in respect of the Deposit Agreement and the Deed Poll to the jurisdiction of the English courts and the courts of the State of New York and any United States Federal Court sitting in the Borough of Manhattan, New York City. The Company has also agreed in the Deposit Agreement, and the Deed Poll to allow, respectively, the Depository and the Holders to elect that Disputes are resolved by arbitration.
- 28.2 The Company has irrevocably appointed Law Debenture Corporate Services Limited, as its agent in England to receive service of process in any Proceedings in England based on the Deed Poll and appointed Law Debenture Corporate Services Inc. as its agent in New York to receive service of process in any Proceedings in New York. If for any reason the Company does not have such an agent in England or New York as the case may be, it will promptly appoint a substitute process agent and notify the Holders and the Depository of such appointment. Nothing herein shall affect the right to serve process in any other manner permitted by law.
- 28.3 The courts of England are to have jurisdiction to settle any disputes (each a *Dispute*) which may arise out of or in connection with the GDRs and accordingly any legal action or proceedings arising out of or in connection with the GDRs (*Proceedings*) may be brought in such courts. Without prejudice to the foregoing, the Depository further irrevocably agrees that any Proceedings may be brought in any New York State or United States Federal Court sitting in the Borough of Manhattan, New York City. The Depository irrevocably submits to the non-exclusive jurisdiction of such courts and waives any objection to Proceedings in such courts whether on the ground of venue or on the ground that the Proceedings have been brought in an inconvenient forum.
- 28.4 These submissions are made for the benefit of each of the Holders and shall not limit the right of any of them to take Proceedings in any other court of competent jurisdiction nor shall the taking of Proceedings in one or more jurisdictions preclude the taking of Proceedings in any other jurisdictions (whether concurrently or not).
- 28.5 In the event that the Depository is made a party to, or is otherwise required to participate in, any litigation, arbitration, or Proceeding (whether judicial or administrative) which arises from or is related to or is based upon any act or failure to act by the Company, or which contains allegations to such effect, upon notice from the Depository, the Company has agreed to fully cooperate with the Depository in connection with such litigation, arbitration or Proceeding.
- 28.6 The Depository irrevocably appoints The Bank of New York Mellon, London Branch (Attention: The Manager) of 48th Floor, One Canada Square, London E14 5AL as its agent in England to receive service of process in any Proceedings in England based on any of the GDRs. If for any reason the Depository does not have such an agent in England, it will promptly appoint a substitute process agent and notify the Holders of such appointment. Nothing herein shall affect the right to serve process in any other manner permitted by law.

SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS RELATING TO THE GLOBAL DEPOSITARY RECEIPTS WHILE IN MASTER FORM

The GDRs will be evidenced by (i) the Master Regulation S GDR in registered form and (ii) the Master Rule 144A GDR in registered form. The Master Rule 144A GDR is registered in the name of Cede & Co. as nominee for DTC, and is held by The Bank of New York Mellon in New York as custodian for DTC. The Master Regulation S GDR is deposited with The Bank of New York Mellon, London Branch, as common depositary for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg and registered in the name of The Bank of New York Depository (Nominees) Limited.

The Master GDRs contain provisions which apply to the GDRs while they are in master form, some of which modify the effect of the terms and conditions of the GDRs set forth under “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*”. The following is a summary of certain of those provisions. Unless otherwise defined herein, the terms defined in the “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*” shall have the same meaning herein.

The Master GDRs will only be exchanged for certificates in definitive registered form representing GDRs in the circumstances described below in whole but not in part. The Depositary has irrevocably undertaken in the Master GDRs to deliver certificates evidencing GDRs in definitive registered form in exchange for the relevant Master GDR to the Holders within 60 calendar days in the event that:

- DTC, or any successor to DTC, in the case of the Master Rule 144A GDR, or Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg, or any successor to them, in the case of the Master Regulation S GDR, notifies the Company that it is unwilling or unable to continue as depositary and a successor depositary is not appointed within 90 calendar days;
- either DTC in the case of Master Rule 144A GDR, or Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg in the case of the Master Regulation S GDR, is closed for business for a continuous period of 14 calendar days (other than by reason of holiday, statutory or otherwise) or announces an intention permanently to cease business or does in fact do so, and, in each case, no alternative clearing system satisfactory to the Depositary is available within 45 calendar days;
- in respect of the Master Rule 144A GDR, DTC or any successor ceases to be a “clearing agency” registered under the Exchange Act, as amended; or
- the Depositary has determined that, on the occasion of the next payment in respect of the GDRs, the Depositary or its agent would be required to make any deduction or withholding from any payment in respect of the GDRs which would not be required were the GDRs represented by certificates in definitive registered form, provided that the Depositary shall have no obligation to so determine or to attempt to so determine.

Any exchange shall be at the Company’s expense, including printing costs.

A GDR evidenced by an individual definitive certificate will not be eligible for clearing and settlement through Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg or DTC. Pursuant to the conditions set forth under “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*”, upon any exchange of a Master GDR for certificates in definitive registered form, or any exchange of interests between the Master Rule 144A GDR and the Master Regulation S GDR, or any distribution of GDRs or any reduction in the number of GDRs represented thereby following any withdrawal of Deposited Property, the relevant details shall be entered by the Depositary on the register maintained by the Depositary whereupon the number of GDRs represented by the relevant Master GDR shall be reduced or increased (as the case may be) for all purposes by the amount so exchanged and entered on the register, provided always that, if the number of GDRs represented by a Master GDR is reduced to zero, such Master GDR shall continue in existence until the Company’s obligations under the Deposit Agreement and the obligations of the Depositary pursuant to the Deposit Agreement and the Conditions have terminated.

PAYMENTS, DISTRIBUTIONS AND VOTING RIGHTS

Payments of cash dividends and other amounts (including cash distributions) will, in the case of GDRs represented by the Master Regulation S GDR, be made by the Depositary through Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg and, in the case of GDRs represented by the Master Rule 144A GDR, will be made by the Depositary through DTC, on behalf of persons entitled thereto upon receipt of the relevant funds from the Company. Any free distribution or rights issue of Ordinary Shares to the Depositary on behalf of the Holders will result in the records

maintained by the Depositary being adjusted to reflect the enlarged number of GDRs represented by the relevant Master GDR.

Holders will have voting rights as set forth under “Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts”.

SURRENDER OF GDRS

Any requirement in the “*Terms and Conditions of the Global Depositary Receipts*” relating to the surrender of a GDR represented by the Master Regulation S GDR to the Depositary shall be satisfied by the production by Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg, and relating to the surrender of a GDR represented by the Master Rule 144A GDR to the Depositary shall be satisfied by the production by DTC, on behalf of a person entitled to an interest therein of such evidence of entitlement of such person as the Depositary may reasonably require, which is expected to be a certificate or other documents issued by Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg or DTC, as appropriate. The delivery or production of any such evidence shall be sufficient evidence, in favour of the Depositary, any Agent and the Custodian of the title of such person to receive (or to issue instructions for the receipt of) all money or other property payable or distributable in respect of the Deposited Property represented by such GDRs.

NOTICES

For as long as the Master Regulation S GDR is registered in the name of the common depositary (or its nominee) for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg, and the Master Rule 144A GDR is registered in the name of DTC (or its nominee), notices to Holders may be given by the Depositary by delivery of the relevant notice to Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg with respect to the Master Regulation S GDR, and to DTC with respect to the Master Rule 144A GDR, for communication to persons entitled thereto in substitution for delivery of notices in accordance with their terms except that so long as the GDRs are listed on the Official List maintained by the Financial Services Authority and admitted for trading on the London Stock Exchange and the Financial Services Authority or the London Stock Exchange so requires, notices shall also be published in a leading newspaper having general circulation in the UK (which is expected to be the *Financial Times*).

The Master GDRs are governed by and must be construed in accordance with English law.

TAXATION

The following summary of material Cyprus, US federal income and United Kingdom tax consequences of ownership of the GDRs is based upon laws, regulations, decrees, rulings, income tax conventions (treaties), administrative practice and judicial decisions in effect at the date of this Prospectus. Legislative, judicial or administrative changes or interpretations may, however, be forthcoming that could alter or modify the statements and conclusions set forth herein. Any such changes or interpretations may be retroactive and could affect the tax consequences to holders of GDRs. This summary does not purport to be a legal opinion or to address all tax aspects that may be relevant to a holder of GDRs. Each prospective holder is urged to consult its own tax adviser as to the particular tax consequences to such holder of the ownership and disposition of GDRs, including the applicability and effect of any other tax laws or tax treaties, and of pending or proposed changes in applicable tax laws as at the date of this Prospectus, and of any actual changes in applicable tax laws after such date.

CYPRUS TAX CONSIDERATIONS

Tax residency

A company which is considered to be a resident for tax purposes in Cyprus is subject to corporate income tax in Cyprus (**Corporate Income Tax**) on its worldwide income, subject to certain exemptions. A company is considered to be a resident of Cyprus for tax purposes if its management and control is exercised from Cyprus.

With respect to the individual GDR holders, an individual is considered to be a tax resident of Cyprus if he or she is physically present in Cyprus for a period or periods exceeding in aggregate more than 183 days in any calendar year.

Rates of taxation applicable to the Company

The rate of Corporate Income Tax in Cyprus is 10 per cent.

Defence Tax is levied on certain types of income. Defence Tax applies, subject to any available exemptions, at the following tax rates:

- (a) 3 per cent. on 75 per cent. of rental income;
- (b) 15 per cent. on interest income received or credited not arising in the ordinary course of the business or closely connected therewith; and
- (c) 20 per cent (17 per cent as of 1 January 2014) on dividend income received from non-Cyprus resident companies.

Defence Tax is levied on the gross amount of income without any deduction for expenses.

Capital gains tax (*Capital Gains Tax*) is levied in Cyprus at a rate of 20 per cent. on profits from disposal of immovable property situated in Cyprus or shares of companies which own immovable property situated in Cyprus (unless the shares are listed on a recognised stock exchange).

Taxation of income and gains of the Company

Gains from the disposal of securities

Any gain from disposal of securities by the Company shall be exempt from Corporate Income Tax irrespective of the trading nature of the gain, the number of shares held or the holding period and shall not be subject to Defence Tax. Such gains are also outside the scope of Capital Gains Tax provided that the company which shares are disposed of does not own any immovable property situated in Cyprus.

The definition of securities includes shares and bonds of companies or legal persons wherever incorporated and options thereon. GDRs are generally accepted as falling within the definition of securities.

The Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty grants Cyprus the exclusive right of taxing capital gains realised on disposal of securities by a Cypriot resident entity, which does not carry on activities in Russia through a permanent establishment (**PE**). However, pursuant to the Protocol to the Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty signed on 16 April 2009 (effective as of 1 January 2013), a new paragraph will be added to the Capital gains article to allocate the taxing rights to the source state (i.e. Russia) with respect to gains derived by a company resident in the other

Contracting state (i.e. Cyprus) from the alienation of shares (or similar rights) in companies that derive more than 50 per cent. of their value from immovable property situated in other Contracting state (i.e. Russia) subject to a number of exemptions. This provision will come into force on 1 January 2017.

Dividends to be received by the Company

Under the Russia-Cyprus double tax treaty, the maximum rate of Russian withholding tax on dividends should be 10 per cent. provided that the Company is the beneficial owner of the dividend income received and does not have a PE in Russia. This rate can be reduced to 5 per cent. if the Company has invested in the capital of a Russian company not less than the equivalent of USD 100,000 (EUR 100,000 as of 1 January 2013).

Dividend income (whether received from Cypriot resident or non-resident companies) is exempt from Corporate Income Tax in Cyprus. Moreover, dividend income received from other Cypriot resident companies is exempt from Defence Tax. Dividend income received from non-Cypriot resident companies is exempt from Defence Tax, unless the company paying the dividend engages directly or indirectly for more than 50 per cent. in activities which generate investment income and the foreign tax burden of the company paying the dividend is substantially lower than the tax burden of the company in Cyprus receiving the dividend (in practice “foreign tax burden being significantly lower” means that the dividend paying company is taxed at an effective tax rate of less than 5 per cent.). If the exemption for Defence Tax does not apply, dividends from non-Cypriot resident companies are subject to 20 per cent. Defence Tax (reduced to 17 per cent as of 1 January 2014).

Any foreign withholding tax incurred by the Company can be credited against any Defence Tax payable (if at all) in Cyprus in respect of dividend income. Moreover, the Company is eligible to claim foreign tax relief in respect of any underlying tax (i.e. corporate tax on profits) incurred by its Russian subsidiaries in case the dividend income from such companies is subject to Defence Tax. Furthermore, in case the Company receives dividends from a company which is resident in another EU member-state and these dividends are subject to Defence Tax, it is possible to claim a credit for any underlying tax which was paid in the other member-state. In this instance, the underlying tax relief includes the proportion of tax on the profits of the company paying the dividend and of any of its subsidiaries from which the dividend arises.

Interest income

Any interest accruing to the Company which is considered to arise in the ordinary course of its business, including interest which is closely connected with the ordinary course of its business qualifies as business income and shall be subject to Corporate Income Tax in Cyprus at a rate of 10 per cent. Such interest income shall be exempt from Defence Tax.

Specifically, interest income arising in connection with the provision of loans to related or associated parties should be generally considered as income arising from activities closely connected with the ordinary carrying on of a business and should as such be exempt from Defence Tax and only be subject to Corporate Income Tax.

Any other interest income (not arising in the ordinary course of its business or closely connected therewith) shall be exempt from the Corporate Income Tax and shall be subject to the Defence Tax at a rate of 15 per cent.

Taxation of income and gains of the GDR holders

Gains from disposal of GDRs by the GDR holders

A gain realised on the sale of GDRs by a non-resident holder shall not be subject to taxation in Cyprus.

A gain realised on the sale of GDRs by a resident holder shall be exempt from Corporate Income Tax in Cyprus as GDRs are considered to fall within definition of securities for Cypriot tax purposes. Such gains shall also be exempt from Capital Gains Tax in Cyprus since the GDRs will be traded on a recognised stock exchange.

Dividends to be received by the GDR holders

The Company is obliged to send out a questionnaire (IR 42 Questionnaire) to all of its shareholders (both individuals and corporate bodies) to ascertain their tax residency status. Through the questionnaire, the shareholders should inform the Company of their tax residency status. The Company is required to safe-keep these questionnaires and present them to the Cyprus tax authorities upon request.

Dividends to be received from the Company by non-resident GDR holders and corporate resident GDR holders shall not be subject to taxation in Cyprus, either by way of withholding or otherwise.

Dividends to be received from the Company by resident individual GDR holders shall be subject to Defence Tax at a rate of 20 per cent (reduced to 17 per cent as of 1 January 2014). In this instance, the Company will have an obligation to withhold and remit the resulting Defence Tax to the tax authorities in Cyprus.

Deemed distribution rules

The Defence Tax Law includes provisions for the deemed distribution of profits. If a Cypriot tax resident company does not distribute within two years from the end of the relevant tax year at least 70 per cent. of its after tax accounting profits (excluding revaluations, impairments and fair value adjustments), there will be a deemed distribution of 70 per cent. of such profits. The amount of deemed dividend is reduced by any actual distributions made up to the deemed distribution date. The Defence Tax is withheld only on the proportion of profits that are attributable to shareholders that are considered to be residents of Cyprus (both individuals and bodies of persons) as the deemed distribution rules do not apply to non-resident shareholders. The Defence Tax is a tax on shareholders payable by the Cyprus company which its profits are subject to the deemed distribution rules. The deemed dividend is subject to the Defence Tax at a rate of 20 per cent. (reduced to 17 per cent. as of 1 January 2014).

Through a Circular (2011/ 10 dated 13 September 2011), the Commissioner of Income Tax has clarified that the deemed distribution rules should apply only in cases where the ultimate (beneficial) shareholders of a Cyprus (tax resident) company are considered to be residents for tax purposes of Cyprus. Certain declarations should be filed with the tax authorities in case the direct registered shareholder(s) is a company considered to be resident for the tax purposes of Cyprus.

If a person who is not tax resident in Cyprus receives a dividend from a Cypriot tax resident company and that dividend is paid out of profits which at any stage were subjected to the deemed dividend distribution rules described above, then the Defence Tax paid due to the deemed distribution which relates to the dividends received by such person is refundable.

The Company is obliged to send out a questionnaire (IR 42 Questionnaire) to all of its shareholders (both individuals and corporate bodies) to ascertain their tax residency status. Through the questionnaire, the shareholders should inform the Company of their tax residency status. The Company is required to safe-keep these questionnaires and present them to the Cyprus tax authorities upon request.

Withholding taxes on payments of interest

No withholding taxes shall apply in Cyprus with respect to payments of interest by the Company to non-tax resident lenders (both corporations and individuals).

There shall be no withholding tax in Cyprus on interest paid by the Company to Cypriot tax resident corporate lenders. This is unless the resident corporate lender receiving the interest is not considered to have generated this interest in the course of its ordinary activities (or in connection with activities closely connected to the ordinary carrying on of its business), which in this case the Company shall have an obligation to withhold Defence Tax at a rate of 15 per cent. on interest payments made in favour of Cypriot tax resident corporate lenders.

Any payment of interest by the Company to Cypriot tax resident individual lenders shall be subject to withholding tax in Cyprus at a rate of 15 per cent. This is unless the resident individual lender receiving the interest is considered to have generated this interest in the course of its ordinary activities (or in connection with activities closely connected to the ordinary carrying on of its business) and has provided the Company with confirmation in writing from the Cypriot tax authorities confirming this, in which case the Company shall have no obligation to withhold any Defence Tax..

Tax deductibility of interest expenses

Interest expenses are tax deductible if they are incurred wholly and exclusively for the production of taxable income. However, no deduction shall be allowed for interest applicable or deemed to be applicable to the cost of purchasing assets not used in the business. This provision applies for a period of seven years from the date of purchase of the relevant asset. In this respect and based on the current tax policy, the investment in a subsidiary or an associated company is considered as non-business asset and any interest expense that relates (or deemed to relate) to the acquisition/financing of such assets (even if a subsidiary is to distribute dividends on a regular basis) is considered not to be tax deductible. The restricted interest expense is usually determined by the following apportionment methodology: cost of the investment in shares multiplied by the average interest borrowing rate.

It is also relevant to note that in accordance with tax legislation enacted on 21 June 2012 taking retrospective effect as from 1 January 2012, it may be possible to claim an interest expense deduction for Corporate Income Tax purposes if the purpose of the loan was to finance the acquisition of an investment in a wholly owned subsidiary company (which is made as of 1 January 2012) subject to certain conditions and restrictions. However, as of the date of this Prospectus, the law allowing for the tax deductibility of interest expenses has not yet been signed by the President of the Republic and consequently has not yet been published in the Official Gazette of the Republic meaning that the law has not yet come into force.

Capital duty

Capital duty in the form of registration fees is payable to the Registrar of Companies in respect of the registered authorised and issued share capital of a Cypriot company upon its incorporation and its upon subsequent increases thereon.

The capital duty rates for subsequent changes of the registered authorised and issued share capital are as follows:

- (a) 0.6 per cent. on the nominal value of additional registered authorised share capital; and
- (b) EUR 17.09 flat duty on every issue, whether the shares are issued at their nominal value or at a premium.

No capital duty is payable on share premium.

Stamp duty

Cyprus levies stamp duty on every instrument if:

- (a) it relates to any property situated in Cyprus; or
- (b) it relates to any matter or thing which is performed or done in Cyprus.

There are instruments which are subject to stamp duty in Cyprus at a fixed fee (ranging from three cents to EUR 34.17) and instruments which are subject to stamp duty based on the value of the instrument (0.15 per cent. for the first EUR 170,860.14 and 0.2 per cent. thereafter plus EUR 256.30 for contract exceeding EUR 170,860.14). The stamp duty is capped to a maximum of EUR 170,860.14 per agreement/contract. Moreover, if more than one document/agreement is entered into which relate to the same matter (whether concurrently or at different times), stamp duty is levied only in respect of the principal/primary document. The remaining (secondary) documents are subject to stamp duty at the fixed amount of EUR 1.71 each.

The above obligation arises irrespective of whether the instrument is executed in Cyprus or abroad. If the instruments are executed outside Cyprus, payment of the stamp duty may be deferred until the instruments are first brought into Cyprus, whereupon they shall be deemed, for the purpose of the payment of stamp duty, to have been first executed on the date of their receipt in Cyprus.

With regard to loans to be provided by the Company to its foreign subsidiaries, the Commissioner of Stamp Duty is usually expected to be satisfied that the loan agreements should not be subject to stamp duty in Cyprus provided the agreement is governed by a foreign law and is to be submitted to the courts of a foreign jurisdiction, the contract is executed outside of Cyprus and neither the loan asset nor the shares of a Cypriot company are to be secured by way of a registered charge, either in Cyprus or abroad.

UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following discussion is a general summary based on present law of certain US federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of the GDRs. The summary is not a complete description of all tax considerations that may be relevant. It applies only to US Holders (as defined below) that acquire GDRs, hold GDRs as capital assets for US federal income tax purposes and use the US Dollar as their functional currency. It does not address the tax treatment of investors subject to special rules, such as banks, tax-exempt entities, insurance companies, dealers, traders in securities that elect to mark to market, investors liable for the alternative minimum tax, US expatriates, investors that directly, indirectly or constructively own 10 per cent. or more of our voting stock, investors that are resident or ordinarily resident in or have a permanent establishment outside the US or investors that hold the GDRs as part of a straddle, hedging, conversion or other integrated transaction. It also does not address US state and local considerations.

THE STATEMENTS ABOUT US FEDERAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS ARE MADE TO SUPPORT THE MARKETING OF THE GDRS. NO TAXPAYER CAN RELY ON THEM TO AVOID TAX PENALTIES. EACH PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER SHOULD SEEK ADVICE FROM AN INDEPENDENT TAX ADVISOR ABOUT THE TAX CONSEQUENCES UNDER ITS OWN PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES OF INVESTING IN THE GDRS UNDER THE LAWS OF CYPRUS, RUSSIA, THE UNITED STATES AND ITS CONSTITUENT JURISDICTIONS, AND ANY OTHER JURISDICTIONS WHERE THE PURCHASER MAY BE SUBJECT TO TAXATION.

As used here, “US Holder” means a beneficial owner of GDRs that, for US federal income tax purposes, is (i) a citizen or individual resident of the United States, (ii) a corporation or other business entity treated as a corporation created or organised under the laws of the United States or its political subdivisions, (iii) an estate the income of which is subject to US federal income tax without regard to its source or (iv) a trust subject to the control of one or more US persons and the primary supervision of a US court or a trust that has elected to be treated as a US Person.

The US federal income tax treatment of a partner in a partnership that holds GDRs will depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Partners in a prospective purchaser that is a partnership should consult their own tax advisors regarding the specific US federal income tax consequences to them of the partnership’s acquisition, ownership and disposition of the GDRs.

Generally, holders of GDRs will be treated for US federal income tax purposes as holding Ordinary Shares represented by the GDRs. No gain or loss will be recognised upon an exchange of Ordinary Shares for GDRs or an exchange of GDRs for Ordinary Shares, provided the Depositary has not taken any action inconsistent with the Deposit Agreement or the US Holder’s ownership of the underlying shares.

Dividends

Subject to the passive foreign investment company (**PFIC**) rules discussed below, dividends on the GDRs should be included in a US Holder’s gross income as ordinary income from foreign sources. Dividends will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction generally allowable to US corporations or for the preferential tax rate applicable to qualified dividend income of individuals and certain other non-corporate taxpayers. Dividends paid in currency other than US Dollars will be includable in income in a US Dollar amount based on the exchange rate in effect on the date of receipt by the Depositary whether or not the payment is converted into US Dollars at that time. A US Holder will have a basis in the currency received equal to the US Dollar value on the date of receipt by the Depositary. Any gain or loss on a subsequent conversion or other disposition of the currency for a different US Dollar amount generally will be US source ordinary income or loss. Dividends received by non-corporate US Holders in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012 generally will be includable in computing net investment income of such US Holder for purposes of the Medicare surtax.

Disposition

Subject to the PFIC rules discussed below, a US Holder will recognise gain or loss when it disposes of the GDRs in an amount equal to any difference between the US Dollar value of the amount realised and its adjusted tax basis in the GDRs. A US Holder’s adjusted tax basis in the GDRs generally will be its US Dollar cost. Capital gain or loss generally will be treated as arising from sources within the United States for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. The capital gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if a US Holder has held the GDRs for more than one year. Deductions for capital losses are subject to limitations. Gains realised by non-corporate US Holders in taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012 generally will be includable in computing net investment income of such US Holder for purposes of the Medicare surtax.

Passive foreign investment company

The Company believes it is not, and is not likely to become, a PFIC for US federal income tax purposes. A non-US corporation is a PFIC in any taxable year in which, after taking into account the income and assets of subsidiaries in which the non-US corporation owns at least a 25 per cent. interest, either (i) at least 75 per cent. of its gross income is passive income (such as dividends, interest, rents, royalties and the excess of gains over losses from the disposition of assets that produce passive income) or (ii) at least 50 per cent. of the average quarterly value of its assets consists of assets producing or held to produce passive income. Since the determination whether the Company is or has become a PFIC must be made on an annual basis, the Company’s status could change depending upon (among other things) the quarterly market value of the Company’s shares and changes in the Company’s activities and assets (including income and assets of 25 per cent. or more owned subsidiaries). Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the Company will not be a PFIC in the current or any future year or that the US Internal Revenue Service (**IRS**) will not challenge any determination concerning its PFIC status. If the Company were a

PFIC in any year during which a US Holder owns GDRs, the US Holder would be subject in that and subsequent years to additional taxes on distributions exceeding 125 per cent. of the average amount received during the three preceding taxable years (or, if shorter, the US Holder's holding period) and on any gain from the disposition of the GDRs (regardless of whether the Company continued to be a PFIC) and also would be subject to additional tax form filing requirements. US Holders should consult their own tax advisors concerning the Company's possible PFIC status and the consequences to them if the Company were a PFIC for any taxable year.

Investor reporting requirements

Certain US Holders are required to report to the IRS information with respect to their investment in the GDRs not held through an account with a financial institution. Investors who fail to report required information could become subject to substantial penalties. Prospective investors are encouraged to consult with their own tax advisors regarding information reporting requirements with respect to their investment in the GDRs.

Information reporting and backup withholding

Dividends on and proceeds from the sale or other disposition of the GDRs that are made within the United States or through certain US-related financial intermediaries may be reported to the IRS unless the US Holder is a corporation or otherwise establishes a basis for exemption. Backup withholding tax may apply to amounts subject to reporting if the US Holder fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number or otherwise establish a basis for exemption. A US Holder can claim a credit against its US federal income tax liability for amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules, and a US Holder can claim a refund for amounts in excess of its tax liability if it provides the required information to the IRS. Each prospective purchaser should consult its own tax advisor about qualifying for exemption from backup withholding.

THE DISCUSSION ABOVE IS A GENERAL SUMMARY. IT DOES NOT COVER ALL TAX MATTERS THAT MAY BE IMPORTANT TO A PARTICULAR INVESTOR. EACH PROSPECTIVE INVESTOR SHOULD CONSULT ITS OWN TAX ADVISOR ABOUT THE TAX CONSEQUENCES OF AN INVESTMENT IN THE GDRS UNDER THE INVESTOR'S OWN CIRCUMSTANCES.

UNITED KINGDOM TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The comments below are of a general nature and are based on current UK law and published HM Revenue & Customs practice as of the date of this Prospectus, both of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. This summary only covers the principal UK tax consequences for the absolute beneficial owners of GDRs (and any dividends paid in respect of them), in circumstances where the dividends paid are regarded for UK tax purposes as those persons' own income, and not the income of some other person, who are resident, (and, in the case of individuals only, ordinarily resident and domiciled) in the UK for tax purposes and who are not resident in any other jurisdiction and do not have a permanent establishment or fixed base in any other jurisdiction with which the holding of GDRs is connected (*UK holders*). In addition, this summary: (a) only addresses the tax consequences for UK holders who hold the GDRs as capital assets and does not address the tax consequences which may be relevant to certain other categories of UK holders, for example, dealers; (b) does not address the tax consequences for UK holders that are banks, financial institutions, insurance companies, collective investment schemes or persons connected (other than by reason of holding the GDRs) with the Company; (c) assumes that the UK holder does not control or hold, either alone or together with one or more associated or connected persons, directly or indirectly, 10 per cent. or more of the Ordinary Shares or voting power, rights to profit or capital of the Company; (d) assumes that there will be no register in the UK in respect of any interest in the GDRs or in the underlying Ordinary Shares; (e) assumes that the underlying Ordinary Shares and the GDRs will not be held by, or issued, as applicable, by a depository incorporated in the UK; (f) assumes that neither the GDRs nor the underlying Ordinary Shares will be paired with shares issued by a company incorporated in the UK; (g) assumes that the UK holder of GDRs is, for UK tax purposes, beneficially entitled to the underlying Ordinary Shares and to dividends on those Ordinary Shares; (h) assumes that the UK holder has not (and is not deemed to have) acquired the GDRs by virtue of an office or employment; (j) assumes that the Company is not resident for tax purposes in the UK; and (k) assumes that an electronic book-entry settlement system is available in respect of the GDRs.

THE FOLLOWING IS INTENDED ONLY AS A GENERAL GUIDE AND IS NOT INTENDED TO BE, NOR SHOULD IT BE CONSIDERED TO BE, LEGAL OR TAX ADVICE TO ANY PARTICULAR UK HOLDER. POTENTIAL INVESTORS SHOULD SATISFY THEMSELVES AS TO THE OVERALL TAX CONSEQUENCES, INCLUDING, SPECIFICALLY, THE CONSEQUENCES UNDER UK LAW AND HM REVENUE & CUSTOMS PRACTICE, OF ACQUISITION, OWNERSHIP AND DISPOSITION OF GDRS IN THEIR OWN PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES, BY CONSULTING THEIR OWN PROFESSIONAL TAX ADVISORS.

Taxation of dividends

Income tax and corporation tax

Withholding tax

Dividend payments in respect of the GDRs should not be subject to UK withholding tax.

UK holders are referred to the statements regarding Cyprus tax in “—*Cyprus Tax Considerations—Taxation of income and gains of the GDR holders—Dividends to be received by the GDR holders*”. The following paragraphs proceed on the basis that no withholding tax is levied in Cyprus on dividend payments in respect of the GDRs.

Individual UK holders of GDRs

Dividends received by individual UK holders will be subject to UK income tax. This is charged on the gross amount of any dividend paid (***gross dividend***) as increased for any UK tax credit available as described below. An individual UK holder who is resident for tax purposes in the UK and who receives a dividend from the Company will generally be entitled to a tax credit equal to one-ninth of the amount of the gross dividend, which is equivalent to 10 per cent. of the aggregate of the dividend and the tax credit. The UK tax credit can generally be set against the individual’s liability to income tax for the tax year in which the dividend is paid.

An individual UK holder who is subject to income tax at a rate or rates not exceeding the basic rate will be liable to tax on the aggregate of the gross dividend and the UK tax credit at the rate of 10 per cent., so that the tax credit will satisfy the income tax liability of such a holder in full.

An individual UK holder who is subject to income tax at the higher rate will be liable to income tax on the aggregate of the gross dividend and the UK tax credit at the rate of 32.5 per cent. to the extent that such sum, when treated as the top slice of that holder’s income, falls above the threshold for higher rate income tax (which is £34,370 in the 2012/2013 tax year). So, for example, a gross dividend of £90 will carry a tax credit of £10 and the UK income tax payable on the dividend by an individual UK holder of GDRs who is subject to income tax at the higher rate would be 32.5 per cent. of £100, namely £32.50, less the tax credit of £10, leaving a net tax charge of £22.50.

An individual UK holder who is subject to income tax at the additional rate will be liable to income tax on the aggregate of the gross dividend and the UK tax credit at the rate of 42.5 per cent. (reducing to 37.5 per cent. from 6 April 2013) to the extent that such sum, when treated as the top slice of that holder’s income, falls above the threshold for additional rate income tax (which is £150,000 in the 2012/2013 tax year). So, for example, a gross dividend of £90 will carry a tax credit of £10 and the UK income tax payable on the dividend by an individual UK holder of GDRs who is subject to income tax at the additional rate would be 42.5 per cent. of £100 (reducing to 37.5 per cent. from 6 April 2013), namely £42.50 (or £37.50 from 6 April 2013), less the tax credit of £10, leaving a net tax charge of £32.50 (or £27.50 from 6 April 2013).

Where the tax credit exceeds the holder’s tax liability the holder cannot generally claim repayment of the UK tax credit from HM Revenue & Customs.

Corporate UK holders of GDRs

Where a corporate UK holder is within the charge to corporation tax, it will be subject to corporation tax on the actual amount of any dividend paid on the GDRs, unless (subject to special rules for such UK holders that are small companies) the dividend falls within an exempt class and certain other conditions are met. Although it is likely that most dividends paid on the GDRs to UK holders within the charge to UK corporation tax would fall within one or more of the classes of dividend qualifying for exemption from corporation tax, the exemptions are not comprehensive and are also subject to anti-avoidance rules.

Provision of information

Persons in the United Kingdom paying “foreign dividends” to, or receiving “foreign dividends” on behalf of, an individual may be required to provide certain information to HM Revenue & Customs regarding the identity of the payee or the person entitled to the “foreign dividend” and, in certain circumstances, such information may be exchanged with tax authorities in other countries. Certain payments on or under the GDRs may constitute “foreign dividends” for this purpose.

HMRC view on dividends paid from certain sources

The statements contained above under “*Taxation of dividends*” reflect the Company’s understanding of the correct interpretation of current UK tax law. However, HMRC’s views in relation to the treatment of certain types of distribution received by UK resident corporate and UK resident individual shareholders remain currently unclear. As a result, there is a possibility that HMRC may seek to argue that certain distributions which are not paid from retained earnings (e.g. distributions made out of share premium or capital reserves) should not be treated as income distributions, but are instead within the charge to tax on chargeable gains. In light of this uncertainty, prospective investors are advised to consult their own professional advisers in relation to the implications of any distributions by the Company otherwise than out of retained earnings.

Taxation of disposals

UK holders are referred to the statements regarding Cyprus tax in “—*Cyprus Tax Considerations—Taxation of income and gains of the GDR holders—Gains from disposal of GDRs by the GDR holders*”. The following paragraphs proceed on the basis that no withholding tax is levied in Cyprus on disposal of GDRs.

The disposal or deemed disposal of GDRs by a UK holder may give rise to a chargeable gain or an allowable loss for the purposes of UK taxation of chargeable gains, depending on the UK holder’s circumstances and subject to any available exemption or relief. In the case of a corporate UK holder indexation allowance may be available to reduce or eliminate a chargeable gain, but not generate or increase an allowable loss. In the case of an individual UK holder indexation allowance is not available and chargeable gains are generally liable to capital gains tax at the applicable rate. An individual UK holder is currently entitled to an annual exemption from UK tax on chargeable gains up to £10,600 (in the 2012/2013 tax year).

In addition, UK holders who are individuals and who dispose of their GDRs while they are temporarily non-resident (i.e. not resident and not ordinarily resident) may be treated as disposing of them in the tax year in which they again become resident or ordinarily resident in the UK if (broadly speaking) the period of non-residence is less than five tax years. Any gains or losses in respect of currency fluctuations over the period of holding the GDRs would also be brought into account on the disposal.

Stamp duty and stamp duty reserve tax

No UK stamp duty or stamp duty reserve tax should be payable on (i) the issue of the GDRs, (ii) the delivery of the GDRs into DTC, Euroclear or Clearstream, or (iii) any dealings in the GDRs once they are delivered into such clearance systems, where such dealings are effected in electronic book-entry form in accordance with the procedures of DTC, Euroclear or Clearstream (as applicable) and not by written instrument of transfer.

No stamp duty reserve tax should be payable in respect of any agreement to transfer the GDRs.

Assuming that any document effecting a transfer of, or containing an agreement to transfer an equitable interest in, the GDRs is neither (i) executed in the UK, nor (ii) relates to any property situate, or to any matter or thing done or to be done, in the UK (which may include involvement of UK bank accounts in payment mechanics), then no UK stamp duty should be payable on such document.

Even if a document effecting a transfer of, or containing an agreement to transfer an equitable interest in, the GDRs is (i) executed in the UK and/or (ii) relates to any property situate, or to any matter or thing done or to be done, in the UK, in practice it should not be necessary to pay any UK stamp duty on such document unless the document is required for any purposes in the UK. If it is necessary to pay UK stamp duty, it may also be necessary to pay interest and penalties.

Inheritance tax

UK inheritance tax may be chargeable on the death of, or in certain circumstances on a gift by, the owner of GDRs, where the owner is an individual who is domiciled or is deemed to be domiciled in the UK. For inheritance tax purposes, a transfer of assets at less than full market value may be treated as a gift and particular rates apply to gifts where the donor reserves or retains some benefit.

UK holders should consult an appropriate professional adviser if they make a gift or transfer of value of any kind or intend to hold the GDRs through trust arrangements.

SELLING AND TRANSFER RESTRICTIONS

SELLING RESTRICTIONS

The distribution of this document in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law and therefore persons into whose possession this document comes should inform themselves about and observe any restrictions, including those set forth in the paragraphs that follow. Any failure to comply with these restrictions may constitute a violation of the securities laws of any such jurisdiction. No action has been or will be taken in any jurisdiction that would permit a public offering of the GDRs, or possession or distribution of this Prospectus or any other offering material in any country or jurisdiction where action for that purpose is required. Accordingly, the GDRs may not be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, and neither this Prospectus nor any other offering material or advertisement in connection with the GDRs may be distributed or published in or from any country or jurisdiction except under circumstances that will result in compliance with any and all applicable rules and regulations of any such country or jurisdiction. Persons into whose possession this Prospectus comes should inform themselves about and observe any restrictions on the distribution of this Prospectus and the offer, subscription and sale of the GDRs. Any failure to comply with these restrictions may constitute a violation of the securities laws of any such jurisdiction. This Prospectus does not constitute an offer to subscribe for or buy any of the GDRs to any person in any jurisdiction to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction.

SETTLEMENT AND TRANSFER

Clearing And Settlement of GDRs

Custodial and depository links have been established between Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC to facilitate the initial issue of the GDRs and cross-market transfers of the GDRs associated with secondary market trading.

Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg

Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg each hold securities for participating organisations and facilitate the clearance and settlement of securities transactions between their respective participants through electronic book-entry changes in accounts of such participants. Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg provide to their respective participants, among other things, services for safekeeping, administration, clearance and settlement of internationally-traded securities and securities lending and borrowing. Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg participants are financial institutions throughout the world, including underwriters, securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations and certain other organisations. Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg have established an electronic bridge between their two systems across which their respective customers may settle trades with each other. Indirect access to Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg is also available to others, such as banks, brokers, dealers and trust companies, which clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participant, either directly or indirectly.

Distributions of dividends and other payments with respect to book-entry interests in the GDRs held through Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg will be credited, to the extent received by the Depository, to the cash accounts of Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participants in accordance with the relevant system's rules and procedures.

DTC

DTC is a limited-purpose trust company organised under the laws of the State of New York, a "banking organisation" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the United States Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Exchange Act. DTC holds securities for DTC participants and facilitates the clearance and settlement of securities transactions between DTC participants through electronic computerised book-entry changes in DTC participants' accounts. DTC participants include securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations and certain other organisations. Indirect access to the DTC system is also available to others such as securities brokers and dealers, banks and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a DTC participant, either directly or indirectly.

Holders of book-entry interests in the GDRs holding through DTC will receive, to the extent received by the Depository, all distributions of dividends or other payments with respect to book-entry interests in the GDRs from the Depository through DTC and DTC participants. Distributions in the United States will be subject to relevant tax laws and regulations of the United States. See "*Taxation—United States Federal Income Tax Considerations*".

As DTC can act on behalf of DTC direct participants only, who in turn act on behalf of DTC indirect participants, the ability of beneficial owners who are indirect participants to pledge book-entry interests in the GDRs to persons or entities that do not participate in DTC, or otherwise take actions with respect to book-entry interests in the GDRs, may be limited.

Registration and Form of GDRs

Book-entry interests in the GDRs held through Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg are represented by a Master Regulation S GDR registered in the name of The Bank of New York Depository (Nominees) Limited, as nominee for The Bank of New York Mellon, London Branch, as common depository for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg. Book-entry interests in the GDRs held through DTC are represented by a Master Rule 144A GDR registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC, which will be held by the Depository as custodian for DTC. As necessary, the Depository will adjust the amounts of GDRs on the relevant register to reflect the amounts of GDRs held through Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC, respectively. Beneficial ownership in the GDRs will be held through financial institutions as direct and indirect participants in Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC. The aggregate holdings of book-entry interests in the GDRs in Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC will be reflected in the book-entry accounts of each such institution. Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC, as the case may be, and every other intermediate holder in the chain to the beneficial owner

of book-entry interest in the GDRs, will be responsible for establishing and maintaining accounts for their participants and customers having interests in the book-entry interests in the GDRs. The Depositary will be responsible for maintaining a record of the aggregate holdings of GDRs registered in the name of the common depositary for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg and the nominee for DTC. The Depositary will be responsible for ensuring that payments received by it from the Company for holders holding through Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg are credited to Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg as the case may be, and the Depositary will also be responsible for ensuring that payments received by it from the Company for holders holding through DTC are received by DTC.

The Company will not impose any fees in respect of the GDRs; however, holders of book-entry interests in the GDRs may incur fees normally payable in respect of the maintenance and operation of accounts in Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg or DTC and certain fees and expenses payable to the Depositary in accordance with the terms of the Deposit Agreement.

Global Clearance and Settlement Procedures

Initial settlement

The GDRs will be in global form evidenced by the two Master GDRs. Purchasers electing to hold book-entry interests in the GDRs through Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg accounts will follow the settlement procedures applicable to depositary receipts. DTC participants acting on behalf of purchasers electing to hold book-entry interests in the GDRs through DTC will follow the delivery practices applicable to depositary receipts.

Secondary market trading

For a description of the transfer restrictions relating to the GDRs, see “*Selling and Transfer Restrictions—Transfer Restrictions*”.

Trading between Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg participants. Secondary market sales of book-entry interests in the GDRs held through Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg to purchasers of book-entry interests in the GDRs through Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg will be conducted in accordance with the normal rules and operating procedures of Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg and will be settled using the normal procedures applicable to depositary receipts.

Trading between DTC participants. Secondary market sales of book-entry interests in the GDRs held through DTC will occur in the ordinary way in accordance with DTC rules and will be settled using the procedures applicable to depositary receipts, if payment is effected in US Dollars, or free of payment, if payment is not effected in US Dollars. Where payment is not effected in US Dollars, separate payment arrangements outside DTC are required to be made between the DTC participants.

Trading between DTC seller and Euroclear/Clearstream, Luxembourg purchaser. When book-entry interests in the GDRs are to be transferred from the account of a DTC participant to the account of a Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participant, the DTC participant must send to DTC a delivery free of payment instruction at least two business days prior to the settlement date. DTC will in turn transmit such instruction to Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg, as the case may be, on the settlement date. Separate payment arrangements are required to be made between the DTC participant and the relevant Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participant. On the settlement date, DTC will debit the account of its DTC participant and will instruct the Depositary to instruct Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg, as the case may be, to credit the relevant account of the Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participant, as the case may be. In addition, on the settlement date, DTC will instruct the Depositary to (i) decrease the amount of book-entry interests in the GDRs registered in the name of a nominee for DTC and represented by the Master Rule 144A GDR and (ii) increase the amount of book-entry interests in the GDRs registered in the name of the common nominee for Euroclear and Clearstream, Luxembourg and represented by the Master Regulation S GDR.

Trading between Euroclear/Clearstream, Luxembourg seller and DTC purchaser. When book-entry interests in the GDRs are to be transferred from the account of a Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participant to the account of a DTC participant, the Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participant must send to Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg a delivery free of payment instruction at least one business day prior to the settlement date. Separate payment arrangements are required to be made between the DTC participant and the relevant Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg participant, as the case may be. On the settlement date, Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg, as the case may be, will debit the account of its participant and will instruct the Depositary to instruct DTC to credit the relevant account of Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg, as the case may be, and will deliver such book-entry interests in the GDRs free of payment to the relevant account of the DTC

participant. In addition, Euroclear or Clearstream, Luxembourg, as the case may be, shall on the settlement date instruct the Depositary to (i) decrease the amount of the book-entry interests in the GDRs registered in the name of the common nominee and evidenced by the Master Regulation S GDR and (ii) increase the amount of the book-entry interests in the GDRs registered in the name of a nominee for DTC and represented by the Master Rule 144A GDR.

General

Although the foregoing sets forth the procedures of Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC in order to facilitate the transfers of interests in the GDRs among participants of Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg and DTC, none of Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg or DTC are under any obligation to perform or continue to perform such procedures, and such procedures may be discontinued at any time.

None of the Group, the Joint Bookrunners, the Depositary, the Custodian or their respective agents will have any responsibility for the performance by Euroclear, Clearstream, Luxembourg or DTC or their respective participants of their respective obligations under the rules and procedures governing their operations.

INFORMATION RELATING TO THE DEPOSITARY

The Depositary is a state-chartered New York banking corporation and a member of the United States Federal Reserve System, subject to regulation and supervision principally by the United States Federal Reserve Board and the New York State Banking Department. The Depositary was constituted in 1784 in the State of New York. It is a wholly owned subsidiary of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation, a New York bank holding company. The principal office of the Depositary is located at One Wall Street, New York, NY 10286. Its principal administrative offices are located at 101 Barclay Street, 22 floor West, New York, NY 10286. A copy of the Depositary's Articles of Association, as amended, together with copies of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation's most recent financial statements and annual report are available for inspection at the principal office of the Depositary located at One Wall Street, New York, NY 10286 and at The Bank of New York Mellon, One Canada Square, London E14 5AL.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011 have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited, independent auditors, as stated in the Independent Auditor's Report incorporated by reference herein. The Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the years ended 31 December 2010 and 2009 have been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited, as stated in their report incorporated by reference to this Prospectus. See "*Presentation of Financial and Other Information—Incorporation by Reference*". PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited have registered offices at City House, 6 Kariaskakis Street, CY-3032 Limassol, Cyprus. PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited is a member of the Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Cyprus.

With respect to the unaudited Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information incorporated by reference herein, PricewaterhouseCoopers Limited reported that they have applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their separate report dated 31 August 2012 incorporated by reference herein states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied.

The MIT Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011 have been audited by ZAO PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit, independent auditors, as stated in their report (the ***MIT Independent Auditor's Report***) appearing herein. ZAO PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit have registered offices at White Square Office Center, 10 Butyrsky Val, Moscow Russia 125047, Russian Federation. ZAO PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit is a member of the Self-Regulated Organisation Non-Profit Partnership Audit Chamber of Russia (*Auditorskaya Palata Rossii*).

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

This Prospectus should be read and construed in conjunction with certain documents which have been previously published and filed with the FSA and which shall be deemed to be incorporated in, and form part of, this Prospectus.

The table below lists the information which is incorporated by reference into this Prospectus in compliance with Prospectus Rule 2.4.1, and the non-incorporated parts of such documents listed below are either not relevant for investors or are covered elsewhere in this Prospectus. To the extent that any document or information incorporated by reference or attached to this Prospectus itself incorporates any information by reference, either expressly or impliedly, such information will not form part of this Prospectus for the purposes of the Prospectus Rules, except where such information or documents are stated within this Prospectus as specifically being incorporated by reference or where this Prospectus is specifically defined as including such information.

Copies of these documents may be obtained, free of charge, at <http://www.globaltrans.com/download/centre/financial-reports/>. Other than that specified below, no information available on the Company's website is incorporated by reference in this Prospectus.

Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information as at and for the six months ended 30

June 2012

Condensed consolidated income statement.....	1
Condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income.....	2
Condensed consolidated balance sheet.....	3
Condensed consolidated statement of changes in equity.....	4
Condensed consolidated cash flow statement.....	5
Notes to the condensed consolidated financial information.....	6
Auditors' review report.....	22

Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011

Board of Directors and other officers.....	1
Report of the Board of Directors.....	2
Directors' responsibility.....	6
Independent auditor's report.....	7
Consolidated income statement.....	9
Consolidated statement of comprehensive income.....	10
Consolidated balance sheet.....	11
Consolidated statement of changes in equity.....	12
Consolidated cash flow statement.....	13
Notes to the consolidated financial statements.....	14

Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2010

Board of Directors and other officers.....	1
Report of the Board of Directors.....	2
Directors' responsibility.....	5
Independent auditor's report.....	6
Consolidated income statement.....	8
Consolidated statement of comprehensive income.....	9
Consolidated balance sheet.....	10
Consolidated statement of changes in equity.....	11
Consolidated cash flow statement.....	12
Notes to the consolidated financial statements.....	13

Consolidated Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2009

Board of Directors and other officers.....	1
Report of the Board of Directors.....	2
Independent auditor's report.....	7
Consolidated income statement.....	9
Consolidated statement of comprehensive income.....	10
Consolidated balance sheet.....	11
Consolidated statement of changes in equity.....	12
Consolidated cash flow statement.....	14
Notes to the consolidated financial statements.....	15

TECHNICAL GLOSSARY

The following technical terms are used in this Prospectus:

- ***block train*** means a train comprising only rolling stock operated by a single company which is bound for the same destination;
- ***carrier*** means a company or organisation which assumes an obligation to move goods from one point to another on the rail network;
- ***destination management*** means the process of managing cargo destinations and routes by matching customer orders for transportation of cargo bound for certain destinations with those for transportation of cargo originating in such destinations, or in other locations which can be efficiently reached from such destinations, so as to reduce the distance travelled by rolling stock without carrying the cargo of a paying customer;
- ***dwelt time*** means the time a railcar spends waiting at the origin or destination to be loaded or unloaded;
- ***Empty Run*** means movement of rolling stock without cargo for the whole or a substantial part of the journey;
- ***ferrous metals*** means metals that consist primarily of iron;
- ***flat car*** means a type of rolling stock with a flat top and is primarily used to carry containers;
- ***Freight Rail Turnover*** is a measure of freight carriage activity over a particular period calculated as the sum of tonnage of each loaded trip multiplied by the distance of each loaded trip, expressed in tonnes-km;
- ***gondola (open top) car*** means a type of rolling stock with an open top and low sides used for transporting a wide variety of cargoes;
- ***hopper car*** means a type of rolling stock equipped to carry dry cargoes such as grain and cement and has several sub-categories;
- ***locomotive*** means a self-propelled vehicle for traction, that is used for hauling cars along tracks;
- ***rail tank car*** means a type of rolling stock used for transporting liquids, such as oil products and oil;
- ***route loop*** means a combination of routes where the destination of one route is the point of origin for another route and so on to the original point of origin. This concept is used to minimise rolling stock journeys without cargo to return rolling stock from cargo destinations (see also Empty Run);
- ***route optimisation*** means the process of plotting routes so as to create “route loops” (see “route loops”);
- ***scrap metal*** means iron containing waste material (mainly industrial or household waste) that generally is re-melted and recast into new steel;
- ***steam jacket rail tank car*** means rail tank cars specially equipped with a jacket which is filled with hot steam to allow efficient offloading during the winter time; and
- ***tonnes*** means metric tonnes (equivalent to 1,000 kilograms).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. The Company entering into the Underwriting Agreement was duly authorised by the Board of Directors on 11 July 2012, in accordance with the Company's constitutional documents. TIHL duly approved and authorised the transfer and sale of the Ordinary Shares, and its entering into the Underwriting Agreement and a securities lending agreement on 11 July 2012, in accordance with its constitutional documents.
2. There has been no significant change in the Group's financial or trading position since 30 June 2012, other than the new borrowings from financial institutions in the total amount equivalent to USD 27,882 thousand and settling existing borrowing in the total amount equivalent to USD 436,550 thousand (at 30 June 2012 exchange rates), the 2012 GDR Offering, the TIHL Placing and the MMK-Trans Acquisition, as set forth on page 68 in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Recent Developments".
3. From time to time the Group is involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. However, other than the litigation described on page 49 under the heading "Legal proceedings" in Note 28 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2011 and page 18 under Note 20 to the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Information for the six months ended 30 June 2012, there are no governmental, legal or arbitration proceedings, including any such proceedings which are pending or threatened of which the Group is aware, during the 12 months preceding the date of this Prospectus which may have, or have had in the recent past significant effects on the Group's financial position or profitability.
4. In the event that certificates in definitive form are issued in respect of the GDRs, the Company will appoint an agent in the United Kingdom for so long as the GDRs are listed on the London Stock Exchange.
5. Copies in English of the following documents may be inspected at the offices of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, 65 Fleet Street, London EC4Y 1HS, during usual business hours on any weekday, excluding Saturday, Sunday and public holidays, for a period of one year from publication of the Prospectus:
 - the Company's articles and memorandum of association in effect upon the date of the Prospectus;
 - the Company's audited annual consolidated EU IFRS financial statements as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011, 2010 and 2009;
 - the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated interim (EU IFRS) financial information as at and for the six months ended 30 June 2012;
 - MIT's audited IFRS financial statements as at and for the years ended 31 December 2011 with 2010 comparatives; and
 - the Deposit Agreement.
6. The Group prepares consolidated annual and interim financial statements in accordance with EU IFRS.
7. There are no temporary documents of title issued in respect of the GDRs.
8. The following table sets forth the registered offices of the Group's material subsidiaries:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Country of incorporation</u>	<u>Beneficial ownership/ voting rights</u>	<u>Registered Office</u>
New Forwarding Company OJSC	Russia	100%	16/15 Spartakovskaya Square, build. 6 Moscow 105082 Russia
Sevtekhnotrans LLC	Russia	100%	10 Testovskaya Street Moscow 123317 Russia
BaltTransServis LLC	Russia	60% (wholly owned subsidiary of Ultracare Holdings Limited)	115 Metallistov Avenue St. Petersburg 195197 Russia

Name	Country of incorporation	Beneficial ownership/ voting rights	Registered Office
RemTransServis LLC	Russia	59.4%	2 Passazhirskaia Street, Rybinsk Yaroslavl Region 152931 Russia
Ultracare Holdings Limited	Cyprus	60%	Omirou 20, Agios Nikolaos, CY-3095 Limassol, Cyprus
Ingulana Holdings Limited	Cyprus	60%	15 Dimitriou Karatasou Street, Anastasio Building, 6th floor, office 601, 2024, Strovolos, Nicosia Cyprus
AS Spacecom	Estonia	65.25%	Mõisa 4 13522 Tallinn Estonia
Ekolinja Oy	Finland	65.25%	Pasilanraitio 9, FI 00240 Helsinki Helsinki, Finland
AS Spacecom Trans	Estonia	65%	Mõisa 4 13522 Tallinn Estonia
Ukrainian New Forwarding Company LLC	Ukraine	100%	12 Chkalova Street Dnepropetrovsk 49044 Ukraine
Ferrotrans LLC	Russia	100%	25 Lenin Street, Kyrskaya Region, Zheleznogorsk 307170 Russia

INDEX TO FINANCIAL INFORMATION

MIT Financial Statements as at and for the year ended 31 December 2011	
Independent Auditor's Report	F-4
Statement of financial position	F-5
Statement of comprehensive income	F-6
Statement of cash flows	F-7
Statement of changes in net assets attributable to participants	F-8
Notes to the financial statements	F-9

OOO Metalloinvesttrans
International Financial Reporting Standards
Financial Statements and Independent Auditor's Report
31 December 2011

CONTENTS
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Statement of Financial Position.....	F-5
Statement of Comprehensive Income.....	F-6
Statement of Cash Flows.....	F-7
Statement of Changes in Net Assets Attributable to Participants.....	F-8
Notes to the Financial Statements	
1. General information.....	F-9
2. Basis of preparation and summary of significant accounting policies.....	F-9
3. Critical accounting estimates and judgments.....	F-14
4. New accounting pronouncements.....	F-15
5. First-time Adoption of IFRS.....	F-18
6. Property, plant and equipment.....	F-19
7. Loans advanced.....	F-20
8. Trade and other receivables.....	F-20
9. Cash and cash equivalents.....	F-21
10. Borrowings.....	F-22
11. Finance lease liability.....	F-22
12. Income taxes.....	F-23
13. Accounts payable.....	F-24
14. Revenue.....	F-24
15. Cost of sales.....	F-24
16. General and administrative expenses.....	F-24
17. Other operating (expenses)/income—net.....	F-25
18. Finance income.....	F-25
19. Finance costs.....	F-25
20. Net assets attributable to participants.....	F-25
21. Balances and transactions with related parties.....	F-26
22. Contingencies, commitments and operating risks.....	F-27
23. Financial risk management.....	F-29
24. Events after the reporting period.....	F-31



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

TO THE PARTICIPANTS OF OOO METALLOINVESTTRANS:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of OOO Metalloinvesttrans (the "Company") which comprise the statement of financial position as of 31 December 2011 and the statement of comprehensive income, statement of cash flows and statement of changes in net assets attributable to participants for the year then ended and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory notes.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing. Those Standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of 31 December 2011, and its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

29 March 2012
Moscow, Russian Federation

ZAO PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit, White Square Office Center, 10 Butyrsky Val, Moscow, Russia, 125047

T: +7 (495) 967 6000, F: +7 (495) 967 6001, www.pwc.ru

OOO METALLOINVESTTRANS
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
AS OF 31 DECEMBER 2011

(in thousands of Russian roubles, unless otherwise stated)

	Note	31 December 2011	31 December 2010	1 January 2010
ASSETS				
Non-current assets:				
Property, plant and equipment.....	6	6,748,474	7,398,785	7,472,293
Loans advanced.....	7	—	4,000,000	—
Other non-current assets.....		9,589	23,255	39,835
Total non-current assets		6,758,063	11,422,040	7,512,128
Current assets:				
Inventories.....		23,492	20,388	20,508
Trade and other receivables.....	8	2,534,924	2,865,032	2,733,078
Current income tax prepayment.....		—	8,743	35,448
Cash and cash equivalents.....	9	1,128,330	79,803	191,662
Non-current assets held for sale.....	6	162,746	—	—
Total current assets		3,849,492	2,973,966	2,980,696
TOTAL ASSETS		10,607,555	14,396,006	10,492,824
LIABILITIES				
Non-current liabilities:				
Long-term borrowings.....	10	—	2,661,074	483,221
Finance lease liability.....	11	1,648,682	2,363,615	3,112,493
Deferred income tax liability.....	12	578,599	448,891	331,899
Total non-current liabilities		2,227,281	5,473,580	3,927,613
Current liabilities:				
Short-term borrowings.....	10	—	322,147	322,147
Finance lease liability.....	11	816,333	912,306	1,167,865
Accounts payable.....	13	3,638,732	935,429	399,162
Income tax payable.....		193,811	—	—
Value added tax and other taxes payable.....		18,457	9,167	17,739
Total current liabilities, excluding net assets attributable to participants		4,667,333	2,179,049	1,906,913
Net assets attributable to participants:				
Cash contribution from participants.....		10,000	10,000	10,000
Cumulative surplus of net assets.....		3,702,941	6,733,377	4,648,298
Total net assets attributable to participants	20	3,712,941	6,743,377	4,658,298
TOTAL LIABILITIES		10,607,555	14,396,006	10,492,824

Approved for issue and signed on 29 March 2012.

s/P.A. Mitrofanov

s/E.E. Titova

P.A. Mitrofanov
Chief Financial Officer
OOO Management Company
METALLOINVEST

E.E. Titova
Chief Accountant
OOO Metalloinvesttrans

The accompanying notes on pages F-9 to F-31 are an integral part of these financial statements.

OOO METALLOINVESTTRANS
STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2011

(in thousands of Russian roubles, unless otherwise stated)

	Note	2011	2010
Revenue.....	14	17,163,512	19,769,436
Cost of sales	15	<u>(13,003,196)</u>	<u>(16,330,992)</u>
Gross profit		4,160,316	3,438,444
General and administrative expenses	16	(327,064)	(199,513)
Other operating expenses	17	<u>(238,422)</u>	<u>(5,220)</u>
Operating profit		3,594,830	3,233,711
Finance income.....	18	221,144	171,003
Finance costs	19	<u>(6,194,120)</u>	<u>(787,014)</u>
(Loss)/profit before income tax		(2,378,146)	2,617,700
Income tax charge.....	12	<u>(652,290)</u>	<u>(532,621)</u>
Change in net assets attributable to participants		<u>(3,030,436)</u>	<u>2,085,079</u>

The accompanying notes on pages F-9 to F-31 are an integral part of these financial statements.

OOO METALLOINVESTTRANS

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2011

(in thousands of Russian roubles, unless otherwise stated)

	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities		
(Loss)/profit before income tax.....	(2,378,146)	2,617,700
<i>Adjustments for:</i>		
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment.....	516,790	513,650
Finance costs (net).....	5,972,976	616,011
Foreign exchange (gain)/loss.....	(15,559)	8,772
Other.....	(2,331)	3,193
Operating cash flow before changes in working capital	4,093,730	3,759,326
Inventories.....	(3,104)	120
Trade and other receivables.....	279,285	(147,185)
Trade and other payables.....	2,754,750	480,871
Cash generated from operations	7,124,661	4,093,132
Interest paid.....	(588,223)	(783,307)
Income tax paid.....	(279,028)	(388,924)
Net cash from operating activities	6,257,410	2,920,901
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Loans advanced.....	—	(5,700,000)
Repayment of loans advanced.....	4,000,000	1,700,000
Interest income received.....	241,007	151,139
Purchases of property, plant and equipment.....	(132,632)	(156,278)
Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment.....	102,969	9,110
Net cash from/(used in) investing activities	4,211,344	(3,996,029)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from borrowings.....	—	5,000,000
Repayment of borrowings.....	(2,983,221)	(2,822,147)
Payment of finance lease liability.....	(842,200)	(1,205,339)
Distribution to participants.....	(5,610,322)	—
Net cash (used in)/from financing activities	(9,435,743)	972,514
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents.....	15,516	(9,245)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,048,527	(111,859)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	79,803	191,662
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	1,128,330	79,803

The following non-cash transactions were excluded from investing activities:

	2011	2010
Non-cash investing activities		
Recognition of finance lease.....	—	(244,807)
Total non-cash investing activities	—	(244,807)

The accompanying notes on pages F-9 to F-31 are an integral part of these financial statements.

OOO METALLOINVESTTRANS

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO PARTICIPANTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2011

(in thousands of Russian roubles, unless otherwise stated)

	Attributable to participants of the Company		
	Cash contribution from participants	Cumulative surplus of net assets	Total net assets attributable to participants
Balance at 1 January 2010	10,000	4,648,298	4,658,298
Change in net assets attributable to participants.....	—	2,085,079	2,085,079
Balance at 31 December 2010	10,000	6,733,377	6,743,377
Change in net assets attributable to participants.....	—	(3,030,436)	(3,030,436)
Balance at 31 December 2011	10,000	3,702,941	3,712,941

The accompanying notes on pages F-9 to F-31 are an integral part of these financial statements.

OOO METALLOINVESTTRANS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2011

(in thousands of Russian roubles, unless otherwise stated)

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards for the year ended 31 December 2011 for OOO Metalloinvesttrans (the “Company”).

As of 31 December 2011 and 2010 the Company’s immediate and ultimate parent was OAO Holding Company METALLOINVEST (the “Parent”). The Company was managed by OOO Management Company METALLOINVEST, a subsidiary of the Parent.

The Company’s principal business activities are rail freight transportation using own and leased rolling stock and other transportation services.

The Company was incorporated and is domiciled in the Russian Federation. The Company is a limited liability company and was set up in accordance with Russian regulations.

The Company’s registered address is Lenina Street, bld. 25, Zheleznogorsk, Russia; the principal place of business is Korobeynikov pereulok, 1, bld. 1, Moscow, Russia.

2. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of preparation. These financial statements are the Company’s first annual financial statements that comply with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). The Company’s IFRS transition date is 1 January 2010. Subject to certain exceptions, IFRS 1 requires retrospective application of the version of standards and interpretations effective for the year ended 31 December 2011. This version was applied in preparing the opening IFRS statement of financial position at 1 January 2010 and in subsequent periods up to the end of the first IFRS reporting period.

The accounting policies set out in Note 2 have been applied in preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2011, the comparative information presented in these financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2010 and the preparation of an opening IFRS statement of financial position at 1 January 2010.

Net assets attributable to participants. The Company’s equity participants have a right to request redemption of their interests in the Company in cash. The Company’s obligation to redeem gives rise to a financial liability for the present value of the redemption amount even though the obligation is conditional on the equity participant exercising the right. It is impractical to determine the fair value of this liability as it is unknown when and if participants will withdraw from the Company. As a practical expedient, the Company measures the liability presented as ‘Net assets attributable to participants’ at the IFRS carrying value of the Company’s net assets. The liability is classified as current because the Company has no unconditional right to defer redemption for at least twelve months after the balance sheet date.

Distributions to participants are recorded as finance costs in the period in which they are declared and approved. Distributions to participants declared after the reporting period and before the financial statements are authorised for issue are disclosed in the Note “Events after the reporting period”.

Property, plant and equipment. Property, plant and equipment are stated at historic acquisition or construction cost less accumulated depreciation and provision for impairment, where required.

Subsequent costs are included in the asset's carrying amount or recognised as a separate asset, as appropriate, only when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the Company and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. The carrying amount of any replaced part is derecognised. All other repairs and maintenance are recognised in profit or loss in the financial period in which they are incurred.

At each end of the reporting period management assesses whether there is any indication of impairment of property, plant and equipment. If any such indication exists, management estimates the recoverable amount, which is determined as the higher of an asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. The carrying amount is reduced to the recoverable amount and the impairment loss is recognised in profit or loss. An impairment loss recognised for an asset in prior years is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the recoverable amount. Gains and losses on disposals determined by comparing proceeds with carrying amount are recognised in profit or loss.

Depreciation. Depreciation on items of property, plant and equipment is calculated using the straight-line method to allocate their cost to their residual values over their estimated useful lives:

	Useful lives in years
Rolling stock.....	22
Mounted wheels.....	7
Motor vehicles.....	7 to 10
Other.....	3 to 7

The residual value of an asset is the estimated amount that the Company would currently obtain from disposal of the asset less the estimated costs of disposal, if the asset were already of the age and in the condition expected at the end of its useful life. The residual value of an asset is nil if the Company expects to use the asset until the end of its physical life. The assets' residual values and useful lives are reviewed, and adjusted if appropriate, at the end of each reporting period.

Financial assets. The Company has only 'loans and receivables' category of financial assets.

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. They are included in current assets, except for maturities greater than 12 months after the end of the reporting period, which are classified as non-current assets. The Company's loans and receivables comprise 'trade and other receivables', 'loans advanced' and 'cash and cash equivalents' in the statement of financial position.

Loans and receivables are initially recognised at fair value plus transaction costs directly attributable to the acquisition or issue of the financial assets. Loans and receivables are subsequently carried at amortised cost using the effective interest method. Loans and receivables are derecognised when the rights to receive cash flows from the investments have expired or have been transferred and the Company has transferred substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership.

The Company assesses at each end of the reporting period whether there is objective evidence that a financial asset or a group of financial assets is impaired, such as significant financial difficulty of debtor; a default or delinquency in interest or principal payments. Impairment losses are recognised in profit or loss for the year when incurred as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of a financial asset.

For 'loans and receivables' the amount of the impairment loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated cash flows discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate. The carrying amount of the asset is reduced through

allowance account and the amount of the impairment loss is recognised in profit or loss. If, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognised, the reversal of the previously recognised impairment loss is recognised in profit or loss for the year.

Capitalisation of borrowing costs. Borrowing costs directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of assets that necessarily take a substantial time to get ready for intended use or sale (qualifying assets) are capitalised as part of the costs of those assets.

The commencement date for capitalisation is when (a) the Company incurs expenditures for the qualifying asset; (b) it incurs borrowing costs; and (c) it undertakes activities that are necessary to prepare the asset for its intended use or sale.

Capitalisation of borrowing costs continues up to the date when the assets are substantially ready for their use or sale.

The Company capitalises borrowing costs that could have been avoided if it had not made capital expenditure on qualifying assets. Borrowing costs capitalised are calculated at the Company's average funding cost (the weighted average interest cost is applied to the expenditures on the qualifying assets), except to the extent that funds are borrowed specifically for the purpose of obtaining a qualifying asset. Where this occurs, actual borrowing costs incurred less any investment income on the temporary investment of those borrowings are capitalised.

Cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents includes cash in hand, deposits held at call with banks, and other short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. Restricted balances are excluded from cash and cash equivalents for the purposes of the cash flow statement. Balances restricted from being exchanged or used to settle a liability for at least twelve months after the end of the reporting period are included in other non-current assets.

Advances issued. Advances issued are carried at cost less provision for impairment. An advance issued is classified as non-current when the goods or services relating to the advance issued are expected to be obtained after one year, or when the advance issued relates to an asset which will itself be classified as non-current upon initial recognition. If there is an indication that the assets, goods or services relating to an advance issued will not be received, the carrying value of the advance issued is written down accordingly and a corresponding impairment loss is recognised in profit or loss.

Inventories. Inventories are recorded at the lower of cost and net realisable value. Cost of inventory is determined using the weighted average method. Inventories of the Company consist of raw materials. Net realisable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less the cost of completion and selling expenses.

Non-current assets classified as held for sale. Non-current assets are classified in the statement of financial position as 'non-current assets held for sale' if their carrying amount will be recovered principally through a sale transaction within twelve months after the reporting period. Assets are reclassified when all of the following conditions are met: (a) the assets are available for immediate sale in their present condition; (b) the Company's management approved and initiated an active programme to locate a buyer; (c) the assets are actively marketed for a sale at a reasonable price; (d) the sale is expected within one year; and (e) it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan to sell will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn. Non-current assets classified as held for sale in the current period's statement of financial position are not reclassified or re-presented in the comparative statement of financial position to reflect the classification at the end of the current period.

Held for sale non-current assets are measured at the lower of their carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell. Held for sale property, plant and equipment are not depreciated.

Financial liabilities. The Company's financial liabilities include accounts payable, finance lease liability and borrowings. These financial liabilities are initially recognised at fair value and subsequently measured at amortised cost using the effective interest method.

Income taxes. The income tax charge is calculated on the basis of the tax laws enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period in Russian Federation, the country where the Company operated and generates taxable income. The income tax charge/credit comprises current tax and deferred tax and is recognised in profit or loss for the year, except to the extent that it relates to transactions that are recognised in other comprehensive income or directly in net assets attributable to participants. In this case, the tax is also recognised in other comprehensive income or directly in net assets attributable to participants, respectively.

Current tax is the amount expected to be paid to or recovered from the taxation authorities in respect of taxable profits or losses for the current and prior periods. Taxes, other than on income, are recorded within operating expenses.

Deferred income tax is provided using the balance sheet liability method for tax loss carry forwards and temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts for financial reporting purposes. In accordance with the initial recognition exemption, deferred taxes are not recorded for temporary differences arising on initial recognition of an asset or a liability in a transaction other than a business combination if the transaction, when initially recorded, affects neither accounting nor taxable profit. Deferred tax balances are measured at tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the end of the reporting period which are expected to apply to the period when the temporary differences will reverse or the tax loss carry forwards will be utilised. Deferred tax assets for deductible temporary differences and tax loss carry forwards are recorded only to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profit will be available against which the deductions can be utilised.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are offset when there is a legally enforceable right to offset current tax assets against current tax liabilities and when the deferred income tax assets and liabilities relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority where there is an intention to settle the balances on a net basis.

The Company's uncertain tax positions are reassessed by management at the end of each reporting period. Liabilities are recorded for income tax positions that are determined by management as more likely than not to result in additional taxes being levied if the positions were to be challenged by the tax authorities. The assessment is based on the interpretation of tax laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period and any known court or other rulings on such issues. Liabilities for penalties, interest and taxes other than on income are recognised based on management's best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the obligations at the end of the reporting period.

Provisions for liabilities and charges. Provisions for liabilities and charges are non-financial liabilities of uncertain timing or amount. They are accrued when the Company has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of past events, it is probable that an outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate of the amount can be made. Where there are a number of similar obligations, the likelihood that an outflow will be required in settlement is determined by considering the class of obligations as a whole. A provision is recognised even if the likelihood of an outflow with respect to any one item included in the same class of obligations may be small.

Where the Company expects a provision to be reimbursed, for example under an insurance contract, the reimbursement is recognised as a separate asset, but only when the reimbursement is virtually certain.

Provisions are measured at the present value of the expenditures expected to be required to settle the obligation using a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation. The increase in the provision due to passage of time is recognised as interest expense.

Value added tax. Output value added tax related to sales is payable to tax authorities on the earlier of (a) collection of receivables from customers or (b) delivery of goods or services to customers. Input VAT is generally recoverable against output VAT upon receipt of the VAT invoice. The tax

authorities permit the settlement of VAT on a net basis. VAT related to sales and purchases is recognised in the statement of financial position on a gross basis and disclosed separately as an asset and liability. Where a provision has been made for impairment of receivables, an impairment loss is recorded for the gross amount of the debtor, including VAT.

Foreign currency translation. The functional currency of the Company is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. The Company's functional and presentation currency is the national currency of the Russian Federation, Russian roubles ("RUB").

Monetary assets and liabilities are translated into the entity's functional currency at the official exchange rate of the Central Bank of the Russian Federation ("the Central Bank") at the respective end of the reporting period. Foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from settlement of transactions and from translation of monetary assets and liabilities into entity's functional currency at year-end official exchange rates of the Central Bank are recognised in profit or loss. Translation at year-end rates does not apply to non-monetary items that are measured at historical cost.

At 31 December 2011 the principal exchange rates used for translating foreign currency balances were USD 1 = RUB 32.1961 (31 December 2010: USD 1 = RUB 30.4769; 1 January 2010: USD 1 = RUB 30.2442), EUR 1 = RUB 41.6714 (31 December 2010: EUR 1 = RUB 40.3331; 1 January 2010: EUR 1 = RUB 43.3883).

Revenue recognition. Revenue comprises the fair value of the consideration received or receivable for rendering services and sales of goods in the ordinary course of the Company's activities. Revenue is shown net of value-added tax, returns and discounts.

The Company recognizes revenue when the amount of revenue can be reliably measured, it is probable that future economic benefits will flow to the Company and when specific criteria have been met for each of the Company's activities. The Company bases its estimates on historical results, taking into consideration the type of customer, the type of transaction and the specifics of each arrangement.

Sales of services are recognised in the accounting period in which the services are rendered, by reference to stage of completion of the specific transaction assessed on the basis of the actual services provided as a proportion of the total services to be provided.

Revenues from sales of goods are recognised at the point of transfer of risks and rewards of ownership of the goods, normally when the goods are shipped. If the Company agrees to transport goods to a specified location, revenue is recognised when the goods are passed to the customer at the destination point.

Revenues earned by the Company are recognized on the following basis:

Revenues from railway transportation—using own or leased rolling stock. The Company organizes transportation services for clients using its own or leased rolling stock. The Company has a contractual relationship with the clients and sets the terms of the transactions, such as selling and payment terms, bears credit risk and controls the flow of receipts and payments. Total proceeds from clients are included in the Company's revenue (Note 14).

Revenues from railway transportation—freight forwarding (agency fees). The Company also has a contractual relationship with the clients to act as a legal intermediary for organizing transportation services and pays transport fees on behalf of its clients. These expenses, reimbursed by the clients, are not included in cost of sales. Thus, only agency fees are included in the Company's revenue in respect of this type of activity.

Rental income and interest income. Rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Interest income is recognised on a time-proportion basis using the effective interest method. When a loan or receivable is impaired, the Company reduces the carrying amount to its recoverable amount, being the estimated future cash flow discounted at the original effective interest

rate of the instrument, and continues unwinding the discount as interest income. Interest income on impaired loans is recognised using the original effective interest rate.

Short-term employee benefits. Wages, salaries, contributions to the Russian Federation state pension, medical and social insurance funds, paid annual leave and sick leave, bonuses, and non-monetary benefits (such as health services and kindergarten services) are accrued in the year in which the associated services are rendered by the employees of the Company and are included within labour costs in operating expenses. The Company has no legal or constructive obligation to make pension or similar benefit payments beyond the social security contributions.

Operating leases. Where the Company is a lessee in a lease which does not transfer substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership from the lessor to the Company, the total lease payments, including those on expected termination, are charged to profit or loss on a straight-line basis over the period of the lease.

When assets are leased out under an operating lease, the lease payments receivable are recognised as rental income on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Finance lease liability. Where the Company is a lessee in a lease which transferred substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership to the Company, the assets leased are capitalised in property, plant and equipment at the commencement of the lease at the lower of the fair value of the leased asset and the present value of the minimum lease payments. Each lease payment is allocated between the liability and finance charges so as to achieve a constant rate on the finance balance outstanding. The interest cost is charged to profit or loss over the lease period using the effective interest method. The assets acquired under finance leases are depreciated over their useful life or the shorter lease term if the Company is not reasonably certain that it will obtain ownership by the end of the lease term.

3. CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGMENTS

The Company makes estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts recognised in the financial statements and the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year. Estimates and judgements are continually evaluated and are based on management's experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Management also makes certain judgements, apart from those involving estimations, in the process of applying the accounting policies. Judgements that have the most significant effect on the amounts recognised in the financial statements and estimates that can cause a significant adjustment to the carrying amount of assets and liabilities within the next financial year include:

(a) *Remaining useful life of property, plant and equipment*

The estimation of the useful lives of items of property, plant and equipment is a matter of judgement based on experience with similar assets. The future economic benefits embodied in assets are consumed principally through use. However, other factors, such as technical or commercial obsolescence and wear and tear, often result in a reduction of the economic benefits embodied in the assets. Management assesses remaining useful lives in accordance with the current technical conditions of the assets and estimated period during which the assets are expected to earn benefits for the Company. The following primary factors are considered: (a) expected usage of the assets; (b) expected physical wear and tear, which depends on operational factors and maintenance programme; and (c) technical or commercial obsolescence arising from changes in market conditions.

(b) *Finance leases*

Management applies judgement to determine if substantially all the significant risks and rewards of ownership of financial assets and lease assets are transferred to counterparties, in particular which risks and rewards are the most significant and what constitutes substantially all risks and rewards.

Leases of property, plant and equipment where the Company has substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are classified as finance leases.

(c) *Related party transactions*

In the normal course of business the Company enters into transactions with its related parties (Note 21). IAS 39 requires initial recognition of financial instruments based on their fair values. Judgement is applied in determining if transactions are priced at market or non-market interest rates, where there is no active market for such transactions. The basis for such judgement is pricing for similar types of transactions with unrelated parties and effective interest rate analysis.

(d) *Tax legislation*

Russian tax, currency and customs legislation is subject to varying interpretations. Refer to Note 22.

(e) *Net assets attributable to participants*

The liability for the redemption right held by the Company's equity participants is classified as 'at fair value through profit or loss' under IAS 39 (revised 2003). It should be measured at fair value, being the present value of the expected redemption amount. It is impractical to determine the exact fair value of this liability as it is unknown when and if participants will withdraw from the Company. The Company's accounting policy for determining this amount, applied as a practical expedient, is disclosed in Note 2.

4. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Certain new standards and interpretations have been published that are mandatory for the Company's accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2012 or later periods and which the Company has not early adopted:

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments Part 1: Classification and Measurement. IFRS 9 issued in November 2009 replaces those parts of IAS 39 relating to the classification and measurement of financial assets. IFRS 9 was further amended in October 2010 to address the classification and measurement of financial liabilities and in December 2011 to (i) change its effective date to annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2015 and (ii) add transition disclosures. Key features of the standard are as follows:

- Financial assets are required to be classified into two measurement categories: those to be measured subsequently at fair value, and those to be measured subsequently at amortised cost. The decision is to be made at initial recognition. The classification depends on the entity's business model for managing its financial instruments and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the instrument.
- An instrument is subsequently measured at amortised cost only if it is a debt instrument and both (i) the objective of the entity's business model is to hold the asset to collect the contractual cash flows, and (ii) the asset's contractual cash flows represent only payments of principal and interest (that is, it has only "basic loan features"). All other debt instruments are to be measured at fair value through profit or loss.
- All equity instruments are to be measured subsequently at fair value. Equity instruments that are held for trading will be measured at fair value through profit or loss. For all other equity investments, an irrevocable election can be made at initial recognition, to recognise unrealised and realised fair value gains and losses through other comprehensive income rather than profit or loss. There is to be no recycling of fair value gains and losses to profit or loss. This election may be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis. Dividends are to be presented in profit or loss, as long as they represent a return on investment.

- Most of the requirements in IAS 39 for classification and measurement of financial liabilities were carried forward unchanged to IFRS 9. The key change is that an entity will be required to present the effects of changes in own credit risk of financial liabilities designated as at fair value through profit or loss in other comprehensive income.

While adoption of IFRS 9 is mandatory from 1 January 2015, earlier adoption is permitted. The Company is considering the implications of the standard, the impact on the Company and the timing of its adoption by the Company.

IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements (issued in May 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013), replaces all of the guidance on control and consolidation in IAS 27 “Consolidated and separate financial statements” and SIC-12 “Consolidation—special purpose entities”. IFRS 10 changes the definition of control so that the same criteria are applied to all entities to determine control. This definition is supported by extensive application guidance. The Company does not expect the new standard to have any effect on its financial statements.

IFRS 11, Joint arrangements, (issued in May 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013), replaces IAS 31 “Interests in Joint Ventures” and SIC-13 “Jointly Controlled Entities—Non-Monetary Contributions by Ventures”. Changes in the definitions have reduced the number of “types” of joint arrangements to two: joint operations and joint ventures. The existing police choice of proportionate consolidation for jointly controlled entities has been eliminated. Equity accounting is mandatory for participants in joint ventures. The Company does not expect the new standard to have any effect on its financial statements.

IFRS 12, Disclosure of interest in other entities, (issued in May 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013), applies to entities that have an interest in a subsidiary, a joint arrangement, an associate or an unconsolidated structured entity. It replaces the disclosure requirements currently found in IAS 28 “Investments in associates”. IFRS 12 requires entities to disclose information that helps financial statement readers to evaluate the nature, risks and financial effects associated with the entity’s interests in subsidiaries, associates, joint arrangements and unconsolidated structured entities. To meet these objectives, the new standard requires disclosures in a number of areas, including significant judgments and assumptions made in determining whether an entity controls, jointly controls, or significantly influences its interests in other entities, extended disclosures on share of non-controlling interests in group activities and cash flows, summarised financial information of subsidiaries with material non-controlling interests, and detailed disclosures of interests in unconsolidated structured entities. The Company does not expect the new standard to have any effect on its financial statements.

IFRS 13, Fair value measurement, (issued in May 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013), aims to improve consistency and reduce complexity by providing a precise definition of fair value, and a single source of fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for use across IFRSs. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the standard on its financial statements.

IAS 27, Separate Financial Statements, (revised in May 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013), was changed and its objective is now to prescribe the accounting and requirements for investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates when an entity prepares separate financial statements. The guidance on control and consolidated financial statements was replaced by IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company does not expect the amended standard to have any effect on its financial statements.

IAS 28, Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures, (revised in May 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013). The amendment of IAS 28 resulted from the Board’s project on joint ventures. When discussing that project, the Board decided to incorporate the accounting for joint ventures using the equity method into IAS 28 because this method is applicable to both joint ventures and associates. With this exception, other guidance remained unchanged. The Company does not expect the amended standard to have any effect on its financial statements.

Disclosures—Transfers of Financial Assets—Amendments to IFRS 7 (issued in October 2010 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 July 2011). The amendment requires additional disclosures in respect of risk exposures arising from transferred financial assets. The amendment includes a requirement to disclose by class of asset the nature, carrying amount and a description of the risks and rewards of financial assets that have been transferred to another party yet remain on the entity's balance sheet. Disclosures are also required to enable a user to understand the amount of any associated liabilities, and the relationship between the financial assets and associated liabilities. Where financial assets have been derecognised but the entity is still exposed to certain risks and rewards associated with the transferred asset, additional disclosure is required to enable the effects of those risks to be understood. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the amended standard on disclosures in its financial statements.

Amendments to IFRS 1 First-time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards—Government loans (effective for calendar year-end preparers: 1 January 2013). The amendments, dealing with loans received from governments at a below market rate of interest, give first-time adopters of IFRSs relief from retrospective application of IFRSs when accounting for these loans on transition. This will give first-time adopters the same relief as existing preparers. The Company does not expect the amended standard to have any effect on its financial statements.

Amendments to IAS 1, Presentation of financial statements, (issued in June 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 July 2012), changes the disclosure of items presented in other comprehensive income. The amendments require entities to separate items presented in other comprehensive income into two groups, based on whether or not they may be recycled to profit or loss in the future. The suggested title used by IAS 1 has changed to 'statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income'. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the amended standard on its financial statements.

Amendments to IAS 19, Employee benefits, (issued in June 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013), makes significant changes to the recognition and measurement of defined benefit pension expense and termination benefits, and to the disclosures for all employee benefits. The standard requires recognition of all changes in the net defined benefit liability (asset) when they occur, as follows: (i) service cost and net interest in profit or loss; and (ii) remeasurements in other comprehensive income. The Company does not expect the amended standard to have any effect on its financial statements.

Disclosures—Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Amendments to IFRS 7 (issued in December 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013). The amendment requires disclosures that will enable users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements, including rights of set-off. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the amended standard on disclosures in its financial statements.

Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Amendments to IAS 32 (issued in December 2011 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014). The amendment added application guidance to IAS 32 to address inconsistencies identified in applying some of the offsetting criteria. This includes clarifying the meaning of 'currently has a legally enforceable right of set-off' and that some gross settlement systems may be considered equivalent to net settlement. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the amended standard on its financial statements.

Recovery of Underlying Assets—Amendments to IAS 12 (issued in December 2010 and effective for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2012). The amendment introduced a rebuttable presumption that an investment property carried at fair value is recovered entirely through sale. This presumption is rebutted if the investment property is held within a business model whose objective is to consume substantially all of the economic benefits embodied in the investment property over time, rather than through sale. SIC-21, Income Taxes—Recovery of Revalued Non-Depreciable Assets, which addresses similar issues involving non-depreciable assets measured using the revaluation model in IAS 16, Property, Plant and Equipment, was incorporated into IAS 12 after excluding from its

scope investment properties measured at fair value. The Company does not expect the amendments to have any material effect on its financial statements.

Other revised standards and interpretations: The amendments to IFRS 1 “First-time adoption of IFRS”, relating to severe hyperinflation and eliminating references to fixed dates for certain exceptions and exemptions, and IFRIC 20, “Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine”, which considers when and how to account for the benefits arising from the stripping activity in mining industry, will not have any impact on these financial statements. The Company does not expect the amendments to have any material effect on its financial statements.

5. FIRST-TIME ADOPTION OF IFRS

These financial statements are the Company’s first annual financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS.

The accounting policies set out in Note 2 have been applied in preparing the financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2011, the comparative information presented in these financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2010 and in the presentation of an opening IFRS balance sheet at 1 January 2010 (the Company’s transition date).

In preparing its opening IFRS statement of financial position, the Company has adjusted the amounts reported previously in financial statements prepared in accordance with Russian Accounting Regulations (**RAR**). In preparing these financial statements, the Company has applied a mandatory exception for the estimates. IFRS estimates as at 1 January 2010 are consistent with the estimates as at the same date made in conformity with RAR.

The other compulsory exceptions of IFRS 1 have not been applied as these are not relevant to the Company:

- (a) Hedge accounting exception.
- (b) Derecognition of financial assets and financial liabilities.
- (c) Non-controlling interests.

An explanation of how the transition from RAR to IFRS’s has affected the Company’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows is set out in the following tables. The following reconciliations provide a quantification of the effect of the transition from RAR to IFRS at 1 January 2010, 31 December 2011 and for the year ended 31 December 2011:

	31 December 2011	1 January 2010
EQUITY UNDER RAR	1,399,105	3,329,165
Effects of changes in accounting policies:		
(i) Finance lease.....	2,842,244	1,786,597
(ii) Capitalisation of elements of cost of non-current assets.....	372,108	87,276
(iii) Revenue and expenses recognition.....	(322,057)	(193,479)
(iv) Deferred tax: recognition under the balance sheet liability method.....	(578,459)	(332,283)
(v) Accounts receivable: provision for impairment.....	—	(18,978)
IFRS NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO PARTICIPANTS	3,712,941	4,658,298
		2011
NET PROFIT UNDER RAR		2,062,394
Effects of changes in accounting policies:		
(i) Finance lease.....		523,628
(ii) Capitalisation of elements of cost of non-current assets.....		132,632
(iii) Revenue and expenses recognition.....		(9,395)
(iv) Deferred tax: recognition under the balance sheet liability method.....		(129,373)

(vi) Distribution to participants	(5,610,322)
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO PARTICIPANTS UNDER IFRS ..	<u>(3,030,436)</u>

The key adjustments for the differences between RAR and IFRS were attributable to the following:

- (i) **Finance lease:** The Company entered into a number of arrangements that convey to the Company the right to use assets for an agreed period of time in return for a payment or the series of payments. Under RAR the right to use assets was classified and accounted for as operating leases. On transition to IFRS assessment was made to determine whether the agreements contain leases that should be accounted in accordance with IAS 17. Therefore, the adjustment was required to recognise finance leases as assets and liabilities in the statement of financial position at the inception of the lease and to reverse rent payments which were recognised as expenses in RAR profit or loss.
- (ii) **Capitalisation of elements of cost of non-current assets:** The cost of replacing major parts is recognised as expenses in RAR profit or loss for the period. The adjustment was required to recognise the cost of replacing parts in the carrying amount of items of property, plant and equipment in accordance with IAS 16.
- (iii) **Revenue and expenses recognition:** On transition to IFRS the Company adopted accounting policy to recognise revenue from services rendered in the accounting period in which the services are rendered, by reference to stage of completion of the specific transaction assessed on the basis of the actual services provided as a proportion of the total services to be provided. Appropriate portion of expenses is allocated to revenues recognised. Accordingly, revenue and expenses were adjusted to comply with the accounting policy adopted.
- (iv) **Deferred tax: recognition under the balance sheet liability method.** The adjustment was required to recognise deferred taxes under the balance sheet liability method for temporary differences detailed in Note 12.
- (v) **Accounts receivable: provision for impairment.** The adjustment was required to recognise impairment of accounts receivable estimated in accordance with IAS 39 methodology that is different from that used by the Company under RAR.
- (vi) **Distribution to participants:** Under RAR distributions to participants are recorded directly in net assets attributable to participants. Under IFRS distributions to participants in OOO companies are recognised in profit or loss within finance costs.

The Company's first-time adoption did not have a significant impact on the total operating, investing or financing cash flows.

6. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Movements in the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment were as follows:

	Rolling stock	Motor vehicles	Other	Construction in progress	Total
Cost at 1 January 2010	8,244,466	18,167	8,782	215,901	8,487,316
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(1,004,366)</u>	<u>(6,291)</u>	<u>(4,366)</u>	—	<u>(1,015,023)</u>
Carrying amount at 1 January 2010	<u>7,240,100</u>	<u>11,876</u>	<u>4,416</u>	<u>215,901</u>	<u>7,472,293</u>
Additions	402,224	1,692	921	46,218	451,055
Disposals	(10,724)	(189)	—	—	(10,913)
Depreciation charge	<u>(509,300)</u>	<u>(2,651)</u>	<u>(1,699)</u>	—	<u>(513,650)</u>
Carrying amount at 31 December 2010	<u>7,122,300</u>	<u>10,728</u>	<u>3,638</u>	<u>262,119</u>	<u>7,398,785</u>
Cost at 31 December 2010.....	8,465,709	19,553	9,704	262,119	8,757,085
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(1,343,409)</u>	<u>(8,825)</u>	<u>(6,066)</u>	—	<u>(1,358,300)</u>

Carrying amount at 1 January 2011	7,122,300	10,728	3,638	262,119	7,398,785
Additions	134,091	1,028	341	2,353	137,813
Disposals	(2,263)	(4,564)	(35)	(101,726)	(108,588)
Reclassification to non-current assets held for sale	—	—	—	(162,746)	(162,746)
Depreciation charge	(512,558)	(2,590)	(1,642)	—	(516,790)
Carrying amount at 31 December 2011	6,741,570	4,602	2,302	—	6,748,474
Cost at 31 December 2011	8,487,081	12,335	9,523	—	8,508,939
Accumulated depreciation	(1,745,511)	(7,733)	(7,221)	—	(1,760,465)
Carrying amount at 31 December 2011	6,741,570	4,602	2,302	—	6,748,474

At 31 December 2010 the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment pledged as collateral for borrowings was RUB 709,511 thousand (1 January 2010: RUB 744,108 thousand). By 31 December 2011 the borrowings were settled ahead of schedule.

The Company leases rolling stock under non-cancellable finance lease agreements. The lease terms are between 7 and 9 years.

At 31 December 2011 included in rolling stock are the assets held under finance leases with a carrying value of RUB 4,166,958 thousand (31 December 2010: RUB 4,979,991 thousand; 1 January 2010: RUB 5,074,050 thousand).

At 31 December 2011 items of property, plant and equipment with carrying value of RUB 162,746 thousand were classified as non-current assets held for sale following the management's plan to sell the assets. The Company expects to complete the sale in 2012.

7. LOANS ADVANCED

	Currency	Interest rate	31 December 2011	31 December 2010	1 January 2010
Long-term loans advanced					
Loans advanced to related parties with maturity in July 2013	RUB	8.3%	—	2,700,000	—
Loans advanced to related parties with maturity in November 2013	RUB	8%	—	1,300,000	—
Total loans advanced			—	4,000,000	—

By 31 December 2011 the loans advanced were settled ahead of schedule.

The fair values of loans advanced approximated their carrying amounts.

8. TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES

	31 December 2011	31 December 2010	1 January 2010
Trade receivables	854,969	2,069,000	1,943,527
Less: provision for impairment of trade receivables	(22,604)	(18,978)	(18,978)
Trade receivables—net	832,365	2,050,022	1,924,549
Other financial receivables	511,854	243,555	418,319
Total financial assets within trade and other receivables	1,344,219	2,293,577	2,342,868
Advances to suppliers	693,218	387,830	293,584
VAT recoverable	453,369	140,103	84,125
VAT receivable	41,113	25,340	—
Other receivables	3,005	18,182	12,501
Total trade and other receivables	2,534,924	2,865,032	2,733,078

The carrying amounts of trade and other receivables approximated their fair values.

At 31 December 2011, trade and other receivables of RUB 801,242 thousand (31 December 2010: RUB 1,482,002 thousand; 1 January 2010: RUB 1,056,465 thousand) were past due but not impaired. These receivables relate to a number of related parties and other debtors for whom there is no recent history of default. The ageing analysis of these receivables is as follows:

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
Up to 3 months.....	283,871	1,308,574	989,431
3 to 12 months	517,371	173,428	67,034
Total	<u>801,242</u>	<u>1,482,002</u>	<u>1,056,465</u>

At 31 December 2011, trade receivables of RUB 22,604 thousand (31 December 2010 and 1 January 2010: RUB 18,978 thousand) were individually impaired and fully provided for. The individually impaired receivables mainly relate to counterparties, which are in unexpectedly difficult economic situations.

Movements in the Company's provision for impairment of trade receivables are as follows:

	<u>2011 Trade receivables</u>	<u>2010 Trade receivables</u>
At 1 January	18,978	18,978
Provision for impairment during the year	3,626	—
At 31 December	<u>22,604</u>	<u>18,978</u>

The maximum exposure to credit risk at the end of the reporting period is the carrying value of the receivables mentioned above. Receivables that are neither past due nor impaired are considered to be of high credit quality. The Company does not hold any collateral as security.

The carrying amounts of the Company's trade and other receivables are denominated in the following currencies:

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
RUB	2,508,244	2,861,123	2,733,078
USD	26,668	3,905	—
EUR	12	4	—
Total	<u>2,534,924</u>	<u>2,865,032</u>	<u>2,733,078</u>

9. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents comprise the following:

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
Cash on hand	—	5	2
RUB-denominated balances with banks.....	327,230	79,798	142,317
USD-denominated balances with bank.....	—	—	49,343
RUB-denominated bank deposit	801,100	—	—
Total	<u>1,128,330</u>	<u>79,803</u>	<u>191,662</u>

RUB-denominated bank deposit bears an annual interest rate of 6%. Other bank balances of the Company are interest free.

10. BORROWINGS

	<u>Currency</u>	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
Long-term borrowings	RUB	—	2,661,074	483,221
Short-term borrowings	RUB	—	322,147	322,147
Total borrowings		—	2,983,221	805,368

Bank borrowings bear fixed interest rate ranging as 7.9%-7.95%.

Long-term borrowings are repayable as follows:

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
1 to 2 years	—	161,074	322,147
2 to 3 years	—	2,500,000	161,074
Total	—	2,661,074	483,221

At 31 December 2010 long-term borrowings of RUB 161,074 thousand and short-term borrowings of RUB 322,147 thousand (1 January 2010: long-term borrowings of RUB 483,221 thousand and short-term borrowings of RUB 322,147 thousand) were secured by property, plant and equipment (Note 6).

At 31 December 2010 related parties of the Company guaranteed the Company's long-term borrowings of RUB 2,661,074 thousand and short-term borrowings of RUB 322,147 thousand (1 January 2010: long-term borrowings of RUB 483,221 thousand and short-term borrowings of RUB 322,147 thousand). By 31 December 2011 the borrowings were settled ahead of schedule and the guarantee ceased.

The fair value of borrowings approximates their carrying amounts.

11. FINANCE LEASE LIABILITY

The finance lease liability is effectively collateralised by the leased assets, as the assets revert to the lessors in the event of default.

Finance lease liability minimum lease payments:

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
Not later than 1 year	1,107,463	1,331,753	1,706,005
Later than 1 year and not later than 5 years	1,944,141	2,899,356	3,838,153
More than 5 years	190	51,040	186,527
Future finance charges on finance lease	(586,779)	(1,006,228)	(1,450,327)
Present value of finance lease liability	2,465,015	3,275,921	4,280,358

The present value of finance lease liability matures as follows:

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
Not later than 1 year	816,333	912,306	1,167,865
Later than 1 year and not later than 5 years	1,648,494	2,315,630	2,933,964
More than 5 years	188	47,985	178,529
Present value of finance lease liability	2,465,015	3,275,921	4,280,358

The Company's finance lease liability is denominated in Russian roubles.

12. INCOME TAXES

Income tax charge comprises the following:

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Current income tax charge	522,582	415,629
Deferred tax expense	129,708	116,992
Income tax charge	<u>652,290</u>	<u>532,621</u>

The income tax rate applicable to the Company's 2011 and 2010 income is 20%.

A reconciliation between the expected and the actual taxation charge is provided below.

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
(Loss)/profit before income tax	<u>(2,378,146)</u>	<u>2,617,700</u>
Theoretical tax (credit)/charge at statutory rate of 20%	(475,629)	523,540
Distributions to participants (Note 19).....	1,122,064	—
Tax effect of non-deductible expenses.....	5,855	9,081
Income tax charge	<u>652,290</u>	<u>532,621</u>

Differences between IFRS and Russian statutory taxation regulations give rise to temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and their tax bases.

The tax effect of movements in these temporary differences is detailed below and is recorded at the rate of 20%.

	<u>1 January 2011</u>	<u>Credited/ (charged) to profit or loss</u>	<u>31 December 2011</u>
Tax effect of deductible temporary differences:			
Finance lease liability	655,184	(186,944)	468,240
Trade and other receivables.....	70,173	69,619	139,792
Trade and other payables.....	4,769	(4,769)	—
Other	348	(348)	—
Tax effect of taxable temporary differences:			
Property, plant and equipment.....	(1,179,365)	68,254	(1,111,111)
Trade and other payables.....	—	(75,380)	(75,380)
Other	—	(140)	(140)
Total net deferred tax liability	<u>(448,891)</u>	<u>(129,708)</u>	<u>(578,599)</u>
	<u>1 January 2010</u>	<u>Credited/ (charged) to profit or loss</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>
Tax effect of deductible temporary differences:			
Finance lease liability	810,478	(155,294)	655,184
Trade and other receivables.....	42,491	27,682	70,173
Trade and other payables.....	—	4,769	4,769
Other	813	(465)	348
Tax effect of taxable temporary differences:			
Property, plant and equipment.....	(1,185,681)	6,316	(1,179,365)
Total net deferred tax liability	<u>(331,899)</u>	<u>(116,992)</u>	<u>(448,891)</u>

At 31 December 2011, the current portion of the deferred tax liability amounted to RUB 115,356 thousand (31 December 2010: RUB 29,137 thousand; 1 January 2010: RUB 30,180 thousand), the current portion of the deferred tax asset amounted to

RUB 303,058 thousand (31 December 2010: RUB 262,039 thousand; 1 January 2010: RUB 198,214 thousand).

13. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
Trade payables	102,251	280,926	166,485
Other financial payables	188,025	3,240	939
Total financial liabilities within trade and other payables	<u>290,276</u>	<u>284,166</u>	<u>167,424</u>
Advances from customers	1,467,871	103,489	52,769
Advances from customers under agency agreements	1,875,081	544,119	172,677
Other payables	5,504	3,655	6,292
Total accounts payable	<u>3,638,732</u>	<u>935,429</u>	<u>399,162</u>

The carrying amounts of the Company's trade and other payables are denominated in the following currencies:

	<u>31 December 2011</u>	<u>31 December 2010</u>	<u>1 January 2010</u>
RUB	3,616,282	922,111	399,162
USD	21,804	9,278	—
EUR	646	4,040	—
Total	<u>3,638,732</u>	<u>935,429</u>	<u>399,162</u>

The carrying amounts of trade and other payables approximated their fair values.

14. REVENUE

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Transportation services	17,047,726	19,677,154
Other revenue	115,786	92,282
Total	<u>17,163,512</u>	<u>19,769,436</u>

15. COST OF SALES

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Railway tariff	8,363,052	11,998,590
Transportation operator services	2,816,601	3,295,619
Rolling stock operating lease rentals	780,112	35,619
Depreciation	512,955	508,751
Repairs and maintenance	371,172	369,464
Labour costs	107,515	72,260
Property and other taxes	26,567	28,088
Other	25,222	22,601
Total	<u>13,003,196</u>	<u>16,330,992</u>

16. GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Management fees	126,000	98,500
Office operating lease rental	70,706	30,067
Labour costs	65,179	39,625
Bank charges	18,410	3,243
Materials and fuel	5,415	3,579
Provision for impairment of accounts receivable	3,626	—

Depreciation.....	2,629	4,899
Business trips.....	2,505	2,064
Other.....	32,594	17,536
Total.....	<u>327,064</u>	<u>199,513</u>

17. OTHER OPERATING (EXPENSES)/INCOME—NET

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Penalties.....	(242,091)	—
Social costs.....	(194)	(2,953)
Gain/(loss) on disposal of property, plant and equipment.....	4,751	(3,193)
Foreign exchange gain/(loss) on operating activities, net.....	15,559	(8,772)
Other (expenses)/income.....	<u>(16,447)</u>	<u>9,698</u>
Total.....	<u>(238,422)</u>	<u>(5,220)</u>

18. FINANCE INCOME

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Interest on loans issued to related parties (Note 21(i)).....	213,203	169,949
Other.....	<u>7,941</u>	<u>1,054</u>
Total.....	<u>221,144</u>	<u>171,003</u>

19. FINANCE COSTS

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Interest expense on finance lease liability.....	419,449	563,266
Interest expense on borrowings.....	164,349	223,748
Distribution to participants (Note 20).....	<u>5,610,322</u>	—
Total.....	<u>6,194,120</u>	<u>787,014</u>

20. NET ASSETS ATTRIBUTABLE TO PARTICIPANTS

Cash contribution from participants of the Company consists of registered, issued and fully paid participant's units for the total par value of RUB 10,000 thousand.

The Company is organized as a limited liability company. For such companies, voting rights of participants are determined by their percentage participation in the registered charter capital. Each participant has a right to request that the Company redeems its interest. In accordance with the Company's Charter a participant's share upon withdrawing its interest shall be assessed as a share of the Company's net assets calculated based on the statutory accounting reports for the reporting period preceding the date when a participant withdraws its interest.

The Company's net assets attributable to participants determined in accordance with the RAR are RUB 1,399,105 thousand at 31 December 2011 (31 December 2010: RUB 4,947,033 thousand; 1 January 2010: RUB 3,329,165 thousand). During 2011 the Company made a distribution to participants in the amount of RUB 5,610,322 thousand.

In accordance with Russian legislation, the Company distributes profits on the basis of financial statements prepared in accordance with RAR. The Company's statutory accounting reports are the basis for profit distribution and other appropriations. Russian legislation identifies the basis of distribution as the net profit. For 2011, the Company's net statutory profit as reported in the published annual statutory reporting forms was RUB 2,062,394 thousand (2010: net statutory profit of RUB 1,617,868 thousand) and the closing balance of the accumulated surplus, including the current year net statutory profit, totalled RUB 1,387,605 thousand (31 December 2010: RUB 4,935,533 thousand). However, this legislation and other statutory laws and regulations are open

to legal interpretation and, accordingly, management believes that at present it would not be appropriate to disclose an amount for the distributable reserves in these financial statements.

21. BALANCES AND TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

Parties are considered to be related if the parties are under common control or if one party has the ability to control the other party or can exercise significant influence or joint control over the other party in making financial or operational decisions as defined by IAS 24, Related Party Disclosures. In considering each possible related party relationship, attention is directed to the substance of the relationship, not merely the legal form. Other related parties include entities that are significantly influenced by the Parent of the Company. The Parent of the Company is disclosed in Note 1.

The nature of the relationships with related parties with whom the Company entered into significant transactions or had significant outstanding balances are detailed below:

(i) Balances and transactions with the subsidiaries of the Parent

Year-end balances:	31 December 2011	31 December 2010	1 January 2010
Trade receivables	663,034	1,812,924	1,714,180
Advances to suppliers	9,452	10,313	61,993
Other receivables	384,616	198,553	408,022
Trade payables	(1,462)	(2,213)	(537)
Advances from customers	(1,439,003)	(84,368)	(21,627)
Finance lease liability	(2,465,015)	(3,275,921)	(4,280,358)
Advances from customers under agency agreements	(1,875,081)	(542,643)	(172,673)
Transactions carried out during the year:			
		2011	2010
Revenue		14,895,046	17,566,367
Management fees		126,000	98,500
Sale of property, plant and equipment		120,637	—
Purchases of property, plant and equipment		—	24,521
Office operating lease rental		11,389	14,744
Interest expense on finance lease liability		419,449	563,266
Loans advanced:			
		2011	2010
Beginning of the year		2,705,617	—
Loans advanced during the year		—	4,400,000
Repayments of loans advanced		(2,700,000)	(1,700,000)
Interest income accrued		171,603	155,699
Interest received		(177,220)	(150,082)
End of the year		—	2,705,617

(ii) Balances and transactions with other related parties

Year-end balances:	31 December 2011	31 December 2010	1 January 2010
Trade receivables	157,483	125,280	67,098
Other receivables	—	3,423	—
Trade payables	(584)	(6,403)	(1,558)
Transactions carried out during the year:			
		2011	2010
Revenue		102,632	83,775

(iii) Balances and transactions with the Parent

Loans advanced:	2011	2010
Beginning of the year	1,314,247	—
Loans advanced during the year	—	1,300,000

Repayments of loans advanced	(1,300,000)	—
Interest income accrued	41,600	14,250
Interest received	(55,847)	(3)
End of the year	<u>—</u>	<u>1,314,247</u>

Transactions carried out during the year:	2011	2010
Distributions to participants	5,610,322	—

(iv) Key management personnel compensation

The Company is managed by OOO Management company METALLOINVEST, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Parent, which provides management services to a number of Parent's subsidiaries. Compensation of key management personnel consists of remuneration paid to members of the Board of Directors of OOO Management company METALLOINVEST and to the managing director of the Company, who are the employees of OOO Management company METALLOINVEST. Compensation comprises annual remuneration and performance bonus contingent on operating results.

The above details of key management personnel compensation are recharged to OOO Management company METALLOINVEST as part of management fee. The total amount of recharged expenses, which also include other services provided by OOO Management company METALLOINVEST to the Company, was RUB 126,000 thousand in 2011 (2010: RUB 98,500 thousand).

It is not possible to identify separately the amount of the Company's key management personnel compensation and to make a reasonable apportionment of key management personnel compensation of OOO Management company METALLOINVEST in respect of each of the Parent's subsidiaries.

Key management personnel compensation of the Company is included in the aggregate of key management personnel compensation disclosed in the financial statements of the Parent.

(v) Guarantees received

At 31 December 2010 long-term borrowings of RUB 2,661,074 thousand (1 January 2010: 483,221 thousand) and short-term borrowings of RUB 322,147 thousand (1 January 2010: RUB 322,147 thousand) were guaranteed by other related party. By 31 December 2011, the borrowings were settled ahead of schedule and the guarantee ceased.

22. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND OPERATING RISKS

(i) Contractual commitments

As at 31 December 2011 the Company has contractual capital expenditure commitments in respect of property, plant and equipment of RUB nil thousand (31 December 2010: RUB 362,501 thousand; 1 January 2010: RUB 362,501 thousand).

(ii) Legal risks

From time to time and in the normal course of business, claims against the Company may be received. On the basis of its own estimates, management is of the opinion that no material losses will be incurred in respect of claims in excess of provisions that have been made in these financial statements. The Company has contingent liabilities in respect of claims from the counterparties arising in the ordinary course of business. It is not anticipated that any material liabilities will arise from the contingent liabilities.

(iii) Tax contingencies

Russian tax legislation which was enacted or substantively enacted at the end of the reporting period is subject to varying interpretations when being applied to the transactions and activities of the Company. Consequently, tax positions taken by management and the formal documentation supporting the tax positions may be successfully challenged by relevant authorities. Russian tax administration is gradually strengthening, including the fact that there is a higher risk of review of tax transactions without a clear business purpose or with tax non-compliant counterparties. Fiscal periods remain open to review by the authorities in respect of taxes for three calendar years preceding the year of review. Under certain circumstances reviews may cover longer periods.

Russian transfer pricing legislation enacted during the current period is effective prospectively to new transactions from 1 January 2012. It introduces significant reporting and documentation requirements. The transfer pricing legislation that is applicable to transactions on or prior to 31 December 2011, also provides the possibility for tax authorities to make transfer pricing adjustments and to impose additional tax liabilities in respect of all controllable transactions, provided that the transaction price differs from the market price by more than 20%.

Controllable transactions include transactions with interdependent parties, as determined under the Russian Tax Code, all cross-border transactions (irrespective of whether performed between related or unrelated parties), transactions where the price applied by a taxpayer differs by more than 20% from the price applied in similar transactions by the same taxpayer within a short period of time, and barter transactions. Significant difficulties exist in interpreting and applying transfer pricing legislation in practice. Any prior existing court decisions may provide guidance, but are not legally binding for decisions by other, or higher level, courts in the future.

Tax liabilities arising from transactions between companies are determined using actual transaction prices. It is possible with the evolution of the interpretation of the transfer pricing rules in the Russian Federation and the changes in the approach of the Russian tax authorities, that such transfer prices could be challenged. Given the brief nature of the current Russian transfer pricing rules, the impact of any such challenge cannot be reliably estimated; however, it may be significant to the financial position and/or the overall operations of the entity.

(iv) Environmental matters

Environmental regulation in Russian Federation is evolving and the enforcement posture of government authorities is continually being reconsidered. The Company periodically evaluates its obligations under environmental regulations. As obligations are determined, they are recognised immediately. Potential liabilities, which might arise as a result of changes in existing regulations, civil litigation or legislation, cannot be estimated but could be material. In the current enforcement climate under the existing legislation, management believes that there are no significant liabilities for environmental damage.

(v) Operating environment

The Russian Federation displays certain characteristics of an emerging market, including relatively high inflation and high interest rates. The tax, currency and customs legislation within the Russian Federation is subject to varying interpretations and frequent changes (Note 22 (iii)).

The international sovereign debt crisis, stock market volatility and other risks could have a negative effect on the Russian financial and corporate sectors. Management determined impairment provisions by considering the economic situation and outlook at the end of the reporting period. Provisions for trade receivables are determined using the 'incurred loss' model required by the applicable accounting standards. These standards require recognition of impairment losses for receivables that arose from past events and prohibit recognition of impairment losses that could arise from future events, no matter how likely those future events are.

The future economic development of the Russian Federation is largely dependent upon the effectiveness of economic, financial and monetary measures undertaken by the government, together with tax, legal, regulatory and political developments.

Management believes it is taking all the necessary measures to support the sustainability and development of the Company's business in the current business and economic environment.

23. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Financial risk factors

The risk management function within the Company is carried out in respect of financial risks, operational risks and legal risks. Financial risks comprise market risk (including currency risk, fair value interest rate risk, cash flow interest rate risk and price risk), credit risk and liquidity risk. The primary objectives of the financial risk management function are to establish risk limits and then ensure that exposure to risks stays within these limits. The operational and legal risk management functions are intended to ensure proper functioning of internal policies and procedures, in order to minimise operational and legal risks. The Company's overall risk management focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to minimize potential adverse effects on the Company's financial performance.

The Company is not exposed to material currency, interest rate and price risks.

(a) Credit risk

The Company takes on exposure to credit risk, which is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation. Exposure to credit risk arises as a result of the Company's sales of services on credit and other transactions with counterparties giving rise to financial assets which consist principally of trade receivables, cash and loans advanced. The Company has no other significant concentrations of credit risk.

The Company's maximum exposure to credit risk by class of assets reflected in the carrying amounts of financial assets in the statement of financial position is as follows:

	31 December 2011	31 December 2010	1 January 2010
Loans advanced (Note 7)	—	4,000,000	—
Trade and other receivables (Note 8)	1,344,219	2,293,577	2,342,868
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 9)	1,128,330	79,803	191,662
Total maximum exposure to credit risk	<u>2,472,549</u>	<u>6,373,380</u>	<u>2,534,530</u>

Trade and other receivables. The Company assesses the credit quality of customers taking into account their financial position, past experience and other factors. The credit quality of each new customer is analysed before the Company provides it with purchase and payment terms. The Company's commercial department reviews an ageing analysis of outstanding trade receivables and follows up on past due balances. The credit quality of the Company's significant customers is monitored on an ongoing basis. The majority of the Company's customers are related parties of the Company which have a similar credit risk profile for the Company. The Company does not manage its customers by class for credit risk management purposes.

The Company is exposed to concentrations of credit risk. At 31 December 2011, the Company had 7 counterparties (31 December 2010: 7 counterparties; 1 January 2010: 10 counterparties) with aggregated receivables balances of over RUB 20,000 thousand. At 31 December 2011 the total aggregate amount of these balances was RUB 1,244,856 thousand (31 December 2010: RUB 2,204,352 thousand; 1 January 2010: RUB 2,285,128 thousand) or 91% (31 December 2010: 95%; 1 January 2010: 97%) of the gross amount of trade and other receivables.

Cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are placed in major Russian banks with independent credit ratings. The banks are assessed to ensure exposure to credit risk is limited to an acceptable level. No bank balances are past due or impaired.

Analysis by credit quality of bank balances and term deposits is as follows:

	31 December 2011		31 December 2010	1 January 2010
	Bank balances	Term deposits	Bank balances	Bank balances
<i>Rating</i>				
Medium credit risk.....	327,217	801,100	79,787	191,642
Unrated.....	13	—	16	20
Total	327,230	801,100	79,803	191,662

(b) *Liquidity risk*

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will encounter difficulty in meeting the obligations associated with its financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset. The Company's approach to managing liquidity is to ensure, as far as possible that it will always have sufficient liquidity to meet its liabilities when due without incurring unacceptable losses or risking damage to the Company's reputation. The Company uses cash flow budgets which are prepared for each year and quarter to forecast potential liquidity deficit and identify sources of covering that deficit.

The following is the maturity analysis of financial assets and financial liabilities based on the contractual terms. The amounts disclosed in the maturity table are the contractual undiscounted cash flows. Such undiscounted cash flows differ from the amount included in the statement of financial position because the balance sheet amount is based on discounted cash flows.

Maturity of financial instruments:

	As at 31 December 2011			
	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Financial liabilities:				
Borrowings.....	—	—	—	—
Trade and other payables.....	209,594	—	—	—
Finance lease liability.....	1,107,463	910,050	1,034,091	190
Total	1,317,057	910,050	1,034,091	190
	As at 31 December 2010			
	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Financial liabilities:				
Borrowings.....	548,460	2,976,390	—	—
Trade and other payables.....	284,166	—	—	—
Finance lease liability.....	1,331,753	1,006,065	1,893,291	51,040
Total	2,164,379	3,982,455	1,893,291	51,040
	As at 1 January 2010			
	Less than 1 year	Between 1 and 2 years	Between 2 and 5 years	Over 5 years
Financial liabilities:				
Borrowings.....	386,174	527,827	—	—
Trade and other payables.....	167,424	—	—	—
Finance lease liability.....	1,706,005	1,339,768	2,498,385	186,527

Total..... 2,259,603 1,867,594 2,498,385 186,527

Capital management

The Company's objective when managing capital is to ensure the Company's ability to continue as a going-concern in order to provide returns and other benefits to its participants. The Company's capital is calculated as "net assets attributable to participants" as shown in the statement of financial position. During 2011 and 2010, the Company successfully met its objectives for managing capital.

Fair value of financial instruments

Fair value is the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties, other than in a forced sale or liquidation, and is best evidenced by an active quoted market price.

The estimated fair values of financial instruments have been determined by the Company using available market information, where it exists, and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, judgement is required to interpret market data to determine the estimated fair value. The Russian Federation continues to display some characteristics of an emerging market, and economic conditions continue to limit the volume of activity in the financial markets. Market quotations may be outdated or reflect distress sale transactions and therefore not represent fair values of financial instruments. Management has used all available market information in estimating the fair value of financial instruments.

Financial assets carried at amortised cost. The fair value of floating rate instruments is normally their carrying amount. The estimated fair value of fixed interest rate instruments is based on estimated future cash flows expected to be received discounted at current interest rates for new instruments with similar credit risk and remaining maturity. Discount rates used depend on the credit risk of the counterparty.

Liabilities carried at amortised cost. Fair values of liabilities were determined using valuation techniques. The estimated fair value of fixed rate instruments with stated maturity was estimated based on expected cash flows discounted at current interest rates for new instruments with similar credit risk and remaining maturity.

24. EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING PERIOD

Contributions from participants

In February 2012 a new participant, a related party, made a cash contribution to the Company in the amount of RUB 1,778,000 thousand.

Preschedule execution of contract terms of the lease agreements

In March 2012 the Company paid RUB 2,117,478 thousand to the lessor and settled finance lease liabilities in its entirety ahead of schedule.